

UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-K

The registrant meets the conditions set forth in General Instructions I (1)(a) and (b) of Form 10-K and is therefore filing this form with the reduced disclosure format.

ANNUAL REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF
THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the fiscal year ended December 31, 2016

OR

TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF
THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the transition period from _____ to _____

Commission file number 0-31248

ALLSTATE LIFE INSURANCE COMPANY

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Illinois

(State or Other Jurisdiction of
Incorporation or Organization)

36-2554642

(I.R.S. Employer
Identification No.)

3075 Sanders Road, Northbrook, Illinois 60062

(Address of principal executive offices) (Zip Code)

Registrant's telephone number, including area code: (847) 402-5000

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act: None

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(g) of the Act: Common Stock, par value \$227.00 per share

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is a well-known seasoned issuer, as defined in Rule 405 of the Securities Act.

Yes ___ No X

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is not required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or Section 15(d) of the Act.

Yes ___ No X

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days.

Yes X No ___

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§ 232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files).

Yes X No ___

Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-K (§ 229.405 of this chapter) is not contained herein, and will not be contained, to the best of registrant's knowledge in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K or any amendment to this Form 10-K. X

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of "large accelerated filer," "accelerated filer" and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

Large accelerated filer ___

Accelerated filer ___

Non-accelerated filer X (Do not check if a smaller reporting company)

Smaller reporting company ___

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act).

Yes ___ No X

None of the common equity of the registrant is held by non-affiliates. Therefore, the aggregate market value of the common equity held by non-affiliates of the registrant is zero.

As of February 24, 2017, the registrant had 23,800 common shares, \$227 par value, outstanding, all of which are held by Allstate Insurance Company.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page	
PART I		
Item 1.	Business	1
Item 1A.	Risk Factors	4
Item 1B.	Unresolved Staff Comments	10
Item 2.	Properties	10
Item 3.	Legal Proceedings	10
Item 4.	Mine Safety Disclosures	10
PART II		
Item 5.	Market for Registrant's Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities	11
Item 6.	Selected Financial Data	11
Item 7.	Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations	11
Item 7A.	Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk	46
Item 8.	Financial Statements and Supplementary Data	46
Item 9.	Changes in and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure	98
Item 9A.	Controls and Procedures	98
Item 9B.	Other Information	98
PART III		
Item 10.	Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance *	N/A
Item 11.	Executive Compensation *	N/A
Item 12.	Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters *	N/A
Item 13.	Certain Relationships and Related Transactions, and Director Independence *	N/A
Item 14.	Principal Accounting Fees and Services	99
PART IV		
Item 15.	Exhibits and Financial Statement Schedules	100
Item 16.	Form 10-K Summary	104
Signatures		105
Financial Statement Schedules		S-1

* Omitted pursuant to General Instruction I(2) of Form 10-K

Item 1. Business

Allstate Life Insurance Company was organized in 1957 as a stock life insurance company under the laws of the State of Illinois. Allstate Life Insurance Company, together with its subsidiaries, provides life insurance and voluntary accident and health insurance. It conducts substantially all of its operations directly or through wholly owned United States subsidiaries. In this document, we refer to Allstate Life Insurance Company as “Allstate Life” or “ALIC” and to Allstate Life and its wholly owned subsidiaries as the “Allstate Life Group” or the “Company”.

Allstate Life is a wholly owned subsidiary of Allstate Insurance Company, a stock property-liability insurance company organized under the laws of the State of Illinois. All of the outstanding stock of Allstate Insurance Company is owned by Allstate Insurance Holdings, LLC, which is wholly owned by The Allstate Corporation, a publicly owned holding company incorporated under the laws of the State of Delaware. In this document, we refer to Allstate Insurance Company as “AIC” and to The Allstate Corporation and its consolidated subsidiaries as “Allstate”, the “Parent Group” or the “Corporation”. The Allstate Corporation is the largest publicly held personal lines insurer in the United States. Widely known through the “You’re In Good Hands With Allstate®” slogan, Allstate is the 2nd largest personal property and casualty insurer in the United States on the basis of 2015 statutory direct premiums written according to A.M. Best. In addition, according to A.M. Best, it is the nation’s 18th largest issuer of life insurance business on the basis of 2015 ordinary life insurance in force and 31st largest on the basis of 2015 statutory admitted assets.

The Parent Group has four reporting segments, one of which is Allstate Financial. Allstate Financial, which is not a separate legal entity, is comprised of the Allstate Life Group together with the majority of American Heritage Life Insurance Company, Allstate Assurance Company and Intramerica Life Insurance Company. This document describes the Allstate Life Group. It does not describe the entire group of companies that form the Allstate Financial reporting segment of the Parent Group.

In this annual report on Form 10-K, we occasionally refer to statutory financial information. All domestic United States insurance companies are required to prepare statutory-basis financial statements. As a result, industry data is available that enables comparisons between insurance companies, including competitors that are not subject to the requirement to prepare financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America (“GAAP”). We frequently use industry publications containing statutory financial information to assess our competitive position.

Products and Distribution

The Allstate Life Group sells traditional, interest-sensitive and variable life insurance throughout the United States through Allstate exclusive agencies and exclusive financial specialists. The majority of life insurance business written involves exclusive financial specialists, including referrals from exclusive agencies and licensed sales professionals. We also sell voluntary accident and health insurance through workplace enrolling independent agents in New York. We previously offered and continue to have in force fixed annuities such as deferred and immediate annuities. We also previously offered institutional products consisting of funding agreements sold to unaffiliated trusts that used them to back medium-term notes. There are no institutional products outstanding as of December 31, 2016.

The table below lists our current distribution channels with the associated products and target customers.

Distribution Channels	Proprietary Products	Target Customers
Allstate exclusive agencies and exclusive financial specialists	Term life insurance Whole life insurance Interest-sensitive life insurance Variable life insurance	Customers who prefer local personalized advice and service and are brand-sensitive
Workplace enrolling independent agents in New York	Workplace life and voluntary accident and health insurance: Interest-sensitive and term life insurance Disability income insurance Cancer, accident and critical illness insurance	Middle market consumers in New York with family financial protection needs employed by small, medium, and large size firms

Competition

We compete on a wide variety of factors, including product offerings, brand recognition, financial strength and ratings, price, distribution and the level of customer service. The market for life insurance continues to be highly fragmented and competitive. As of December 31, 2015, there were approximately 380 groups of life insurance companies in the United States, most of which offered one or more similar products. According to A.M. Best, as of December 31, 2015, the Allstate Life Group is the nation’s 18th largest issuer of life insurance and related business on the basis of 2015 ordinary life insurance in force and 35th largest on the basis of 2015 statutory admitted assets.

Geographic Markets

We sell life insurance throughout the United States. We also sell voluntary accident and health insurance in New York. The Allstate Life Group is authorized to sell various types of these products in all 50 states, the District of Columbia and Puerto Rico.

The following table reflects, in percentages, the principal geographic distribution of direct statutory premiums and annuity considerations for the Allstate Life Group for 2016, based on information contained in statements filed with state insurance departments. Direct statutory premiums and annuity considerations exclude reinsurance assumed. No other jurisdiction accounted for more than 5 percent of the direct statutory premiums and annuity considerations.

New York	25.7%
California	9.0
Texas	7.3
Florida	6.0
Illinois	5.6

REGULATION

The Allstate Life Group is subject to extensive regulation, primarily at the state level. The method, extent, and substance of such regulation varies by state but generally has its source in statutes that establish standards and requirements for conducting the business of insurance and that delegate regulatory authority to a state agency. These rules have a substantial effect on our business and relate to a wide variety of matters, including insurer solvency and statutory surplus sufficiency, reserve adequacy, insurance company licensing and examination, agent licensing, policy forms, rate setting, the nature and amount of investments, claims practices, participation in guaranty funds, transactions with affiliates, the payment of dividends, underwriting standards, statutory accounting methods, trade practices, corporate governance and risk management. Some of these matters are discussed in more detail below. In addition, state legislators and insurance regulators continue to examine the appropriate nature and scope of state insurance regulation. For a discussion of statutory financial information, see Note 15 of the consolidated financial statements. For a discussion of regulatory contingencies, see Note 12 of the consolidated financial statements. Notes 12 and 15 are incorporated in this Part I, Item 1 by reference.

As part of an effort to strengthen the regulation of the financial services market, the Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act (“Dodd-Frank”) was enacted in 2010. Dodd-Frank created the Federal Insurance Office (“FIO”) within the U.S. Department of the Treasury. The FIO monitors the insurance industry, provides advice to the Financial Stability Oversight Council (“FSOC”), represents the U.S. on international insurance matters, and studies the current regulatory system.

Additional regulations or new requirements may emerge from activities of various regulatory entities, including the Federal Reserve Board, FIO, FSOC, the National Association of Insurance Commissioners (“NAIC”), and the International Association of Insurance Supervisors (“IAIS”), that are evaluating solvency and capital standards for insurance company groups. In addition, the NAIC has adopted amendments to its model holding company law, which have been adopted by some jurisdictions. The outcome of these actions is uncertain; however, these actions may result in an increase in the level of capital and liquidity required by insurance holding companies.

We cannot predict whether any specific state or federal measures will be adopted to change the nature or scope of the regulation of insurance or what effect any such measures would have on Allstate.

Agent and Broker Compensation. In recent years, several states considered new legislation or regulations regarding the compensation of agents and brokers by insurance companies. The proposals ranged in nature from new disclosure requirements to new duties on insurance agents and brokers in dealing with customers. New York requires the disclosure of certain information concerning agent and broker compensation.

Limitations on Dividends By Insurance Subsidiaries. Allstate Life may receive dividends from time to time from its subsidiaries. When received, these dividends represent a source of cash from which Allstate Life may meet some of its obligations. If a subsidiary is an insurance company, its ability to pay dividends may be restricted by state laws regulating insurance companies. For additional information regarding those restrictions, see Note 15 of the consolidated financial statements.

Guaranty Funds. Under state insurance guaranty fund laws, insurers doing business in a state can be assessed, up to prescribed limits, in order to cover certain obligations of insolvent insurance companies. We do not anticipate any material adverse financial impact from these assessments.

Investment Regulation. Our insurance subsidiaries are subject to regulations that require investment portfolio diversification and that limit the amount of investment in certain categories. Failure to comply with these rules leads to the treatment of non-conforming investments as non-admitted assets for purposes of measuring statutory surplus. Further, in some instances, these rules require divestiture of non-conforming investments.

Variable Life Insurance and Registered Fixed Annuities. The sale and administration of variable life insurance and registered fixed annuities with market value adjustment features are subject to extensive regulatory oversight at the federal and state level, including regulation and supervision by the Securities and Exchange Commission (“SEC”) and the Financial Industry Regulatory Authority (“FINRA”).

Broker-Dealers, Investment Advisors, and Investment Companies. The Allstate Life Group entities that operate as broker-dealers, registered investment advisors, and investment companies are subject to regulation and supervision by the SEC, FINRA and/or, in some cases, state securities administrators. In April 2016, the U.S. Department of Labor (“DOL”) issued a rule that, in its current form, expands the range of activities that would be considered to be “investment advice” and establishes a new framework for determining whether a person is a fiduciary when selling mutual funds, variable and indexed annuities, or variable life products in connection with an Individual Retirement Account (“IRA”) or employee benefit plan covered under the Employee Retirement Income Security Act of 1974, as amended (“ERISA”). The Allstate Life Group does not currently sell proprietary annuities or proprietary variable life products in connection with IRAs or employee benefit plans covered under ERISA. Products that we previously offered and continue to have in force, such as indexed annuities, could also be impacted by the rule. Compliance with the regulation may add costs and may impact producer compensation and processes. The financial impact to The Allstate Life Group is expected to be immaterial. Compliance of certain components of the rule is required by April 10, 2017 and full compliance is required by January 1, 2018. On February 3, 2017, the President of the United States executed a memorandum directing the DOL to examine the fiduciary duty rule to determine whether it might adversely affect the ability of Americans to gain access to retirement information and financial advice. The outcome of the DOL’s examination of the rule is yet to be determined but could result in a delay in the compliance dates or changes to the rule’s requirements.

Privacy Regulation. Federal law and the laws of many states require financial institutions to protect the security and confidentiality of customer information and to notify customers about their policies and practices relating to collection and disclosure of customer information and their policies relating to protecting the security and confidentiality of that information. Federal law and the laws of many states also regulate disclosures and disposal of customer information. Congress, state legislatures, and regulatory authorities are expected to consider additional regulation relating to privacy and other aspects of customer information.

EMPLOYEES AND OTHER SHARED SERVICES

The Allstate Life Group has no employees. Instead, we primarily use the services of employees of AIC, our direct parent. We also make use of other services and facilities provided by AIC and other members of the Parent Group. These services and facilities include space rental, utilities, building maintenance, human resources, investment management, finance, information technology and legal services. We reimburse our affiliates for these services and facilities under a variety of agreements.

OTHER INFORMATION

“Allstate” is a very well-recognized brand name in the United States. We use the name “Allstate” extensively in our business, along with related service marks, logos, and slogans, such as “Good Hands®.” Our rights in the United States to these names, service marks, logos, and slogans continue so long as we continue to use them in commerce. Many service marks used by Allstate are the subject of renewable U.S. and/or foreign service mark registrations. We believe that these service marks are important to our business and we intend to maintain our rights to them.

Forward-Looking Statements

This report contains “forward-looking statements” that anticipate results based on our estimates, assumptions and plans that are subject to uncertainty. These statements are made subject to the safe-harbor provisions of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. Forward-looking statements do not relate strictly to historical or current facts and may be identified by their use of words like “plans,” “seeks,” “expects,” “will,” “should,” “anticipates,” “estimates,” “intends,” “believes,” “likely,” “targets” and other words with similar meanings. These statements may address, among other things, our strategy for growth, product development, investment results, regulatory approvals, market position, expenses, financial results, litigation and reserves. We believe that these statements are based on reasonable estimates, assumptions and plans. Forward-looking statements speak only as of the date on which they are made, and we assume no obligation to update any forward-looking statements as a result of new information or future events or developments. In addition, forward-looking statements are subject to certain risks or uncertainties that could cause actual results to differ materially from those communicated in these forward-looking statements. These risks and uncertainties include, but are not limited to, those described in Part 1, “Item 1A. Risk Factors” and elsewhere in this report and those described from time to time in our other reports filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission.

Item 1A. Risk Factors

In addition to the normal risks of business, we are subject to significant risks and uncertainties, including those listed below, which apply to us as an insurer, investor and a provider of other products and financial services. These risks include insurance, investment, financial, operational and strategic risks. These cautionary statements should be considered carefully together with other factors discussed elsewhere in this document, in our filings with the Securities and Exchange Commission (“SEC”) or in materials incorporated therein by reference.

Changes in underwriting and actual experience could materially affect profitability and financial condition

Our product pricing includes long-term assumptions regarding investment returns, mortality, morbidity, persistency and operating costs and expenses of the business. We establish target returns for each product based upon these factors and the average amount of capital that we must hold to support in-force contracts taking into account rating agencies and regulatory requirements. We monitor and manage our pricing and overall sales mix to achieve target new business returns on a portfolio basis, which could result in the discontinuation or de-emphasis of products and a decline in sales. Profitability from new business emerges over a period of years depending on the nature and life of the product and is subject to variability as actual results may differ from pricing assumptions. Additionally, many of our products have fixed or guaranteed terms that limit our ability to increase revenues or reduce benefits, including credited interest, once the product has been issued.

Our profitability depends on the sufficiency of premiums and contract charges to cover mortality and morbidity benefits, the adequacy of investment spreads, the persistency of policies, the management of market and credit risks associated with investments, and the management of operating costs and expenses within anticipated pricing allowances. Legislation and regulation of the insurance marketplace and products could also affect our profitability and financial condition.

Changes in reserve estimates may adversely affect our operating results

The reserve for life-contingent contract benefits payable under insurance policies, including traditional life insurance, life-contingent immediate annuities and voluntary accident and health insurance products, is computed on the basis of long-term actuarial assumptions of future investment yields, mortality, morbidity, persistency and expenses. Mortality and morbidity may continue to improve in the future from current levels, due to medical advancements that have resulted in policyholders living longer than anticipated. We periodically review the adequacy of these reserves on an aggregate basis and if future experience differs significantly from assumptions, adjustments to reserves and amortization of deferred policy acquisition costs (“DAC”) may be required that could have a material effect on our operating results. We also review these policies on an aggregate basis for circumstances where projected profits would be recognized in early years followed by projected losses in later years. If this circumstance exists in the future, we will be required to accrue a liability, during the period of profits, to offset the losses at such time as the future losses are expected to commence.

Changes in market interest rates or performance-based investment returns may lead to a significant decrease in the profitability of spread-based products

Our ability to manage the in-force spread-based products, such as fixed annuities, is dependent upon maintaining profitable spreads between investment returns and interest crediting rates. When market interest rates decrease or remain at relatively low levels, proceeds from investments that have matured or have been prepaid or sold may be reinvested at lower yields, reducing investment spread. Lowering interest crediting rates on some products in such an environment can partially offset decreases in investment yield. However, these changes could be limited by regulatory minimum rates or contractual minimum rate guarantees on many contracts and may not match the timing or magnitude of changes in investment yields. Increases in market interest rates can have negative effects, for example by increasing the attractiveness of other investments to our customers, which can lead to increased surrenders at a time when our fixed income investment asset values are lower as a result of the increase in interest rates. This could lead to the sale of fixed income securities at a loss. In addition, changes in market interest rates impact the valuation of derivatives embedded in equity-indexed annuity contracts that are not hedged, which could lead to volatility in net income. Additionally, the amount of net investment income from our performance-based investments backing the immediate annuity liabilities can vary substantially from quarter to quarter. Significant volatility or market downturns could adversely impact net investment income, valuation and returns on these investments.

Changes in estimates of profitability on interest-sensitive life products may adversely affect our profitability and financial condition

DAC related to interest-sensitive life contracts is amortized in proportion to actual historical gross profits and estimated future gross profits (“EGP”) over the estimated lives of the contracts. The principal assumptions for determining the amount of EGP are mortality, persistency, expenses, investment returns, including capital gains and losses on assets supporting contract liabilities, interest crediting rates to contractholders, and the effects of any hedges. Updates to these assumptions (commonly referred to as “DAC unlocking”) could result in accelerated amortization of DAC and thereby adversely affect our profitability and financial condition. In addition, assumption changes impact the reserve for secondary guarantees on interest-sensitive life insurance and could also lead to volatility in net income.

Reducing our concentration in spread-based business and exiting certain distribution channels may adversely affect reported results

We have been reducing our concentration in spread-based business since 2008 and discontinued offering fixed annuities effective January 1, 2014. We also exited the independent master brokerage agencies and structured settlement annuity brokers distribution channels in 2013 and sold Lincoln Benefit Life Company (“LBL”) on April 1, 2014. The reduction in sales of these products has and will continue to reduce investment portfolio levels. It may also affect the settlement of contract benefits including forced sales of assets with unrealized capital losses, and affect insurance reserves deficiency testing.

Changes in tax laws may decrease sales and profitability of products and adversely affect our financial condition

Under current federal and state income tax law, certain products we provide, primarily life insurance, receive beneficial tax treatment. This favorable treatment may give certain of our products a competitive advantage over noninsurance products. Congress and various state legislatures from time to time consider legislation that would reduce or eliminate the beneficial policyholder tax treatment currently applicable to life insurance. Congress and various state legislatures also consider proposals to reduce the taxation of certain products or investments that may compete with life insurance. Legislation that increases the taxation on insurance products or reduces the taxation on competing products could lessen the advantage or create a disadvantage for certain of our products making them less competitive. Such proposals, if adopted, could have a material effect on our profitability and financial condition or ability to sell such products and could result in the surrender of some existing contracts and policies. In addition, changes in the federal estate tax laws could negatively affect the demand for the types of life insurance used in estate planning.

We may not be able to mitigate the capital impact associated with statutory reserving and capital requirements, potentially resulting in a need to increase prices, reduce sales of certain products, and/or accept a return on equity below original levels assumed in pricing

Regulatory capital and reserving requirements affect the amount of capital retained in our insurance companies. Changes to capital or reserving requirements or regulatory interpretations may result in additional capital held in our insurance companies. To support statutory reserves for certain life insurance products, we currently utilize reinsurance and captive reserve financing solutions for financing a portion of our statutory reserve requirements deemed to be non-economic. Changes to capital or reserving requirements or an inability to continue existing financing as a result of market conditions or otherwise could require us to increase prices, reduce our sales of certain products, and/or accept a return on equity below original levels assumed in pricing.

A decline in Lincoln Benefit Life Company’s financial strength ratings may adversely affect our results of operations

We reinsure life insurance and payout annuity business from LBL. Premiums and contract charges assumed from LBL totaled \$749 million in 2016. A decline in LBL’s financial strength ratings could lead to an increase in policy lapses. This could adversely affect our results of operations by decreasing future premiums.

Risks Relating to Investments

Our investment portfolio is subject to market risk and declines in credit quality which may adversely affect investment income and cause realized and unrealized losses

We continually reevaluate our investment management strategies since we are subject to the risk of loss due to adverse changes in interest rates, credit spreads, equity prices or currency exchange rates. Adverse changes in these rates, spreads and prices may occur due to changes in monetary policy and the economic climate, the liquidity of a market or market segment, investor return expectations and/or risk tolerance, insolvency or financial distress of key market makers or participants, or changes in market perceptions of credit worthiness. The performance and value of our investment portfolio is also subject to market risk related to investments in real estate, loans and securities collateralized by real estate. Some of our investment strategies target individual investments with unique risks that are less highly correlated with broad market risks. Although we expect these investments to increase total portfolio returns over time, their performance may vary from and under-perform relative to the market.

Our investment portfolio is subject to risks associated with potential declines in credit quality related to specific issuers or specific industries and a general weakening of the economy, which are typically reflected through credit spreads. Credit spread is the additional yield on fixed income securities and loans above the risk-free rate (typically referenced as the yield on U.S. Treasury securities) that market participants require to compensate them for assuming credit, liquidity and/or prepayment risks. Credit spreads vary (i.e. increase or decrease) in response to the market’s perception of risk and liquidity in a specific issuer or specific sector and are influenced by the credit ratings, and the reliability of those ratings, published by external rating agencies. Although we have the ability to use derivative financial instruments to manage these risks, the effectiveness of such instruments varies with liquidity and other conditions that may impact derivative and bond markets. Adverse economic conditions or other factors could cause declines in the quality and valuation of our investment portfolio that would result in realized and unrealized losses. The concentration of our investment portfolio in any particular issuer, industry, collateral type, group of related industries,

geographic sector or risk type could have an adverse effect on our investment portfolio and consequently on our results of operations and financial condition.

A decline in market interest rates or credit spreads could have an adverse effect on investment income as we invest cash in new investments that may earn less than the portfolio's average yield. In a low interest rate environment, borrowers may prepay or redeem securities more quickly than expected as they seek to refinance at lower rates. Sustained low interest rates could also lead to purchases of longer-term or riskier assets in order to obtain adequate investment yields, which could also result in a duration gap when compared to the duration of liabilities. Alternatively, longer-term assets may be sold and reinvested in shorter-term assets that may have lower yields in anticipation of rising interest rates. An increase in market interest rates or credit spreads could have an adverse effect on the value of our investment portfolio by decreasing the fair values of the fixed income securities that comprise a substantial majority of our investment portfolio.

The amount and timing of net investment income from our performance-based investments, which primarily includes limited partnership interests, can fluctuate significantly as a result of the performance of the underlying investments. Additionally, the timing of capital contributions and distributions depends on particular events, schedules for making distributions, and cash needs related to the investments. As a result, the amount of net investment income recognized and cash contributed to or received from these investments can vary substantially from quarter to quarter. Significant volatility or market downturns could adversely impact net investment income, valuation and returns on these investments.

The determination of the amount of realized capital losses recorded for impairments of our investments is subjective and could materially impact our operating results and financial condition

The determination of the amount of realized capital losses recorded for impairments vary by investment type and is based upon our ongoing evaluation and assessment of known and inherent risks associated with the respective asset class. Such evaluations and assessments are revised as conditions change and new information becomes available. We update our evaluations regularly and reflect changes in other-than-temporary impairments in our results of operations. The assessment of whether other-than-temporary impairments have occurred is based on our case-by-case evaluation of the underlying reasons for the decline in fair value. Our conclusions on such assessments are judgmental and include assumptions and projections of future cash flows and price recovery which may ultimately prove to be incorrect as assumptions, facts and circumstances change. Furthermore, historical trends may not be indicative of future impairments and additional impairments may need to be recorded in the future.

The determination of the fair value of our fixed income and equity securities is subjective and could materially impact our operating results and financial condition

In determining fair values, we principally use the market approach which utilizes market transaction data for the same or similar instruments. The degree of judgment involved in determining fair values is inversely related to the availability of market observable information. The fair value of assets may differ from the actual amount received upon the sale of an asset in an orderly transaction between market participants at the measurement date. Moreover, the use of different valuation assumptions may have a material effect on the assets' fair values. The difference between amortized cost or cost and fair value, net of deferred income taxes and related DAC, deferred sales inducement costs, and reserves for life-contingent contract benefits, is reflected as a component of accumulated other comprehensive income in shareholder's equity. Changing market conditions could materially affect the determination of the fair value of securities and unrealized net capital gains and losses could vary significantly.

Risks Relating to the Insurance Industry

Our future growth and profitability are dependent in part on our ability to successfully operate in an insurance industry that is highly competitive

The insurance industry is highly competitive. Many of our primary insurance competitors have well-established national reputations and market similar products. Because of the competitive nature of the insurance industry, there can be no assurance that we will continue to compete effectively with our industry rivals, including new entrants, or that competitive pressures will not have a material effect on our business, operating results or financial condition. This includes competition for producers such as exclusive agents and their licensed sales professionals. In the event we are unable to attract and retain these producers, they are unable to attract and retain their licensed sales professionals, or they are unable to attract and retain customers for our products, growth and retention could be materially affected. Furthermore, certain competitors operate using a mutual insurance company structure and therefore may have dissimilar profitability and return targets.

Our ability to successfully operate may also be impaired if we are not effective in developing the talent and skills of our human resources, attracting and assimilating new executive talent into our organization, retaining experienced and qualified employees, or deploying human resource talent consistently with our business goals.

Difficult conditions in the global economy and capital markets could adversely affect our business and operating results and these conditions may not improve in the near future

As with most businesses, we believe difficult conditions in the global economy and capital markets, such as relatively stagnant macroeconomic trends, including relatively high and sustained unemployment in certain regions and lower labor participation rates in other regions, reduced consumer spending, low economic growth, lower residential and commercial real estate prices, substantial increases in delinquencies on consumer debt, including defaults on home mortgages, the relatively low availability of credit and ineffective central bank monetary policies could have an adverse effect on our business and operating results.

Stressed conditions, volatility and disruptions in global capital markets, particular markets or financial asset classes could adversely affect our investment portfolio. Disruptions in one market or asset class can also spread to other markets or asset classes. Although the disruption in the global financial markets has moderated, the rate of recovery from the U.S. recession has been below historic averages, and the pace of recovery in many foreign markets is lagging that of the U.S. In addition, events in the U.S. or foreign markets, such as the United Kingdom's June 2016 referendum in which they voted to leave the European Union, can impact the global economy and capital markets and the impact of such events is difficult to predict.

In the years since the financial crisis, the central banks of most developed countries have pursued fairly similar, and highly accommodative, monetary policies. As the U.S. Federal Reserve, through the Federal Open Market Committee, raises interest rates and as global monetary policies diverge, it may result in higher volatility and less certainty in capital markets.

General economic conditions could adversely affect us by impacting consumer behavior and pressuring investment results. Consumer behavior changes could include decreased demand for our products. In addition, holders of interest-sensitive life insurance and annuity products may engage in an elevated level of discretionary withdrawals of contractholder funds. Investment results could be adversely affected as deteriorating financial and business conditions affect the issuers of the securities in the investment portfolio.

Losses from legal and regulatory actions may be material to our operating results, cash flows and financial condition

We are involved in various legal actions, which may include class action litigation, challenging a range of company practices and coverage provided by our insurance products, some of which involve claims for substantial or indeterminate amounts. We are also involved in various regulatory actions and inquiries, including market conduct exams by state insurance regulatory agencies. In the event of an unfavorable outcome in one or more of these matters, the ultimate liability may be in excess of amounts currently accrued, if any, and may be material to our operating results or cash flows for a particular quarter or annual period and to our financial condition.

We are subject to extensive regulation and potential further restrictive regulation may increase our operating costs and limit our growth

As insurance companies, broker-dealers, investment advisers, and investment companies, many of our subsidiaries are subject to extensive laws and regulations. These laws and regulations are complex and subject to change. Changes may sometimes lead to additional expenses, increased legal exposure, increased required reserves or capital, and additional limits on our ability to grow or to achieve targeted profitability. Moreover, laws and regulations are administered and enforced by a number of different governmental authorities, each of which exercises a degree of interpretive latitude, including state insurance regulators; state securities administrators; state attorneys general and federal agencies including the SEC, the Financial Industry Regulatory Authority, and the U.S. Department of Justice. Consequently, we are subject to the risk that compliance with any particular regulator's or enforcement authority's interpretation of a legal issue may not result in compliance with another's interpretation of the same issue, particularly when compliance is judged in hindsight. In addition, there is risk that any particular regulator's or enforcement authority's interpretation of a legal issue may change over time to our detriment, or that changes in the overall legal environment may, even absent any particular regulator's or enforcement authority's interpretation of a legal issue changing, cause us to change our views regarding the actions we need to take from a legal risk management perspective, thus necessitating changes to our practices that may, in some cases, limit our ability to grow or to improve the profitability of our business. Furthermore, in some cases, these laws and regulations are designed to protect or benefit the interests of a specific constituency rather than a range of constituencies. For example, state insurance laws and regulations are generally intended to protect or benefit purchasers or users of insurance products. In many respects, these laws and regulations may limit our ability to grow or to improve the profitability of our business.

Regulatory reforms, and the more stringent application of existing regulations, may make it more expensive for us to conduct our business

The federal government has enacted comprehensive regulatory reforms for financial services entities. As part of a larger effort to strengthen the regulation of the financial services market, certain reforms are applicable to the insurance industry, including the Federal Insurance Office ("FIO") established within the U.S. Department of the Treasury.

In recent years, the state insurance regulatory framework has come under public scrutiny, members of Congress have discussed proposals to provide for federal chartering of insurance companies, and the FIO and Financial Stability Oversight Council (“FSOC”) were established. We can make no assurances regarding the potential impact of state or federal measures that may change the nature or scope of insurance and financial regulation.

In April 2016, the U.S. Department of Labor (“DOL”) issued a rule that, in its current form, expands the range of activities that would be considered to be “investment advice” and establishes a new framework for determining whether a person is a fiduciary when selling mutual funds, variable and indexed annuities, or variable life products in connection with an Individual Retirement Account (“IRA”) or employee benefit plan covered under the Employee Retirement Income Security Act of 1974, as amended (“ERISA”). The Allstate Life Group does not currently sell proprietary annuities or proprietary variable life products in connection with IRAs or employee benefit plans covered under ERISA. Products that we previously offered and continue to have in force, such as indexed annuities, could also be impacted by the rule. Compliance with the regulation may add costs and may impact producer compensation and processes. Compliance of certain components of the rule is required by April 10, 2017 and full compliance is required by January 1, 2018. On February 3, 2017, the President of the United States executed a memorandum directing the DOL to examine the fiduciary duty rule to determine whether it might adversely affect the ability of Americans to gain access to retirement information and financial advice. The outcome of the DOL’s examination of the rule is yet to be determined but could result in a delay in the compliance dates or changes to the rule’s requirements.

Such regulatory reforms, any additional legislative or regulatory requirements and any further stringent enforcement of existing regulations may make it more expensive for us to conduct our business, or may limit our ability to grow or to achieve profitability.

Reinsurance may be unavailable at current levels and prices, which may limit our ability to write new business

Market conditions beyond our control impact the availability and cost of the reinsurance we purchase. No assurances can be made that reinsurance will remain continuously available to us to the same extent and on the same terms and rates as is currently available. If we were unable to maintain our current level of reinsurance or purchase new reinsurance protection in amounts that we consider sufficient and at prices that we consider acceptable, we would have to either accept an increase in our risk exposure, reduce our insurance writings, or develop or seek other alternatives.

Reinsurance subjects us to the credit risk of our reinsurers and may not be adequate to protect us against losses arising from ceded insurance, which could have a material effect on our operating results and financial condition

The collectability of reinsurance recoverables is subject to uncertainty arising from a number of factors, including changes in market conditions, whether insured losses meet the qualifying conditions of the reinsurance contract and whether reinsurers, or their affiliates, have the financial capacity and willingness to make payments under the terms of a reinsurance treaty or contract. Our inability to collect a material recovery from a reinsurer could have a material effect on our operating results and financial condition.

A downgrade in our financial strength ratings may have an adverse effect on our competitive position, the marketability of our product offerings, our liquidity, operating results and financial condition

Financial strength ratings are important factors in establishing the competitive position of insurance companies and generally have an effect on an insurance company’s business. On an ongoing basis, rating agencies review our financial performance and condition and could downgrade or change the outlook on our ratings due to, for example, a change in the statutory capital of one of our insurance companies; a change in a rating agency’s determination of the amount of risk-adjusted capital required to maintain a particular rating; an increase in the perceived risk of our investment portfolio; a reduced confidence in management or our business strategy; as well as a number of other considerations that may or may not be under our control. Our insurance financial strength ratings from A.M. Best, S&P Global Ratings and Moody’s are subject to continuous review, and the retention of current ratings cannot be assured. A downgrade in any of these ratings could have a material effect on our sales, our competitiveness, the marketability of our product offerings, our liquidity, operating results and financial condition.

Adverse capital and credit market conditions may significantly affect our ability to meet liquidity needs or our ability to obtain credit on acceptable terms

In periods of extreme volatility and disruption in the capital and credit markets, liquidity and credit capacity may be severely restricted. In such circumstances, our ability to obtain capital to fund operating expenses, financing costs, capital expenditures or acquisitions may be limited, and the cost of any such capital may be significant. Our access to additional financing will depend on a variety of factors such as market conditions, the general availability of credit, the overall availability of credit to our industry, our credit ratings and credit capacity, as well as lenders’ perception of our long- or short-term financial prospects. Similarly, our access to funds may be impaired if regulatory authorities or rating agencies take negative actions against us. If a combination of these factors were to occur, our internal sources of liquidity may prove to be insufficient and in such case, we may not be able to successfully obtain additional financing on favorable terms.

The failure in cyber or other information security, as well as the occurrence of events unanticipated in our disaster recovery systems and management continuity planning could result in a loss or disclosure of confidential information, damage to our reputation, additional costs and impairment of our ability to conduct business effectively

We depend heavily on computer systems and mathematical algorithms and data to perform necessary business functions. Despite our implementation of a variety of security measures, we are increasingly exposed to the risk that our computer systems could be subject to cyber-attacks and unauthorized access, such as physical and electronic break-ins or unauthorized tampering. We have experienced threats to our data and systems, including malware and computer virus attacks, unauthorized access, system failures and disruptions. Events such as these could jeopardize the confidential, proprietary and other information (including personal information of our customers or employees) processed and stored in, and transmitted through, our computer systems and networks, or otherwise cause interruptions or malfunctions in our operations, which could result in damage to our reputation, financial losses, litigation, increased costs, regulatory penalties and/or customer dissatisfaction or loss. These risks may increase in the future as we continue to expand our internet and mobile strategies and develop additional remote connectivity solutions to serve our customers.

We continually enhance our cyber and other information security in order to remain secure against emerging threats, together with increasing our ability to detect system compromise and recover should a cyber-attack or unauthorized access occur. Following an assessment of the cybersecurity program by an independent advisor engaged by The Allstate Corporation's Audit Committee in 2016, we implemented a plan to address certain issues identified during the assessment. However, due to the increasing frequency and sophistication of such cyber-attacks and changes in technology, there can be no assurance that a cyber-attack will not take place with adverse consequences to our business, operating results and financial condition.

The occurrence of a disaster, such as a natural catastrophe, pandemic, industrial accident, blackout, terrorist attack, war, cyber-attack, computer virus, insider threat, unanticipated problems with our disaster recovery systems, or a support failure from external providers, could have an adverse effect on our ability to conduct business and on our results of operations and financial condition, particularly if those events affect our computer-based data processing, transmission, storage, and retrieval systems or destroy data. If a significant number of our managers were unavailable in the event of a disaster, our ability to effectively conduct our business could be severely compromised.

Third parties to whom we outsource certain of our functions are also subject to the risks outlined above. We review and assess the cybersecurity controls of our third party providers, as appropriate, and make changes to our business processes to manage these risks. Any of these may result in our incurring substantial costs and other negative consequences, including a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, results of operations and liquidity.

A large scale pandemic, the continued threat or occurrence of terrorism or military actions may have an adverse effect on the level of claim losses we incur, the value of our investment portfolio, our competitive position, marketability of product offerings, liquidity and operating results

A large scale pandemic, the continued threat or occurrence of terrorism, within the U.S. and abroad, or military and other actions, and heightened security measures in response to these types of threats, may cause significant volatility and losses in our investment portfolio from declines in the equity markets and from interest rate changes in the U.S., Europe and elsewhere, and result in loss of life, property damage, disruptions to commerce and reduced economic activity. Some of the assets in our investment portfolio may be adversely affected by declines in the equity markets and reduced economic activity caused by a large scale pandemic or the continued threat of terrorism. Additionally, a large scale pandemic or terrorist act could have a material effect on the sales, profitability, competitiveness, marketability of product offerings, liquidity, and operating results.

Changes in accounting standards issued by the Financial Accounting Standards Board or other standard-setting bodies may adversely affect our results of operations and financial condition

Our financial statements are subject to the application of generally accepted accounting principles, which are periodically revised, interpreted and/or expanded. Our life insurance business involves products that remain in force for extended time periods. Accordingly, we may be required to adopt new guidance or interpretations, including those that relate to products which remain in force for extended time periods and were designed and issued in contemplation of a different accounting framework, which may have a material effect on our results of operations and financial condition that is either unexpected or has a greater impact than expected. For a description of changes in accounting standards that are currently pending and, if known, our estimates of their expected impact, see Note 2 of the consolidated financial statements.

Our policyholders make decisions in part based on an evaluation of our reported financial condition and results of operations, and the stability and predictability of those conditions and results. Potential accounting changes that retroactively affect long-duration insurance contracts and require more market-based measurements may introduce substantial variability and may unfavorably impact our reported financial condition and results of operations as well as their stability and predictability. The potential impacts of a retroactive accounting change applied to long-duration insurance contracts could be pervasive and may unfavorably impact policyholder assessments of our financial condition and results of operations.

The realization of deferred tax assets is subject to uncertainty

The realization of our deferred tax assets, net of valuation allowance, if any, is based on our assumption that we will be able to fully utilize the deductions that are ultimately recognized for tax purposes. However, actual results may differ from our assumptions if adequate levels of taxable income are not attained.

Loss of key vendor relationships or failure of a vendor to protect our data, confidential and proprietary information, or personal information of our customers or employees could affect our operations

We rely on services and products provided by many vendors in the U.S. and abroad. These include, for example, vendors of computer hardware and software and vendors of investment management services. In the event that one or more of our vendors suffers a bankruptcy or otherwise becomes unable to continue to provide products or services, or fails to protect our data, confidential and proprietary information, or personal information of our customers or employees, we may suffer operational impairments and financial losses.

We may be subject to the risks and costs associated with intellectual property infringement, misappropriation and third party claims

We rely on a combination of contractual rights and copyright, trademark, patent and trade secret laws to establish and protect our intellectual property. Although we use a broad range of measures to protect intellectual property rights, third parties may infringe or misappropriate intellectual property. We may have to litigate to enforce and protect intellectual property and to determine its scope, validity or enforceability, which could divert significant resources and prove unsuccessful. An inability to protect intellectual property could have a material effect on our business.

We may be subject to claims by third parties for patent, trademark or copyright infringement or breach of usage rights. Any such claims and any resulting litigation could result in significant expense and liability. If third party providers or we are found to have infringed a third-party intellectual property right, either of us could be enjoined from providing certain products or services or from utilizing and benefiting from certain methods, processes, copyrights, trademarks, trade secrets or licenses. Alternatively, we could be required to enter into costly licensing arrangements with third parties or implement a costly work around. Any of these scenarios could have a material effect on our business and results of operations.

Item 1B. Unresolved Staff Comments

None.

Item 2. Properties

Our home office is part of the Parent Group's home office complex in Northbrook, Illinois. As of December 31, 2016, the home office complex consists of several buildings totaling 1.9 million square feet of office space on a 186-acre site. In addition, the Parent Group operates various administrative, data processing, claims handling and other support facilities around the world.

All of the facilities from which we operate are owned or leased by our direct parent, AIC. Expenses associated with facilities owned or leased by AIC are allocated to us on both a direct and an indirect basis, depending on the nature and use of each particular facility. We believe that these facilities are suitable and adequate for our current operations.

The locations out of which the Allstate exclusive agencies operate in the U.S. are normally leased by the agencies as lessees.

Item 3. Legal Proceedings

Information required for Item 3 is incorporated by reference to the discussion under the heading "Regulation and Compliance" in Note 12 of the consolidated financial statements.

Item 4. Mine Safety Disclosures

Not applicable.

Part II

Item 5. Market for Registrant’s Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities

No established public trading market exists for Allstate Life’s common stock. All of its outstanding common stock is owned by Allstate Life’s parent, Allstate Insurance Company (“AIC”). All of the outstanding common stock of AIC is owned by Allstate Insurance Holdings, LLC, which is wholly owned by The Allstate Corporation.

The Company did not pay any dividends to AIC in 2016. The Company paid AIC dividends of \$103 million in 2015 and a return of capital of \$700 million in 2014. For additional information on dividends, including restrictions on the payment of dividends by Allstate Life and its subsidiaries, see the Limitations on Dividends by Insurance Subsidiaries subsection of the “Regulation” section of Item 1. Business of this Form 10-K and the discussion under the heading “Dividend Limitations” in Note 15 of our consolidated financial statements, which are incorporated herein by reference.

Item 6. Selected Financial Data

5-YEAR SUMMARY OF SELECTED FINANCIAL DATA

(\$ in millions)	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012
Consolidated Operating Results					
Premiums	\$ 592	\$ 600	\$ 589	\$ 613	\$ 593
Contract charges	717	738	847	1,054	1,029
Net investment income	1,659	1,819	2,081	2,485	2,597
Realized capital gains and losses	(77)	265	143	76	(16)
Total revenues	2,891	3,422	3,660	4,228	4,203
Net income (loss)	319	561	526	(38)	426
Consolidated Financial Position					
Investments ⁽¹⁾	\$ 35,067	\$ 34,962	\$ 37,466	\$ 37,944	\$ 55,866
Total assets	43,239	43,678	46,735	63,368	70,111
Reserve for life-contingent contract benefits and contractholder funds ⁽¹⁾	30,792	31,936	33,382	35,193	52,751
Notes due to related parties	465	275	275	282	496
Shareholder’s equity	6,409	5,933	6,347	6,070	7,313

⁽¹⁾ As of December 31, 2013, \$11.98 billion of investments and \$12.84 billion of reserves for life-contingent contract benefits and contractholder funds were classified as held for sale relating to the sale of Lincoln Benefit Life Company.

Item 7. Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

	Page
Overview	12
2016 Highlights	12
Impact of Low Interest Rate Environment	12
Operations	14
Investments 2016 Highlights	22
Investments	22
Market Risk	34
Capital Resources and Liquidity	37
Application of Critical Accounting Estimates	41
Regulation and Legal Proceedings	46
Pending Accounting Standards	46

OVERVIEW

The following discussion highlights significant factors influencing the consolidated financial position and results of operations of Allstate Life Insurance Company (referred to in this document as “we,” “our,” “us,” the “Company” or “ALIC”). It should be read in conjunction with the 5-year summary of selected financial data, consolidated financial statements and related notes found under Part II, Item 6, and Item 8, contained herein. We operate as a single segment entity based on the manner in which we use financial information to evaluate business performance and to determine the allocation of resources.

The most important factors we monitor to evaluate the financial condition and performance of our company include:

- For operations: benefit and investment spread, asset-liability matching, amortization of deferred policy acquisition costs (“DAC”), expenses, net income, new business sales, invested assets, and premiums and contract charges.
- For investments: exposure to market risk, asset allocation, credit quality/experience, total return, net investment income, cash flows, realized capital gains and losses, unrealized capital gains and losses, stability of long-term returns, and asset and liability duration.
- For financial condition: liquidity, financial strength ratings, operating leverage, capital position, and return on equity.

Summary of Results:

- Net income was \$319 million in 2016 compared to \$561 million in 2015 and \$526 million in 2014. The decrease in 2016 primarily relates to net realized capital losses in 2016 compared to net realized capital gains in 2015 and lower net investment income, partially offset by lower operating costs and expenses and lower interest credited to contractholder funds. The increase in 2015 primarily relates to higher net realized capital gains and lower loss on disposition related to the Lincoln Benefit Life Company (“LBL”) sale, partially offset by lower net investment income and the reduction in business due to the sale of LBL.
- Consolidated shareholder’s equity increased to \$6.41 billion as of December 31, 2016 from \$5.93 billion as of December 31, 2015, primarily due to net income and an increase in unrealized net capital gains.

2016 HIGHLIGHTS

- Net income was \$319 million in 2016 compared to \$561 million in 2015.
- Premiums and contract charges on underwritten products, including traditional life, interest-sensitive life and accident and health insurance, totaled \$1.30 billion in 2016, a decrease of 2.2% from \$1.32 billion in 2015.
- Investments totaled \$35.07 billion as of December 31, 2016, reflecting an increase of \$105 million from \$34.96 billion as of December 31, 2015. Net investment income decreased 8.8% to \$1.66 billion in 2016 from \$1.82 billion in 2015.
- Net realized capital losses totaled \$77 million in 2016 compared to net realized capital gains of \$265 million in 2015.
- Contractholder funds totaled \$19.47 billion as of December 31, 2016, reflecting a decrease of \$1.07 billion from \$20.54 billion as of December 31, 2015.
- On April 1, 2014, we sold LBL’s life insurance business generated through independent master brokerage agencies, and all of LBL’s deferred fixed annuity and long-term care insurance business to Resolution Life Holdings, Inc. Therefore, 2014 includes LBL’s results for one quarter.
- Effective April 1, 2015, ALIC entered into a coinsurance reinsurance agreement with Allstate Assurance Company (“AAC”) to cede certain interest-sensitive life insurance policies with contractholder funds totaling \$476 million to AAC. This business generated approximately \$14 million of contract charges and \$9 million of contract benefits per quarter in 2014.

IMPACT OF LOW INTEREST RATE ENVIRONMENT

In December 2016, the Federal Open Market Committee (“FOMC”) tightened monetary policy by setting the new target range for the federal funds rate at 1/2 percent to 3/4 percent. The FOMC indicated that monetary policy remains accommodative after the increase, thereby supporting further strengthening in the labor market and a return to 2 percent inflation. The path of the federal funds rate increase will depend on economic conditions and their impact on the economic outlook. We anticipate that interest rates will continue to increase but remain below historic averages and that financial markets may continue to have periods of high volatility and less liquidity.

Deferred annuity contracts and interest sensitive life insurance policies with fixed and guaranteed crediting rates, or floors that limit crediting rate reductions, are adversely impacted by a prolonged low interest rate environment since we may not be able to reduce crediting rates sufficiently to maintain investment spreads. Financial results of long duration products that do not have stated crediting rate guarantees but for which underlying assets may have to be reinvested at interest rates that are lower than portfolio rates, such as structured settlements and term life insurance, may also be adversely impacted. Our investment strategy

for structured settlements includes increasing performance-based investments in which we have ownership interests and a greater proportion of return is derived from idiosyncratic asset or operating performance. We stopped selling new fixed annuity products January 1, 2014 and structured settlement annuities March 22, 2013.

The following table summarizes the weighted average guaranteed crediting rates and weighted average current crediting rates as of December 31, 2016 for certain fixed annuities and interest-sensitive life contracts where management has the ability to change the crediting rate, subject to a contractual minimum. Other products, including equity-indexed, variable and immediate annuities, and equity-indexed and variable life totaling \$5.60 billion of contractholder funds, have been excluded from the analysis because management does not have the ability to change the crediting rate or the minimum crediting rate is not considered meaningful in this context.

(\$ in millions)	Weighted average guaranteed crediting rates	Weighted average current crediting rates	Contractholder funds
Annuities with annual crediting rate resets	3.06%	3.07%	\$ 5,328
Annuities with multi-year rate guarantees ⁽¹⁾ :			
Resetable in next 12 months	1.85	3.24	401
Resetable after 12 months	1.37	3.25	1,212
Interest-sensitive life insurance	3.97	4.02	6,932

⁽¹⁾ These contracts include interest rate guarantee periods which are typically 5, 6 or 10 years.

Investing activity is likely to continue to decrease our portfolio yield as long as market yields remain below the current portfolio yield. The portfolio yield has been less impacted by reinvestment in the current low interest rate environment, as much of the investment cash flows have been used to fund the managed reduction in spread-based liabilities. The declines in both invested assets and portfolio yield are expected to result in lower net investment income in future periods.

As of December 31, 2016, we have fixed income securities that are not subject to prepayment with an amortized cost of \$22.25 billion and \$3.94 billion of commercial mortgage loans, of which approximately 6.0% and 7.3%, respectively, are expected to mature in 2017. Additionally, for asset-backed securities ("ABS"), residential mortgage-backed securities ("RMBS") and commercial mortgage-backed securities ("CMBS") that have the potential for prepayment and are therefore not categorized by contractual maturity, we received periodic principal payments of \$973 million in 2016. To the extent portfolio cash flows are reinvested into fixed income securities, the average pre-tax investment yield is expected to decline due to lower market yields. We shortened the maturity profile of the fixed income securities in 2015 to make the portfolio less sensitive to rising interest rates. Proceeds from the sale of longer duration fixed income securities were initially reinvested in shorter duration fixed income and public equity securities that lowered net investment income and portfolio yields. We expect to increase the portfolio allocation to performance-based investments over time, to better match the long-term nature of our immediate annuity liabilities and improve long-term economic results. We anticipate higher long-term returns on these investments. Since June 30, 2015, the carrying value of performance-based investments and market-based equity securities have increased by \$1.34 billion to \$4.27 billion.

In order to mitigate the unfavorable impact that the current and changing interest rate environment could have on investment results, we are:

- Managing our exposure to interest rate risk by maintaining a shorter maturity profile which will also result in the yield responding more quickly to changes in market interest rates.
- Shifting the portfolio mix over time to have less reliance on investments whose returns come primarily from interest payments to performance-based investments in which we have ownership interests and a greater proportion of return is derived from idiosyncratic asset or operating performance.
- Investing for the specific needs and characteristics of our business.

These topics are discussed in more detail in the respective sections of the MD&A.

OPERATIONS

Overview and strategy We currently sell traditional, interest-sensitive and variable life insurance and serve customers through Allstate exclusive agencies and exclusive financial specialists. We also sell voluntary accident and health insurance through workplace enrolling independent agents in New York. We previously offered and continue to have in force fixed annuities such as deferred and immediate annuities. We previously offered institutional products consisting of funding agreements sold to unaffiliated trusts that used them to back medium-term notes. There are no institutional products outstanding as of December 31, 2016. We also previously offered variable annuities and substantially all of this business is reinsured. Allstate exclusive agencies and exclusive financial specialists have a portfolio of non-proprietary products to sell, including mutual funds, fixed and variable annuities, disability insurance and long-term care insurance, to help meet customer needs.

We bring value to our ultimate parent, The Allstate Corporation (the “Corporation”) in three principal ways: through improving the economics of the Corporation through increased customer loyalty and deepened customer relationships based on cross selling our products to existing customers, bringing new customers to Allstate, and profitable growth. Our strategy is focused on expanding Allstate customer relationships, growing the number of products delivered to customers through Allstate exclusive agencies, and managing the runoff of our in-force annuity products to improve returns.

The strategy for our life insurance business centers on the continuation of efforts to fully integrate the business into the Allstate brand customer value proposition and modernizing our operating model. The life insurance product portfolio and sales process provide for clear and distinct positioning to meet the varied needs of Allstate customers and position Allstate exclusive agencies and exclusive financial specialists as trusted advisors. Our product positioning provides solutions to help meet customer needs during various life stages ranging from basic mortality protection to more complex mortality and financial planning solutions. Basic mortality protection solutions are provided through less complex products, such as term and whole life insurance, sold through exclusive agents and licensed sales professionals to deepen customer relationships. More advanced mortality and financial planning solutions are provided primarily through exclusive financial specialists with an emphasis on our more complex offerings, such as universal life insurance products. Many Allstate exclusive agencies utilize an exclusive financial specialist for their expertise with advanced life and retirement cases and other financial needs of customers. Successful partnerships will assist agencies with building stronger and deeper customer relationships. Sales producer education and technology improvements are being made to ensure agencies have the tools and information needed to help customers meet their needs and build personal relationships as trusted advisors. Additionally, tools will be made available to consumers to help them understand their needs and encourage interaction with their local agencies.

We exited the continuing sale of annuities over an eight year period from 2006 to 2014, reflecting our expectations of declining returns. As a result, the declining volume of business is managed with a focus on increasing lifetime economic value. While we may choose to selectively issue liabilities in the future, we currently do not expect any issuance to be material. Both the deferred and immediate annuity businesses have been adversely impacted by the historically low interest rate environment. Our immediate annuity business has also been impacted by medical advancements that have resulted in annuitants living longer than anticipated when many of these contracts were originated. We focus on the distinct risk and return profiles of the specific products outstanding when developing investment and liability management strategies. The level of legacy deferred annuities in force has been significantly reduced and the investment portfolio and annuity crediting rates are proactively managed to improve the profitability of the business while providing appropriate levels of liquidity. The investment portfolio supporting our immediate annuities is managed to ensure the assets match the characteristics of the liabilities and provide the long-term returns needed to support this business. To better match the long-term nature of our immediate annuities, we continue to increase performance-based investments in which we have ownership interests and a greater proportion of return is derived from idiosyncratic asset or operating performance.

Outlook

- Our growth initiatives continue to focus on increasing the number of customers served through Allstate agencies. This includes positioning Allstate exclusive agencies and exclusive financial specialists as trusted advisors.
- We will continue to focus on improving long-term economic returns on our in-force annuity products and managing the impacts of historically low interest rates. We expect lower investment spread on annuities due to the continuing managed reduction in contractholder funds and a continuation of our asset allocation strategy for long-term immediate annuities to include more performance-based investments. A greater proportion of the return on these investments is derived from idiosyncratic asset or operating performance. While we anticipate higher returns on these investments over time, the investment income can vary significantly between periods.
- We have limitations on the amount of dividends we can pay without prior insurance department approval.
- We continue to review strategic options to reduce exposure and improve returns of the spread-based businesses. As a result, we may take additional operational and financial actions that offer return improvement and risk reduction opportunities.

Summary analysis Summarized financial data for the years ended December 31 is presented in the following table.

(\$ in millions)	2016	2015	2014
Revenues			
Premiums	\$ 592	\$ 600	\$ 589
Contract charges	717	738	847
Net investment income	1,659	1,819	2,081
Realized capital gains and losses	(77)	265	143
Total revenues	<u>2,891</u>	<u>3,422</u>	<u>3,660</u>
Costs and expenses			
Contract benefits	(1,387)	(1,406)	(1,452)
Interest credited to contractholder funds	(677)	(717)	(891)
Amortization of DAC	(134)	(151)	(162)
Operating costs and expenses	(219)	(273)	(310)
Restructuring and related charges	(1)	—	(2)
Interest expense	(15)	(16)	(16)
Total costs and expenses	<u>(2,433)</u>	<u>(2,563)</u>	<u>(2,833)</u>
Gain (loss) on disposition of operations	5	3	(68)
Income tax expense	(144)	(301)	(233)
Net income	<u>\$ 319</u>	<u>\$ 561</u>	<u>\$ 526</u>

Net income was \$319 million in 2016 compared to \$561 million in 2015. The decrease was primarily due to net realized capital losses in 2016 compared to net realized capital gains in 2015 and lower net investment income, partially offset by lower operating costs and expenses and lower interest credited to contractholder funds.

Net income was \$561 million in 2015 compared to \$526 million in 2014. The increase primarily relates to higher net realized capital gains and the loss on disposition related to the LBL sale in 2014, partially offset by lower net investment income and the reduction in business due to the April 1, 2014 sale of LBL. Net income in 2014 included an after-tax loss on disposition of LBL totaling \$38 million. Excluding the loss on disposition as well as the net income of the LBL business for first quarter 2014 of \$28 million, net income increased \$25 million in 2015 compared to 2014, primarily due to higher net realized capital gains and lower interest credited to contractholder funds, partially offset by lower net investment income.

Analysis of revenues Total revenues decreased 15.5% or \$531 million in 2016 compared to 2015, primarily due to net realized capital losses in 2016 compared to net realized capital gains in 2015 and lower net investment income. Total revenues decreased 6.5% or \$238 million in 2015 compared to 2014. Excluding results of the LBL business for first quarter 2014 of \$211 million, total revenues decreased 0.8% or \$27 million in 2015 compared to 2014, primarily due to lower net investment income, partially offset by higher net realized capital gains.

Premiums represent revenues generated from traditional life insurance, accident and health insurance products, and immediate annuities with life contingencies that have significant mortality or morbidity risk.

Contract charges are revenues generated from interest-sensitive and variable life insurance and fixed annuities for which deposits are classified as contractholder funds or separate account liabilities. Contract charges are assessed against the contractholder account values for maintenance, administration, cost of insurance and surrender prior to contractually specified dates.

The following table summarizes premiums and contract charges by product for the years ended December 31.

(\$ in millions)	2016	2015	2014
Underwritten products			
Traditional life insurance premiums	\$ 502	\$ 515	\$ 492
Accident and health insurance premiums	90	85	93
Interest-sensitive life insurance contract charges	703	724	828
Subtotal	1,295	1,324	1,413
Annuities			
Immediate annuities with life contingencies premiums	—	—	4
Other fixed annuity contract charges	14	14	19
Subtotal	14	14	23
Premiums and contract charges ⁽¹⁾	\$ 1,309	\$ 1,338	\$ 1,436

⁽¹⁾ Contract charges related to the cost of insurance totaled \$495 million, \$503 million and \$582 million in 2016, 2015 and 2014, respectively.

Total premiums and contract charges decreased 2.2% or \$29 million in 2016 compared to 2015, primarily due to lower sales of traditional life insurance and lower interest-sensitive life insurance contract charges related to the reinsurance agreement with AAC effective April 1, 2015.

Total premiums and contract charges decreased 6.8% or \$98 million in 2015 compared to 2014. Excluding results of the LBL business for first quarter 2014 of \$85 million, premiums and contract charges decreased \$13 million in 2015 compared to 2014, primarily due to lower interest-sensitive life insurance contract charges, partially offset by increased traditional life insurance renewal premiums. The lower interest-sensitive life insurance contract charges primarily relates to the reinsurance agreement with AAC effective April 1, 2015.

Contractholder funds represent interest-bearing liabilities arising from the sale of products such as interest-sensitive life insurance, fixed annuities and funding agreements. The balance of contractholder funds is equal to the cumulative deposits received and interest credited to the contractholder less cumulative contract benefits, surrenders, withdrawals, maturities and contract charges for mortality or administrative expenses.

The following table shows the changes in contractholder funds for the years ended December 31.

(\$ in millions)	2016	2015	2014
Contractholder funds, beginning balance	\$ 20,542	\$ 21,816	\$ 23,604
Contractholder funds classified as held for sale, beginning balance	—	—	10,945
Total contractholder funds, including those classified as held for sale	20,542	21,816	34,549
Deposits			
Interest-sensitive life insurance	808	854	953
Fixed annuities	161	198	274
Total deposits	969	1,052	1,227
Interest credited	672	716	892
Benefits, withdrawals, maturities and other adjustments			
Benefits	(947)	(1,060)	(1,178)
Surrenders and partial withdrawals	(1,014)	(1,246)	(2,253)
Maturities of and interest payments on institutional products	(86)	(1)	(2)
Contract charges	(665)	(684)	(798)
Net transfers from separate accounts	5	7	7
Other adjustments ⁽¹⁾	(6)	(58)	34
Total benefits, withdrawals, maturities and other adjustments	(2,713)	(3,042)	(4,190)
Contractholder funds sold in LBL disposition	—	—	(10,662)
Contractholder funds, ending balance	\$ 19,470	\$ 20,542	\$ 21,816

⁽¹⁾ The table above illustrates the changes in contractholder funds, which are presented gross of reinsurance recoverables on the Consolidated Statements of Financial Position. The table above is intended to supplement our discussion and analysis of revenues, which are presented net of reinsurance on the Consolidated Statements of Operations and Comprehensive Income. As a result, the net change in contractholder funds associated with products reinsured to third parties is reflected as a component of the other adjustments line.

Contractholder funds decreased 5.2% and 5.8% in 2016 and 2015, respectively, primarily due to the continued runoff of our deferred fixed annuity business. We stopped offering new deferred fixed annuities beginning January 1, 2014, but still accept additional deposits on existing contracts.

Contractholder deposits decreased 7.9% in 2016 compared to 2015, primarily due to lower deposits on interest-sensitive life insurance resulting from lower reinsurance assumed and the absence of deposits on the business reinsured to AAC effective April 1, 2015, and lower additional deposits on deferred fixed annuities. Contractholder deposits decreased 14.3% in 2015 compared to 2014, primarily due to lower deposits on interest-sensitive life insurance due to the LBL sale and the absence of deposits on the business reinsured to AAC effective April 1, 2015, and lower additional deposits on fixed annuities.

Surrenders and partial withdrawals on deferred fixed annuities and interest-sensitive life insurance products decreased 18.6% to \$1.01 billion in 2016 from \$1.25 billion in 2015, primarily due to decreases in deferred fixed annuities. Surrenders and partial withdrawals on deferred fixed annuities and interest-sensitive life insurance products decreased 44.7% to \$1.25 billion in 2015 from \$2.25 billion in 2014, primarily due to the continued runoff of our deferred annuity business and the LBL sale. Additionally, 2014 had elevated surrenders on fixed annuities resulting from a large number of contracts reaching the 30-45 day period (typically at their 5 or 6 year anniversary) during which there is no surrender charge. The surrender and partial withdrawal rate on deferred fixed annuities and interest-sensitive life insurance products, based on the beginning of year contractholder funds, was 6.2% in 2016 compared to 7.2% in 2015 and 10.2% in 2014.

Maturities of and interest payments on institutional products included an \$85 million maturity in 2016. There are no institutional products outstanding as of December 31, 2016.

Analysis of costs and expenses Total costs and expenses decreased 5.1% or \$130 million in 2016 compared to 2015, primarily due to lower operating costs and expenses, interest credited to contractholder funds, contract benefits and amortization of DAC. Total costs and expenses decreased 9.5% or \$270 million in 2015 compared to 2014. Excluding results of the LBL business for first quarter 2014 of \$168 million, total costs and expenses decreased \$102 million in 2015 compared to 2014, primarily due to lower interest credited to contractholder funds and lower operating costs and expenses, partially offset by higher contract benefits.

Contract benefits decreased 1.4% or \$19 million in 2016 compared to 2015, primarily due to favorable life insurance mortality experience and a decline related to the reinsurance agreement with AAC effective April 1, 2015, partially offset by an increase in reserves for secondary guarantees on interest-sensitive life insurance and unfavorable immediate annuity mortality experience. Our 2016 annual review of assumptions resulted in a \$10 million increase in reserves primarily for secondary guarantees on interest-sensitive life insurance due to higher than anticipated retention of guaranteed interest-sensitive life business.

Contract benefits decreased 3.2% or \$46 million in 2015 compared to 2014. Excluding results of the LBL business for first quarter 2014 of \$65 million, contract benefits increased \$19 million in 2015 compared to 2014, primarily due to unfavorable life insurance mortality experience, partially offset by a decline related to the reinsurance agreement with AAC effective April 1, 2015. Our 2015 annual review of assumptions resulted in a \$4 million increase in reserves primarily for secondary guarantees on interest-sensitive life insurance due to higher than anticipated retention on guaranteed interest-sensitive life business.

In 2016, we completed a mortality study for our structured settlement annuities with life contingencies (a type of immediate fixed annuities). The study indicated that annuitants are living longer and receiving benefits for a longer period than originally estimated. A substantial portion of the structured settlement annuity business includes annuitants with severe injuries or other health impairments which significantly reduced their life expectancy at the time the annuity was issued. Medical advances and access to medical care may be favorably impacting mortality rates. The results of the study were included in the premium deficiency and profits followed by losses evaluations as of December 31, 2016, and no adjustments were recognized. We aggregate traditional life insurance products and immediate annuities with life contingencies in these evaluations. While there was an unfavorable change in mortality assumptions as a result of the study, there was a favorable change in the long-term investment yield assumptions due to the increase in performance-based investments and equity securities.

We analyze our mortality and morbidity results using the difference between premiums and contract charges earned for the cost of insurance and contract benefits excluding the portion related to the implied interest on immediate annuities with life contingencies (“benefit spread”). This implied interest totaled \$510 million, \$511 million and \$521 million in 2016, 2015 and 2014, respectively.

The benefit spread by product group for the years ended December 31 is disclosed in the following table.

(\$ in millions)	2016	2015	2014
Life insurance	\$ 256	\$ 256	\$ 293
Accident and health insurance	40	32	32
Annuities	(86)	(80)	(85)
Total benefit spread	\$ 210	\$ 208	\$ 240

Benefit spread increased 1.0% or \$2 million in 2016 compared to 2015, primarily due to favorable life insurance mortality experience and higher accident and health insurance premiums, partially offset by lower life insurance premiums, an increase in reserves for secondary guarantees on interest-sensitive life insurance and unfavorable immediate annuity mortality experience.

Benefit spread decreased 13.3% or \$32 million in 2015 compared to 2014. Excluding results of the LBL business for first quarter 2014 of \$(1) million, benefit spread decreased \$33 million in 2015 compared to 2014, primarily due to unfavorable life insurance mortality experience and a decline related to the reinsurance agreement with AAC effective April 1, 2015, partially offset by higher life insurance premiums.

Interest credited to contractholder funds decreased 5.6% or \$40 million in 2016 compared to 2015, primarily due to lower average contractholder funds and a decline related to the reinsurance agreement with AAC effective April 1, 2015. Interest credited to contractholder funds decreased 19.5% or \$174 million in 2015 compared to 2014. Excluding results of the LBL business for first quarter 2014 of \$90 million, interest credited to contractholder funds decreased \$84 million in 2015 compared to 2014, primarily due to lower average contractholder funds, a decline related to the reinsurance agreement with AAC effective April 1, 2015, and lower interest crediting rates. Valuation changes on derivatives embedded in equity-indexed annuity contracts that are not hedged increased interest credited to contractholder funds by \$3 million in 2016 compared to \$2 million in 2015 and \$22 million in 2014.

In order to analyze the impact of net investment income and interest credited to contractholders on net income, we monitor the difference between net investment income and the sum of interest credited to contractholder funds and the implied interest on immediate annuities with life contingencies, which is included as a component of contract benefits on the Consolidated Statements of Operations and Comprehensive Income ("investment spread").

The investment spread by product group for the years ended December 31 is shown in the following table.

(\$ in millions)	2016	2015	2014
Annuities and institutional products	\$ 128	\$ 236	\$ 319
Life insurance	131	146	108
Accident and health insurance	5	6	8
Net investment income on investments supporting capital	211	205	256
Investment spread before valuation changes on embedded derivatives that are not hedged	475	593	691
Valuation changes on derivatives embedded in equity-indexed annuity contracts that are not hedged	(3)	(2)	(22)
Total investment spread	\$ 472	\$ 591	\$ 669

Investment spread before valuation changes on embedded derivatives that are not hedged decreased 19.9% or \$118 million in 2016 compared to 2015, primarily due to lower net investment income. Investment spread before valuation changes on embedded derivatives that are not hedged decreased 14.2% or \$98 million in 2015 compared to 2014. Excluding results of the LBL business for first quarter 2014 of \$46 million, investment spread before valuation changes on embedded derivatives that are not hedged decreased \$52 million in 2015 compared to 2014, due to lower net investment income, partially offset by lower credited interest.

To further analyze investment spreads, the following table summarizes the weighted average investment yield on assets supporting product liabilities and capital, interest crediting rates and investment spreads. For purposes of these calculations, investments, reserves and contractholder funds classified as held for sale were included for periods prior to April 1, 2014. Investment spreads may vary significantly between periods due to the variability in investment income, particularly for immediate fixed annuities where the investment portfolio includes limited partnerships.

	Weighted average investment yield			Weighted average interest crediting rate			Weighted average investment spreads		
	2016	2015	2014	2016	2015	2014	2016	2015	2014
Interest-sensitive life insurance	5.1%	5.4%	5.3%	3.9%	3.8%	3.9%	1.2%	1.6%	1.4%
Deferred fixed annuities and institutional products	4.1	4.3	4.5	2.8	2.8	2.9	1.3	1.5	1.6
Immediate fixed annuities with and without life contingencies	6.5	7.0	7.3	5.9	5.9	6.0	0.6	1.1	1.3
Investments supporting capital, traditional life and other products	3.8	4.0	4.6	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a

The following table summarizes our product liabilities as of December 31 and indicates the value of those contracts and policies for which an investment spread is generated.

(\$ in millions)	2016	2015	2014
Immediate fixed annuities with life contingencies	\$ 8,616	\$ 8,708	\$ 8,900
Other life contingent contracts and other	2,706	2,686	2,666
Reserve for life-contingent contract benefits	<u>\$ 11,322</u>	<u>\$ 11,394</u>	<u>\$ 11,566</u>
Interest-sensitive life insurance	\$ 7,312	\$ 7,262	\$ 7,193
Deferred fixed annuities	8,884	9,710	10,836
Immediate fixed annuities without life contingencies	3,009	3,224	3,448
Institutional products	—	85	85
Other	265	261	254
Contractholder funds	<u>\$ 19,470</u>	<u>\$ 20,542</u>	<u>\$ 21,816</u>

Amortization of DAC The components of amortization of DAC for the years ended December 31 are summarized in the following table.

(\$ in millions)	2016	2015	2014
Amortization of DAC before amortization relating to realized capital gains and losses, valuation changes on embedded derivatives that are not hedged and changes in assumptions	\$ 134	\$ 147	\$ 164
Amortization relating to realized capital gains and losses ⁽¹⁾ and valuation changes on embedded derivatives that are not hedged	6	4	5
Amortization deceleration for changes in assumptions (“DAC unlocking”)	(6)	—	(7)
Total amortization of DAC	<u>\$ 134</u>	<u>\$ 151</u>	<u>\$ 162</u>

⁽¹⁾ The impact of realized capital gains and losses on amortization of DAC is dependent upon the relationship between the assets that give rise to the gain or loss and the product liability supported by the assets. Fluctuations result from changes in the impact of realized capital gains and losses on actual and expected gross profits.

Amortization of DAC decreased 11.3% or \$17 million in 2016 compared to 2015, primarily due to the decline in new and inforce business and amortization deceleration for changes in assumptions in 2016.

Amortization of DAC decreased 6.8% or \$11 million in 2015 compared to 2014. Excluding results of the LBL business for first quarter 2014 of \$5 million, amortization of DAC decreased \$6 million in 2015 compared to 2014, primarily due to lower amortization on interest-sensitive insurance resulting from decreased gross profits, partially offset by amortization deceleration for changes in assumptions in 2014.

Our annual comprehensive review of assumptions underlying estimated future gross profits for our interest-sensitive life, fixed annuities and other investment contracts covers assumptions for persistency, mortality, expenses, investment returns, including capital gains and losses, interest crediting rates to policyholders, and the effect of any hedges in all product lines. In 2016, the review resulted in a deceleration of DAC amortization (credit to income) of \$6 million. DAC amortization deceleration for changes in the investment margin component of estimated gross profits related to interest-sensitive life insurance and was due to increased projected investment margins from a favorable asset portfolio mix. DAC amortization deceleration for changes in the expense margin component of estimated gross profits related primarily to variable life insurance and was due to a decrease in projected expenses.

In 2015, the review resulted in no net acceleration of DAC amortization.

In 2014, the review resulted in a deceleration of DAC amortization of \$7 million. Amortization deceleration of \$9 million related to interest-sensitive life insurance and was primarily due to a decrease in projected expenses, partially offset by increased projected mortality. Amortization acceleration of \$2 million related to fixed annuities and was primarily due to a decrease in projected gross profits.

The changes in DAC for the years ended December 31 are detailed in the following table.

(\$ in millions)	Traditional life and accident and health		Interest-sensitive life insurance		Fixed annuities		Total	
	2016	2015	2016	2015	2016	2015	2016	2015
Beginning balance	\$ 452	\$ 455	\$ 815	\$ 769	\$ 47	\$ 47	\$ 1,314	\$ 1,271
Acquisition costs deferred	28	47	51	77	—	—	79	124
Amortization of DAC before amortization relating to realized capital gains and losses, valuation changes on embedded derivatives that are not hedged and changes in assumptions ⁽¹⁾	(41)	(50)	(86)	(91)	(7)	(6)	(134)	(147)
Amortization relating to realized capital gains and losses and valuation changes on embedded derivatives that are not hedged ⁽¹⁾	—	—	(6)	(5)	—	1	(6)	(4)
Amortization deceleration for changes in assumptions (“DAC unlocking”) ⁽¹⁾	—	—	6	—	—	—	6	—
Effect of unrealized capital gains and losses ⁽²⁾	—	—	(72)	94	—	5	(72)	99
Reinsurance ceded ⁽³⁾	—	—	—	(29)	—	—	—	(29)
Ending balance	\$ 439	\$ 452	\$ 708	\$ 815	\$ 40	\$ 47	\$ 1,187	\$ 1,314

⁽¹⁾ Included as a component of amortization of DAC on the Consolidated Statements of Operations and Comprehensive Income.

⁽²⁾ Represents the change in the DAC adjustment for unrealized capital gains and losses. The DAC adjustment represents the amount by which the amortization of DAC would increase or decrease if the unrealized gains and losses in the respective product portfolios were realized.

⁽³⁾ In 2015, DAC decreased as a result of a reinsurance agreement with AAC.

Operating costs and expenses decreased 19.8% or \$54 million in 2016 compared to 2015. Operating costs and expenses decreased 11.9% or \$37 million in 2015 compared to 2014. Excluding results of the LBL business for first quarter 2014 of \$8 million, operating costs and expenses decreased \$29 million in 2015 compared to 2014.

The following table summarizes operating costs and expenses for the years ended December 31.

(\$ in millions)	2016	2015	2014
Non-deferrable commissions	\$ 20	\$ 16	\$ 15
General and administrative expenses	168	225	261
Taxes and licenses	31	32	34
Total operating costs and expenses	\$ 219	\$ 273	\$ 310
Restructuring and related charges	\$ 1	\$ —	\$ 2

General and administrative expenses decreased 25.3% or \$57 million in 2016 compared to 2015, and 13.8% or \$36 million in 2015 compared to 2014, primarily due to lower employee related and other operating costs as a result of the decline in new and inforce business.

Income tax expense in first quarter 2015 included \$17 million related to our adoption of new accounting guidance for investments in qualified affordable housing projects.

Reinsurance Ceded In the normal course of business, we seek to limit aggregate and single exposure to losses on large risks by purchasing reinsurance. In addition, we have used reinsurance to effect the disposition of certain blocks of business. We retain primary liability as a direct insurer for all risks ceded to reinsurers. As of both December 31, 2016 and 2015, 23% of our face amount of life insurance in force was reinsured. Additionally, we ceded substantially all of the risk associated with our variable annuity business to Prudential Insurance Company of America.

Our reinsurance recoverables, summarized by reinsurer as of December 31, are shown in the following table.

(\$ in millions)	S&P financial strength rating ⁽¹⁾	Reinsurance recoverable on paid and unpaid benefits	
		2016	2015
Prudential Insurance Company of America	AA-	\$ 1,406	\$ 1,438
Allstate Assurance Company ⁽²⁾	N/A	452	464
RGA Reinsurance Company	AA-	250	266
Swiss Re Life and Health America, Inc.	AA-	151	152
Munich American Reassurance	AA-	98	102
Scottish Re Group	N/A	90	94
Transamerica Life Group	AA-	84	82
John Hancock Life & Health Insurance Company	AA-	55	56
Triton Insurance Company	N/A	49	51
American Health & Life Insurance Company	N/A	41	43
Lincoln National Life Insurance	AA-	31	34
Security Life of Denver	A	30	31
SCOR Global Life	AA-	17	18
American United Life Insurance Company	AA-	15	16
Other ⁽³⁾		22	24
Total		\$ 2,791	\$ 2,871

⁽¹⁾ N/A reflects no S&P Global Ratings ("S&P") rating available.

⁽²⁾ Affiliate company.

⁽³⁾ As of December 31, 2016 and 2015, the other category includes \$20 million and \$23 million, respectively, of recoverables due from reinsurers with an investment grade credit rating from S&P.

We continuously monitor the creditworthiness of reinsurers in order to determine our risk of recoverability on an individual and aggregate basis, and a provision for uncollectible reinsurance is recorded if needed. No amounts have been deemed unrecoverable in the three-years ended December 31, 2016.

INVESTMENTS 2016 HIGHLIGHTS

- Investments totaled \$35.07 billion as of December 31, 2016, increasing from \$34.96 billion as of December 31, 2015.
- Unrealized net capital gains totaled \$1.20 billion as of December 31, 2016, increasing from \$883 million as of December 31, 2015.
- Net investment income was \$1.66 billion in 2016, a decrease of 8.8% from \$1.82 billion in 2015.
- Net realized capital losses were \$77 million in 2016 compared to net realized capital gains of \$265 million in 2015.

INVESTMENTS

Overview and strategy The return on our investment portfolio is an important component of our ability to offer good value to customers and earn an acceptable return on capital. We identify a strategic asset allocation which considers both the nature of the liabilities and the risk and return characteristics of the various asset classes in which we invest. This allocation is informed by our long-term and market expectations, as well as other considerations such as risk appetite, portfolio diversification, duration, desired liquidity and capital. Within appropriate ranges relative to strategic allocations, tactical allocations are made in consideration of prevailing and potential future market conditions. We manage risks that involve uncertainty related to interest rates, credit spreads, equity returns and currency exchange rates.

Our portfolio is comprised of assets chosen to generate returns to support corresponding liabilities, within an asset-liability framework that targets an appropriate return on capital. For longer-term immediate annuity liabilities, we invest primarily in performance-based investments and equity securities. For shorter-term annuity and life insurance liabilities, we invest primarily in interest-bearing investments, such as fixed income securities and commercial mortgage loans.

We utilize four high level strategies to manage risks and returns and to position our portfolio to take advantage of market opportunities while attempting to mitigate adverse effects. As strategies and market conditions evolve, the asset allocation may change or assets may be moved between strategies.

Market-Based Core strategy seeks to deliver predictable earnings aligned to business needs through investments primarily in public fixed income and equity securities. Private fixed income assets, such as commercial mortgages, bank loans and privately placed debt are also included in this category. As of December 31, 2016, 88% of the total portfolio follows this strategy with 88% in fixed income securities and mortgage loans and 4% in equity securities.

Market-Based Active strategy seeks to outperform within the public markets through tactical positioning and by taking advantage of short-term opportunities. This strategy may generate results that meaningfully deviate from those achieved by market indices, both favorably and unfavorably. The portfolio primarily includes public fixed income and equity securities. As of December 31, 2016, 4% of the total portfolio follows this strategy with 76% in fixed income securities and 16% in equity securities.

Performance-Based Long-Term (“PBLT”) strategy seeks to deliver attractive risk-adjusted returns over a longer horizon. The return is a function of both general market conditions and the performance of the underlying assets or businesses. The portfolio, which primarily includes private equity, real estate, infrastructure and agriculture-related assets, is diversified across a number of characteristics, including managers or partners, vintage years, strategies, geographies (including international) and industry sectors or property types. These investments are generally illiquid in nature, often require specialized expertise, typically involve a third party manager, and may offer the potential to add value through transformation at the company or property level. As of December 31, 2016, 8% of the total portfolio follows this strategy with 93% in limited partnership interests.

Performance-Based Opportunistic strategy seeks to earn attractive returns by making investments that involve asset dislocations or special situations, often in private markets.

Investments outlook

In December 2016, the FOMC tightened monetary policy by setting the new target range for the federal funds rate at 1/2 percent to 3/4 percent and maintained their inflation target of 2 percent. The FOMC noted that monetary policy remains accommodative after the increase, thereby supporting further strengthening in the labor market and a return to 2 percent inflation. The path of the federal funds rate increase will depend on economic conditions and their impact on the economic outlook. We anticipate that interest rates will continue to increase but remain below historic averages and that financial markets may continue to have periods of high volatility and less liquidity.

Invested assets and income are expected to decline in line with reductions in contractholder funds. Additionally, investment income will decline as we continue to invest and reinvest investment proceeds at market yields that are below the current portfolio yield. We plan to focus on the following priorities:

- Expanding our capabilities in performance-based investing to increase portfolio returns and capital creation and taking advantage of increased market volatility through allocations to market-based active strategies.

- Continue to shift the portfolio mix to include more performance-based investments. A greater proportion of the return on these investments is derived from idiosyncratic asset or operating performance. While we anticipate higher returns on these investments over time, the investment income can vary significantly between periods.
- Investing for the specific needs and characteristics of our business, including our liability profile.

Portfolio composition The composition of the investment portfolio as of December 31, 2016 is presented in the following table.

(\$ in millions)		Percent to total
Fixed income securities ⁽¹⁾	\$ 24,222	69.1%
Mortgage loans	3,938	11.2
Equity securities ⁽²⁾	1,511	4.3
Limited partnership interests ⁽³⁾	2,776	7.9
Short-term investments ⁽⁴⁾	566	1.6
Policy loans	563	1.6
Other	1,491	4.3
Total	\$ 35,067	100.0%

⁽¹⁾ Fixed income securities are carried at fair value. Amortized cost basis for these securities was \$23.11 billion.

⁽²⁾ Equity securities are carried at fair value. Cost basis for these securities was \$1.43 billion.

⁽³⁾ We have commitments to invest in additional limited partnership interests totaling \$1.40 billion.

⁽⁴⁾ Short-term investments are carried at fair value. Amortized cost basis for these investments was \$566 million.

Investments totaled \$35.07 billion as of December 31, 2016, increasing from \$34.96 billion as of December 31, 2015, primarily due to positive operating cash flows and higher fixed income valuations, partially offset by net reductions in contractholder funds.

Portfolio composition by investment strategy The following table presents the investment portfolio by strategy as of December 31, 2016.

(\$ in millions)	Total	Market-Based Core	Market-Based Active	Performance- Based Long-Term	Performance- Based Opportunistic
Fixed income securities	\$ 24,222	\$ 23,271	\$ 946	\$ 5	\$ —
Mortgage loans	3,938	3,938	—	—	—
Equity securities	1,511	1,261	203	47	—
Limited partnership interests	2,776	165	—	2,603	8
Short-term investments	566	467	99	—	—
Policy loans	563	563	—	—	—
Other	1,491	1,346	4	141	—
Total	\$ 35,067	\$ 31,011	\$ 1,252	\$ 2,796	\$ 8
% of total		88%	4%	8%	—%
Unrealized net capital gains and losses					
Fixed income securities	\$ 1,110	\$ 1,108	\$ 2	\$ —	\$ —
Equity securities	82	73	7	2	—
Limited partnership interests	(2)	—	—	(2)	—
Other	5	5	—	—	—
Total	\$ 1,195	\$ 1,186	\$ 9	\$ —	\$ —

During 2016, strategic actions focused on optimizing portfolio yield, return and risk in the low interest rate environment. We maintained our portfolio's shorter maturity profile established in 2015 and continued to shift the proceeds from the sale of longer duration fixed income securities primarily to performance-based investments. These actions have reduced our exposure to rising interest rates. We continue to increase our performance-based investments, consistent with our ongoing strategy to have a greater proportion of return derived from idiosyncratic asset or operating performance and equity securities. Performance-based investments and equity securities will continue to be allocated primarily to the longer-term immediate annuity liabilities to improve returns on those products. Shorter-term annuity and life insurance liabilities will continue to be invested primarily in interest-bearing investments, such as fixed income securities and commercial mortgage loans.

Fixed income securities by type are listed in the following table.

(\$ in millions)	Fair value as of December 31, 2016	Percent to total investments	Fair value as of December 31, 2015	Percent to total investments
U.S. government and agencies	\$ 1,014	2.9%	\$ 977	2.8%
Municipal	2,274	6.5	2,442	7.0
Corporate	19,681	56.1	18,504	52.9
Foreign government	332	1.0	384	1.1
ABS	331	0.9	1,420	4.1
RMBS	333	1.0	451	1.3
CMBS	241	0.7	436	1.3
Redeemable preferred stock	16	—	15	—
Total fixed income securities	\$ 24,222	69.1%	\$ 24,629	70.5%

Fixed income securities are rated by third party credit rating agencies and/or are internally rated. As of December 31, 2016, 86.9% of the fixed income securities portfolio was rated investment grade, which is defined as a security having a rating of Aaa, Aa, A or Baa from Moody's, a rating of AAA, AA, A or BBB from S&P, a comparable rating from another nationally recognized rating agency, or a comparable internal rating if an externally provided rating is not available. Credit ratings below these designations are considered low credit quality or below investment grade, which includes high yield bonds. Market prices for certain securities may have credit spreads which imply higher or lower credit quality than the current third party rating. Our initial investment decisions and ongoing monitoring procedures for fixed income securities are based on a thorough due diligence process which includes, but is not limited to, an assessment of the credit quality, sector, structure, and liquidity risks of each issue.

The following table summarizes the fair value and unrealized net capital gains and losses for fixed income securities by credit quality as of December 31, 2016.

(\$ in millions)	Investment grade		Below investment grade		Total	
	Fair value	Unrealized gain/(loss)	Fair value	Unrealized gain/(loss)	Fair value	Unrealized gain/(loss)
U.S. government and agencies	\$ 1,014	\$ 46	\$ —	\$ —	\$ 1,014	\$ 46
Municipal	2,232	258	42	(1)	2,274	257
Corporate						
Public	11,883	439	1,639	25	13,522	464
Privately placed	5,127	255	1,032	17	6,159	272
Foreign government	327	28	5	—	332	28
ABS						
Collateralized debt obligations ("CDO")	102	(6)	27	—	129	(6)
Consumer and other asset-backed securities ("Consumer and other ABS")	198	(1)	4	1	202	—
RMBS						
U.S. government sponsored entities ("U.S. Agency")	61	4	—	—	61	4
Non-agency	22	—	250	35	272	35
CMBS	67	1	174	7	241	8
Redeemable preferred stock	16	2	—	—	16	2
Total fixed income securities	\$ 21,049	\$ 1,026	\$ 3,173	\$ 84	\$ 24,222	\$ 1,110

Municipal bonds totaled \$2.27 billion as of December 31, 2016 with an unrealized net capital gain of \$257 million. The municipal bond portfolio includes general obligations of state and local issuers and revenue bonds (including pre-refunded bonds, which are bonds for which an irrevocable trust has been established to fund the remaining payments of principal and interest).

The following table summarizes by state the fair value, amortized cost and credit rating of our municipal bonds, excluding \$31 million of pre-refunded bonds, as of December 31, 2016.

(\$ in millions)	State general obligation	Local general obligation	Revenue ⁽¹⁾	Fair value	Amortized cost	Average credit rating
Texas	\$ —	\$ 262	\$ 118	\$ 380	\$ 338	Aa
California	44	139	151	334	286	Aa
Oregon	—	181	20	201	171	Aa
New Jersey	81	15	25	121	106	A
Michigan	50	—	66	116	111	A
New York	6	—	109	115	105	Aa
Florida	27	28	49	104	96	Aa
Illinois	—	15	78	93	80	Aa
Washington	—	—	67	67	61	Aa
District of Columbia	—	—	61	61	53	A
All others	109	153	389	651	582	A
Total	\$ 317	\$ 793	\$ 1,133	\$ 2,243	\$ 1,989	Aa

⁽¹⁾ The nature of the activities supporting revenue bonds is diversified and includes transportation, health care, industrial development, housing, higher education, utilities, recreation/convention centers and other activities.

Our practice for acquiring and monitoring municipal bonds is predominantly based on the underlying credit quality of the primary obligor. We currently rely on the primary obligor to pay all contractual cash flows and are not relying on bond insurers for payments. As a result of downgrades in the insurers' credit ratings, the ratings of the insured municipal bonds generally reflect the underlying ratings of the primary obligor. As of December 31, 2016, all of our insured municipal bond portfolio is rated investment grade.

Corporate bonds, including publicly traded and privately placed, totaled \$19.68 billion as of December 31, 2016, with an unrealized net capital gain of \$736 million. Privately placed securities primarily consist of corporate issued senior debt securities that are directly negotiated with the borrower or are in unregistered form.

Our \$6.16 billion portfolio of privately placed securities is diversified by issuer, industry sector and country. The portfolio is made up of 395 issuers. Privately placed corporate obligations may contain structural security features such as financial covenants and call protections that provide investors greater protection against credit deterioration, reinvestment risk or fluctuations in interest rates than those typically found in publicly registered debt securities. Additionally, investments in these securities are made after due diligence of the issuer, typically including discussions with senior management and on-site visits to company facilities. Ongoing monitoring includes direct periodic dialog with senior management of the issuer and continuous monitoring of operating performance and financial position. Every issue not rated by an independent rating agency is internally rated with a formal rating affirmation at least once a year.

Our corporate bonds portfolio includes \$2.67 billion of below investment grade bonds, \$1.03 billion of which are privately placed. These securities are diversified by issuer and industry sector. The below investment grade corporate bonds portfolio is made up of 257 issuers. We employ fundamental analyses of issuers and sectors along with macro and asset class views to identify investment opportunities. This results in a portfolio with broad exposure to the high yield market, yet with an emphasis on idiosyncratic positions reflective of our views of market conditions and opportunities.

Foreign government securities totaled \$332 million as of December 31, 2016, with 98.5% rated investment grade and an unrealized net capital gain of \$28 million. Of these securities, 57.2% are backed by the U.S. government, 15.4% are in Canadian governmental and provincial securities, and the remaining 27.4% are highly diversified in other foreign governments.

ABS, RMBS and CMBS are structured securities that are primarily collateralized by consumer or corporate borrowings and residential and commercial real estate loans. The cash flows from the underlying collateral paid to the securitization trust are generally applied in a pre-determined order and are designed so that each security issued by the trust, typically referred to as a "class", qualifies for a specific original rating. For example, the "senior" portion or "top" of the capital structure, or rating class, which would originally qualify for a rating of Aaa typically has priority in receiving principal repayments on the underlying collateral and retains this priority until the class is paid in full. In a sequential structure, underlying collateral principal repayments are directed to the most senior rated Aaa class in the structure until paid in full, after which principal repayments are directed to the next most senior Aaa class in the structure until it is paid in full. Senior Aaa classes generally share any losses from the underlying collateral on a pro-rata basis after losses are absorbed by classes with lower original ratings. The payment priority and class subordination included in these securities serves as credit enhancement for holders of the senior or top portions of the structures. These securities continue to retain the payment priority features that existed at the origination of the securitization trust. Other forms of credit enhancement may include structural features embedded in the securitization trust, such as

overcollateralization, excess spread and bond insurance. The underlying collateral may contain fixed interest rates, variable interest rates (such as adjustable rate mortgages), or both fixed and variable rate features.

ABS, including CDO and Consumer and other ABS, totaled \$331 million as of December 31, 2016, with 90.6% rated investment grade and an unrealized net capital loss of \$6 million. Credit risk is managed by monitoring the performance of the underlying collateral. Many of the securities in the ABS portfolio have credit enhancement with features such as overcollateralization, subordinated structures, reserve funds, guarantees and/or insurance.

CDO totaled \$129 million as of December 31, 2016, with 79.1% rated investment grade and an unrealized net capital loss of \$6 million. CDO consist of obligations collateralized by cash flow CDO, which are structures collateralized primarily by below investment grade senior secured corporate loans. Consumer and other ABS totaled \$202 million as of December 31, 2016, with 98.0% rated investment grade.

RMBS totaled \$333 million as of December 31, 2016, with 24.9% rated investment grade and an unrealized net capital gain of \$39 million. The RMBS portfolio is subject to interest rate risk, but unlike other fixed income securities, is additionally subject to prepayment risk from the underlying residential mortgage loans. RMBS consists of a U.S. Agency portfolio having collateral issued or guaranteed by U.S. government agencies and a non-agency portfolio consisting of securities collateralized by Prime, Alt-A and Subprime loans. The non-agency portfolio totaled \$272 million as of December 31, 2016, with 8.1% rated investment grade and an unrealized net capital gain of \$35 million.

CMBS totaled \$241 million as of December 31, 2016, with 27.8% rated investment grade and an unrealized net capital gain of \$8 million. The CMBS portfolio is subject to credit risk and has a sequential payoff structure. All of the CMBS investments are traditional conduit transactions collateralized by commercial mortgage loans, broadly diversified across property types and geographical area.

Mortgage loans totaled \$3.94 billion as of December 31, 2016 and primarily comprise loans secured by first mortgages on developed commercial real estate. Key considerations used to manage our exposure include property type and geographic diversification. For further detail on our mortgage loan portfolio, see Note 6 of the consolidated financial statements.

Equity securities primarily include common stocks, exchange traded and mutual funds, non-redeemable preferred stocks and real estate investment trust equity investments. The equity securities portfolio was \$1.51 billion as of December 31, 2016, with an unrealized net capital gain of \$82 million.

Limited partnership interests include interests in private equity funds and co-investments, real estate funds and joint ventures, and other funds. The following table presents carrying value and other information about our limited partnership interests as of December 31, 2016.

(\$ in millions)	Private equity	Real estate	Other	Total
Cost method of accounting ("Cost")	\$ 519	\$ 57	\$ 15	\$ 591
Equity method of accounting ("EMA")	1,677	358	150	2,185
Total	\$ 2,196	\$ 415	\$ 165	\$ 2,776
Number of managers	119	24	4	147
Number of individual investments	216	49	4	269
Largest exposure to single investment	\$ 137	\$ 47	\$ 63	\$ 137

Short-term investments totaled \$566 million as of December 31, 2016.

Policy loans totaled \$563 million as of December 31, 2016. Policy loans are carried at unpaid principal balances.

Other investments primarily comprise \$467 million of bank loans, \$467 million of agent loans (loans issued to exclusive Allstate agents), \$325 million of notes due from related party, \$129 million of real estate and \$96 million of derivatives as of December 31, 2016. For further detail on our use of derivatives, see Note 8 of the consolidated financial statements.

Unrealized net capital gains totaled \$1.20 billion as of December 31, 2016 compared to \$883 million as of December 31, 2015. The increase for fixed income securities was primarily due to a decrease in market yields resulting from tighter credit spreads, partially offset by an increase in risk-free interest rates. The increase for equity securities was primarily due to favorable equity market performance, as well as the realization of unrealized net capital losses through write-downs, partially offset by the realization of unrealized net capital gains through sales.

The following table presents unrealized net capital gains and losses as of December 31.

(\$ in millions)	2016	2015
U.S. government and agencies	\$ 46	\$ 57
Municipal	257	280
Corporate	736	435
Foreign government	28	36
ABS	(6)	(23)
RMBS	39	45
CMBS	8	27
Redeemable preferred stock	2	2
Fixed income securities	1,110	859
Equity securities	82	16
Derivatives	5	10
EMA limited partnerships	(2)	(2)
Unrealized net capital gains and losses, pre-tax	<u>\$ 1,195</u>	<u>\$ 883</u>

We have a comprehensive portfolio monitoring process to identify and evaluate each fixed income and equity security that may be other-than-temporarily impaired. The process includes a quarterly review of all securities to identify instances where the fair value of a security compared to its amortized cost (for fixed income securities) or cost (for equity securities) is below established thresholds. The process also includes the monitoring of other impairment indicators such as ratings, ratings downgrades and payment defaults. The securities identified, in addition to other securities for which we may have a concern, are evaluated for potential other-than-temporary impairment using all reasonably available information relevant to the collectability or recovery of the security. Inherent in our evaluation of other-than-temporary impairment for these fixed income and equity securities are assumptions and estimates about the financial condition and future earnings potential of the issue or issuer. Some of the factors that may be considered in evaluating whether a decline in fair value is other than temporary are: 1) the financial condition, near-term and long-term prospects of the issue or issuer, including relevant industry specific market conditions and trends, geographic location and implications of rating agency actions and offering prices; 2) the specific reasons that a security is in an unrealized loss position, including overall market conditions which could affect liquidity; and 3) the length of time and extent to which the fair value has been less than amortized cost or cost. All investments in an unrealized loss position as of December 31, 2016 were included in our portfolio monitoring process for determining whether declines in value were other than temporary.

The unrealized net capital gain for the fixed income portfolio totaled \$1.11 billion, comprised of \$1.31 billion of gross unrealized gains and \$200 million of gross unrealized losses as of December 31, 2016. This is compared to an unrealized net capital gain for the fixed income portfolio totaling \$859 million, comprised of \$1.32 billion of gross unrealized gains and \$462 million of gross unrealized losses as of December 31, 2015.

Gross unrealized gains and losses on fixed income securities by type and sector as of December 31, 2016 are provided in the following table.

(\$ in millions)	Amortized cost	Gross unrealized		Fair value
		Gains	Losses	
Corporate:				
Consumer goods (cyclical and non-cyclical)	\$ 5,573	\$ 184	\$ (48)	\$ 5,709
Utilities	3,339	301	(29)	3,611
Capital goods	2,086	81	(21)	2,146
Banking	967	20	(20)	967
Transportation	1,008	61	(19)	1,050
Communications	1,444	56	(8)	1,492
Technology	1,105	24	(7)	1,122
Energy	1,132	69	(6)	1,195
Basic industry	945	39	(6)	978
Financial services	1,113	61	(5)	1,169
Other	233	9	—	242
Total corporate fixed income portfolio	18,945	905	(169)	19,681
U.S. government and agencies	968	48	(2)	1,014
Municipal	2,017	264	(7)	2,274
Foreign government	304	28	—	332
ABS	337	4	(10)	331
RMBS	294	42	(3)	333
CMBS	233	17	(9)	241
Redeemable preferred stock	14	2	—	16
Total fixed income securities	\$ 23,112	\$ 1,310	\$ (200)	\$ 24,222

The consumer goods, utilities and capital goods sectors comprise 29%, 18% and 11%, respectively, of the carrying value of our corporate fixed income securities portfolio as of December 31, 2016. The consumer goods, utilities, capital goods and banking sectors had the highest concentration of gross unrealized losses in our corporate fixed income portfolio as of December 31, 2016. In general, the gross unrealized losses are related to an increase in market yields, which may include increased risk-free interest rates and/or wider credit spreads since the time of initial purchase. Similarly, gross unrealized gains reflect a decrease in market yields since the time of initial purchase.

Global oil prices and natural gas values declined significantly from 2014 through the first quarter of 2016. We decreased our exposure to the energy sector in first quarter 2016. Our remaining holdings appreciated in value as oil and natural gas values increased over the remainder of the year. In the fixed income and equity securities tables above and below, oil and natural gas exposure is reflected within the energy sector.

Securities that have direct exposure to the energy sector are presented in the following table.

(\$ in millions)	December 31, 2016		December 31, 2015	
	Fair value	Amortized cost or Cost	Fair value	Amortized cost or Cost
Fixed income securities	\$ 1,195	\$ 1,132	\$ 1,908	\$ 2,015
Equity securities	79	75	73	83
Total	\$ 1,274	\$ 1,207	\$ 1,981	\$ 2,098

The following table summarizes the fair value and gross unrealized losses of fixed income securities in a loss position by type and credit quality as of December 31, 2016.

(\$ in millions)	Investment grade		Below investment grade		Total	
	Fair value	Gross unrealized losses	Fair value	Gross unrealized losses	Fair value	Gross unrealized losses
Corporate:						
Consumer goods (cyclical and non-cyclical)	\$ 1,585	\$ (38)	\$ 356	\$ (10)	\$ 1,941	\$ (48)
Utilities	571	(19)	77	(10)	648	(29)
Capital goods	721	(20)	53	(1)	774	(21)
Banking	258	(19)	9	(1)	267	(20)
Transportation	210	(19)	17	—	227	(19)
Communications	194	(5)	131	(3)	325	(8)
Technology	343	(6)	29	(1)	372	(7)
Energy	123	(2)	60	(4)	183	(6)
Basic industry	175	(4)	64	(2)	239	(6)
Financial services	173	(4)	19	(1)	192	(5)
Other	13	—	—	—	13	—
Total corporate fixed income portfolio	4,366	(136)	815	(33)	5,181	(169)
U.S. government and agencies	104	(2)	—	—	104	(2)
Municipal	44	(1)	18	(6)	62	(7)
ABS	163	(7)	9	(3)	172	(10)
RMBS	12	(1)	28	(2)	40	(3)
CMBS	9	—	63	(9)	72	(9)
Total fixed income securities	\$ 4,698	\$ (147)	\$ 933	\$ (53)	\$ 5,631	\$ (200)

The following table summarizes the fair value and gross unrealized losses for below investment grade corporate fixed income securities in a loss position by sector and credit rating as of December 31, 2016.

(\$ in millions)

	Less than 12 months							
	Ba		B		Caa or lower		Total	
	Fair value	Gross unrealized losses	Fair value	Gross unrealized losses	Fair value	Gross unrealized losses	Fair value	Gross unrealized losses
Corporate:								
Consumer goods (cyclical and non-cyclical)	\$ 195	\$ (4)	\$ 111	\$ (3)	\$ 7	\$ (1)	\$ 313	\$ (8)
Utilities	18	(1)	34	(1)	6	(6)	58	(8)
Capital goods	30	—	16	(1)	—	—	46	(1)
Banking	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Transportation	5	—	12	—	—	—	17	—
Communications	90	(2)	17	—	—	—	107	(2)
Technology	25	—	—	—	—	—	25	—
Energy	38	(1)	4	—	—	—	42	(1)
Basic industry	60	(2)	2	—	—	—	62	(2)
Financial services	2	—	—	—	—	—	2	—
Subtotal	\$ 463	\$ (10)	\$ 196	\$ (5)	\$ 13	\$ (7)	\$ 672	\$ (22)
	12 months or more							
	Ba		B		Caa or lower		Total	
	Fair value	Gross unrealized losses	Fair value	Gross unrealized losses	Fair value	Gross unrealized losses	Fair value	Gross unrealized losses
Corporate:								
Consumer goods (cyclical and non-cyclical)	\$ 10	\$ —	\$ 30	\$ (1)	\$ 3	\$ (1)	\$ 43	\$ (2)
Utilities	—	—	7	(1)	12	(1)	19	(2)
Capital goods	—	—	7	—	—	—	7	—
Banking	9	(1)	—	—	—	—	9	(1)
Transportation	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Communications	13	(1)	11	—	—	—	24	(1)
Technology	4	(1)	—	—	—	—	4	(1)
Energy	17	(3)	—	—	1	—	18	(3)
Basic industry	—	—	—	—	2	—	2	—
Financial services	17	(1)	—	—	—	—	17	(1)
Subtotal	\$ 70	\$ (7)	\$ 55	\$ (2)	\$ 18	\$ (2)	\$ 143	\$ (11)
Total	\$ 533	\$ (17)	\$ 251	\$ (7)	\$ 31	\$ (9)	\$ 815	\$ (33)

Of the unrealized losses on below investment grade corporate fixed income securities, 33.3% or \$11 million relate to securities that had been in an unrealized loss position for a period of twelve or more consecutive months as of December 31, 2016.

The unrealized net capital gain for the equity portfolio totaled \$82 million, comprised of \$117 million of gross unrealized gains and \$35 million of gross unrealized losses as of December 31, 2016. This is compared to an unrealized net capital gain for the equity portfolio totaling \$16 million, comprised of \$76 million of gross unrealized gains and \$60 million of gross unrealized losses as of December 31, 2015.

Gross unrealized gains and losses on equity securities by sector as of December 31, 2016 are provided in the table below.

(\$ in millions)	Gross unrealized			Fair value
	Cost	Gains	Losses	
Consumer goods (cyclical and non-cyclical)	\$ 337	\$ 22	\$ (18)	\$ 341
Communications	66	7	(4)	69
Banking	116	12	(3)	125
Energy	75	6	(2)	79
Financial services	87	8	(2)	93
Real estate	31	1	(2)	30
Technology	141	18	(1)	158
Capital goods	120	13	(1)	132
Basic industry	42	5	(1)	46
Utilities	31	2	(1)	32
Transportation	21	1	—	22
Funds	362	22	—	384
Total equity securities	\$ 1,429	\$ 117	\$ (35)	\$ 1,511

Within the equity portfolio, the unrealized losses were primarily concentrated in the consumer goods sector. The unrealized losses were company and sector specific.

As of December 31, 2016, we have not made the decision to sell and it is not more likely than not we will be required to sell fixed income securities with unrealized losses before recovery of the amortized cost basis. As of December 31, 2016, we have the intent and ability to hold equity securities with unrealized losses for a period of time sufficient for them to recover.

On June 23, 2016, the United Kingdom (“U.K.”) held a referendum in which they voted to leave the European Union. A formal process of withdrawal under Article 50 of the Lisbon Treaty is expected to be followed and, once invoked, would take place over a period of up to two years. Significant uncertainty exists as the U.K.’s exit from the European Union will be a multi-year process and impacts on the global economy are difficult to predict. We expect the impact on the Company’s investment activities to be immaterial.

Net investment income The following table presents net investment income for the years ended December 31.

(\$ in millions)	2016	2015	2014
Fixed income securities	\$ 1,078	\$ 1,246	\$ 1,522
Mortgage loans	193	203	242
Equity securities	40	28	20
Limited partnership interests	292	287	267
Short-term investments	5	3	2
Policy loans	32	34	39
Other	90	75	59
Investment income, before expense	1,730	1,876	2,151
Investment expense	(71)	(57)	(70)
Net investment income	\$ 1,659	\$ 1,819	\$ 2,081
Market-Based Core	\$ 1,387	\$ 1,555	
Market-Based Active	34	22	
Performance-Based Long-Term	307	296	
Performance-Based Opportunistic	2	3	
Investment income, before expense	\$ 1,730	\$ 1,876	

Net investment income decreased 8.8% or \$160 million in 2016 compared to 2015, primarily due to lower fixed income yields resulting from lower market yields and portfolio repositioning (including both the 2015 maturity profile shortening and the shift to performance-based investments) and lower average investment balances. Net investment income decreased 12.6% or \$262 million in 2015 compared to 2014. Excluding \$126 million related to the LBL business for first quarter 2014, net investment income decreased \$136 million in 2015 compared to 2014, primarily due to lower average investment balances, fixed income portfolio yields, and prepayment fee income and litigation proceeds, partially offset by higher limited partnership income. Net investment income in 2016 includes \$28 million related to prepayment fee income compared to \$41 million in 2015. Prepayment fee income may vary significantly from period to period.

In 2015, we shortened the maturity profile of our fixed income securities to make the portfolio less sensitive to rising interest rates. The approximately \$2 billion of proceeds from the sale of longer duration fixed income securities were initially reinvested

in shorter duration fixed income and public equity securities. We expect to shift the majority of the proceeds to performance-based investments over time. These investments primarily support our immediate annuity liabilities and are intended to improve long-term economic results. We anticipate higher long-term returns on these investments. The dispositions generated net realized capital gains which results in lower future investment income due to lower yields on the reinvested proceeds until repositioned to performance-based investments. Since June 30, 2015, the carrying value of performance-based investments and market-based equity securities have increased by \$1.34 billion to \$4.27 billion. The increase is expected to reach \$2 billion by end of 2018. The carrying value will vary from period to period and reflect amounts invested, cash distributions received from investments and changes in valuation of the underlying investments.

Realized capital gains and losses The following table presents the components of realized capital gains and losses and the related tax effect for the years ended December 31.

(\$ in millions)	2016	2015	2014
Impairment write-downs	\$ (101)	\$ (63)	\$ (11)
Change in intent write-downs	(12)	(65)	(44)
Net other-than-temporary impairment losses recognized in earnings	(113)	(128)	(55)
Sales and other	31	383	184
Valuation and settlements of derivative instruments	5	10	14
Realized capital gains and losses, pre-tax	(77)	265	143
Income tax benefit (expense)	26	(94)	(50)
Realized capital gains and losses, after-tax	<u>\$ (51)</u>	<u>\$ 171</u>	<u>\$ 93</u>
Market-Based Core	\$ (53)	\$ 300	
Market-Based Active	4	(21)	
Performance-Based Long-Term	(29)	(13)	
Performance-Based Opportunistic	1	(1)	
Realized capital gains and losses, pre-tax	<u>\$ (77)</u>	<u>\$ 265</u>	

Impairment write-downs, which include changes in the mortgage loan valuation allowance, for the years ended December 31 are presented in the following table.

(\$ in millions)	2016	2015	2014
Fixed income securities	\$ (35)	\$ (26)	\$ (8)
Mortgage loans	—	4	5
Equity securities	(47)	(18)	—
Limited partnership interests	(15)	(17)	(8)
Other investments	(4)	(6)	—
Impairment write-downs	<u>\$ (101)</u>	<u>\$ (63)</u>	<u>\$ (11)</u>

Impairment write-downs on fixed income securities in 2016 were primarily driven by corporate fixed income securities impacted by issuer specific circumstances. Equity securities were written down primarily due to the length of time and extent to which fair value was below cost, considering our assessment of the financial condition and near-term and long-term prospects of the issuer, including relevant industry conditions and trends. Limited partnership write-downs primarily related to investments with exposure to the energy sector, partially offset by the recovery in value of a limited partnership that was previously written-down. Impairment write-downs in 2016 included \$41 million related to investments with exposure to the energy sector.

Impairment write-downs on fixed income securities in 2015 were primarily driven by corporate fixed income securities impacted by issuer specific circumstances, including exposure to oil and natural gas, and collateralized loan obligations that experienced deterioration in expected cash flows. Equity securities were written down primarily due to the length of time and extent to which fair value was below cost, considering our assessment of the financial condition and near-term and long-term prospects of the issuer, including relevant industry conditions and trends. Limited partnership write-downs primarily related to an investment that has been impacted by the decline in natural gas prices. Impairment write-downs in the above table include \$31 million and \$9 million of investments with exposure to the energy sector and metals and mining exposure in the basic industry sector, respectively.

Impairment write-downs on fixed income securities in 2014 were primarily driven by collateralized loan obligations that experienced deterioration in expected cash flows and corporate fixed income securities impacted by issuer specific circumstances. Limited partnership write-downs primarily related to cost method limited partnerships that experienced declines in portfolio valuations deemed to be other than temporary. The valuation allowance on mortgage loans as of December 31, 2014 decreased compared to December 31, 2013 primarily due to reversals related to impaired loan payoffs.

Change in intent write-downs totaled \$12 million, \$65 million and \$44 million in 2016, 2015 and 2014, respectively. The change in intent write-downs primarily relate to equity securities that we may not hold for a period of time sufficient to recover unrealized losses given our preference to maintain flexibility to reposition the portfolio. As of December 31, 2016, these holdings totaled \$384 million.

Sales and other generated \$31 million, \$383 million and \$184 million of net realized capital gains in 2016, 2015 and 2014, respectively. Sales and other in 2016 included sales of equity and fixed income securities in connection with ongoing portfolio management, as well as gains from valuation changes in public securities held in certain limited partnerships. Sales and other in 2015 included sales of longer duration fixed income securities in connection with our maturity profile shortening and equity securities in connection with ongoing portfolio management, as well as losses from valuation changes in public securities held in certain limited partnerships. Sales and other in 2014 primarily related to equity securities in connection with ongoing portfolio management.

Valuation and settlements of derivative instruments generated net realized capital gains of \$5 million, \$10 million and \$14 million in 2016, 2015 and 2014, respectively. The net realized capital gains on derivative instruments in 2016 primarily comprised gains on foreign currency contracts due to the strengthening of the U.S. Dollar and gains on credit default swaps due to the movement of credit spreads on the underlying credit names, partially offset by losses on equity futures used for risk management due to increases in equity indices. The net realized capital gains on derivative instruments in 2015 primarily comprised gains on foreign currency contracts due to the strengthening of the U.S. Dollar and gains on credit default swaps due to the movement of credit spreads on the underlying credit names. The net realized capital gains in 2014 primarily comprised gains on foreign currency contracts due to the strengthening of the U.S. Dollar and gains on credit default swaps due to the tightening of credit spreads on the underlying credit names.

Performance-based long-term investments primarily include private equity, real estate, infrastructure and agriculture-related assets and a majority are limited partnerships.

The following table presents investment income for PBLT investments for the years ended December 31.

(\$ in millions)	2016	2015	2014
Limited partnerships			
Private equity ⁽¹⁾	\$ 248	\$ 227	\$ 213
Real estate	43	62	53
Agriculture-related	1	—	—
PBLT - limited partnerships ⁽²⁾	292	289	266
Other			
Private equity	—	1	—
Real estate	15	6	2
Agriculture-related	—	—	—
PBLT - other	15	7	2
Total			
Private equity	248	228	213
Real estate	58	68	55
Agriculture-related	1	—	—
Total PBLT	\$ 307	\$ 296	\$ 268
Asset level operating expenses ⁽³⁾	\$ (16)	\$ (5)	\$ (4)

⁽¹⁾ Includes infrastructure.

⁽²⁾ Other limited partnership interests are located in the market-based core and performance-based opportunistic investing strategies and are not included in the performance-based long-term table above. Investment income (loss) was zero, \$(2) million and \$1 million in 2016, 2015 and 2014, respectively, for these limited partnership interests.

⁽³⁾ Asset level operating expenses include depreciation and direct expenses of the assets reported in investment expense. When calculating pre-tax yields, asset level operating expenses are netted against income for directly held real estate and other consolidated investments.

PBLT investments produced investment income of \$307 million in 2016 compared to \$296 million in 2015. The increase primarily related to income realization on direct real estate investments and higher valuations, including private equity investments with exposure to the energy sector, partially offset by lower distributions from cost method funds due to a decrease in realization on the underlying investments.

PBLT investments produced investment income of \$296 million in 2015 compared to \$268 million in 2014. The increase primarily related to higher income on private equity investments due to net returns from the diversified portfolio along with strong

distributions as acquirer access to financing and an active global merger and acquisition market facilitated the sales of underlying investments, which more than offset a decline in valuations of investments with exposure to the energy sector. Additionally, real estate investments had strong returns in 2015.

The following table presents realized capital gains and losses for PBLT investments for the years ended December 31.

(\$ in millions)	2016	2015	2014
Limited partnerships			
Private equity	\$ (20)	\$ (17)	\$ (19)
Real estate	3	—	15
Agriculture-related	—	—	—
PBLT - limited partnerships ⁽¹⁾	(17)	(17)	(4)
Other			
Private equity	(13)	4	—
Real estate	1	—	2
Agriculture-related	—	—	—
PBLT - other	(12)	4	2
Total			
Private equity	(33)	(13)	(19)
Real estate	4	—	17
Agriculture-related	—	—	—
Total PBLT	\$ (29)	\$ (13)	\$ (2)

⁽¹⁾ Other limited partnership interests are located in the market-based core and performance-based opportunistic investing strategies and are not included in the performance-based long-term table above. Realized capital gains and losses were \$12 million, \$(15) million and zero in 2016, 2015 and 2014, respectively, for these limited partnership interests.

Realized capital losses on PBLT investments were \$29 million, \$13 million and \$2 million in 2016, 2015 and 2014, respectively. 2016 included impairment write-downs on certain investments with exposure to the energy sector, partially offset by the recovery in value of a limited partnership that was previously written-down. 2015 included impairment write-downs primarily related to an energy related investment that had been impacted by a decline in natural gas prices.

Economic conditions and equity market performance are reflected in PBLT investment results and income could vary significantly between periods.

MARKET RISK

Market risk is the risk that we will incur losses due to adverse changes in interest rates, credit spreads, equity prices or currency exchange rates. Adverse changes to these rates and prices may occur due to changes in fiscal policy, the economic climate, the liquidity of a market or market segment, insolvency or financial distress of key market makers or participants or changes in market perceptions of credit worthiness and/or risk tolerance. Our primary market risk exposures are to changes in interest rates, credit spreads and equity prices.

The active management of market risk is integral to our results of operations. We may use the following approaches to manage exposure to market risk within defined tolerance ranges: 1) rebalancing existing asset or liability portfolios, 2) changing the type of investments purchased in the future and 3) using derivative instruments to modify the market risk characteristics of existing assets and liabilities or assets expected to be purchased. For a more detailed discussion of our use of derivative financial instruments, see Note 8 of the consolidated financial statements.

Overview In formulating and implementing guidelines for investing funds, we seek to earn returns that enhance our ability to offer competitive rates and prices to customers while contributing to attractive and stable profits and long-term capital growth. Accordingly, our investment decisions and objectives are a function of the underlying risks and product profiles.

Investment policies define the overall framework for managing market and other investment risks, including accountability and controls over risk management activities. These investment activities follow policies that have been approved by our board of directors. These investment policies specify the investment limits and strategies that are appropriate given the liquidity, surplus, product profile and regulatory requirements. Executive oversight of investment activities is conducted primarily through our board of directors and investment committee. Asset-liability management (“ALM”) policies further define the overall framework for managing market and investment risks. ALM focuses on strategies to enhance yields, mitigate market risks and optimize capital to improve profitability and returns while factoring in future expected cash requirements to repay liabilities. ALM activities follow asset-liability policies that have been approved by our board of directors. These ALM policies specify limits, ranges and/or targets for investments that best meet our business objectives in light of our product liabilities.

We use quantitative and qualitative market-based approaches to measure, monitor and manage market risk. We evaluate our exposure to market risk through the use of multiple measures including but not limited to duration, value-at-risk, scenario analysis and sensitivity analysis. Duration measures the price sensitivity of assets and liabilities to changes in interest rates. For example, if interest rates increase 100 basis points, the fair value of an asset with a duration of 5 is expected to decrease in value by 5%. Value-at-risk is a statistical estimate of the probability that the change in fair value of a portfolio will exceed a certain amount over a given time horizon. Scenario analysis estimates the potential changes in the fair value of a portfolio that could occur under different hypothetical market conditions defined by changes to multiple market risk factors: interest rates, credit spreads, equity prices or currency exchange rates. Sensitivity analysis estimates the potential changes in the fair value of a portfolio that could occur under different hypothetical shocks to a market risk factor. In general, we establish investment portfolio asset allocation and market risk limits based upon a combination of duration, value-at-risk, scenario analysis and sensitivity analysis. The asset allocation limits place restrictions on the total funds that may be invested within an asset class. Comprehensive day-to-day management of market risk within defined tolerance ranges occurs as portfolio managers buy and sell within their respective markets based upon the acceptable boundaries established by investment policies. This day-to-day management is integrated with and informed by the activities of the ALM organization. This integration is intended to result in a prudent, methodical and effective adjudication of market risk and return, conditioned by the unique demands and dynamics of our product liabilities and supported by the continuous application of advanced risk technology and analytics.

Interest rate risk is the risk that we will incur a loss due to adverse changes in interest rates relative to the characteristics of our interest bearing assets and liabilities. This risk arises from many of our primary activities, as we invest substantial funds in interest-sensitive assets and issue interest-sensitive liabilities. Interest rate risk includes risks related to changes in U.S. Treasury yields and other key risk-free reference yields.

We manage the interest rate risk in our assets relative to the interest rate risk in our liabilities and our assessment of overall economic and capital risk. One of the measures used to quantify this exposure is duration. The difference in the duration of our assets relative to our liabilities is our duration gap. To calculate the duration gap between assets and liabilities, we project asset and liability cash flows and calculate their net present value using a risk-free market interest rate adjusted for credit quality, sector attributes, liquidity and other specific risks. Duration is calculated by revaluing these cash flows at alternative interest rates and determining the percentage change in aggregate fair value. The cash flows used in this calculation include the expected maturity and repricing characteristics of our derivative financial instruments, all other financial instruments, and certain other items including annuity liabilities and other interest-sensitive liabilities. The projections include assumptions (based upon historical market experience and our experience) that reflect the effect of changing interest rates on the prepayment, lapse, leverage and/or option features of instruments, where applicable. The preceding assumptions relate primarily to callable municipal and corporate bonds, fixed rate single and flexible premium deferred annuities, mortgage-backed securities and municipal housing bonds.

As of December 31, 2016, the difference between our asset and liability duration was a (5.97) gap compared to a (4.11) gap as of December 31, 2015. A negative duration gap indicates that the fair value of our liabilities is more sensitive to interest rate movements than the fair value of our assets, while a positive duration gap indicates that the fair value of our assets is more sensitive to interest rate movements than the fair value of our liabilities. We may have a positive or negative duration gap, as the duration of our assets and liabilities vary with our product mix and investing activity.

We seek to invest premiums, contract charges and deposits to generate future cash flows that will fund future claims, benefits and expenses, and that will earn stable returns across a wide variety of interest rate and economic scenarios. To achieve this objective and limit interest rate risk, we adhere to a philosophy of managing the duration of assets and related liabilities within predetermined tolerance levels. This philosophy is executed using duration targets for fixed income investments and may also include interest rate swaps, futures, forwards, caps, floors and swaptions to reduce the interest rate risk resulting from mismatches between existing assets and liabilities, and financial futures and other derivative instruments to hedge the interest rate risk of anticipated purchases and sales of investments.

Based upon the information and assumptions used in the duration calculation, and interest rates in effect as of December 31, 2016, we estimate that a 100 basis point immediate, parallel increase in interest rates ("rate shock") would increase the net fair value of the assets and liabilities by \$1.23 billion, compared to an increase of \$916 million as of December 31, 2015, reflecting year to year changes in duration and the amount of assets and liabilities. The selection of a 100 basis point immediate, parallel change in interest rates should not be construed as our prediction of future market events, but only as an illustration of the potential effect of such an event. The estimate excludes traditional and interest-sensitive life insurance and other products that are not considered financial instruments and the \$8.82 billion of assets supporting them and the associated liabilities. The \$8.82 billion of assets excluded from the calculation increased from \$7.88 billion as of December 31, 2015. Based on assumptions described above, in the event of a 100 basis point immediate increase in interest rates, the assets supporting life insurance and other products that are not considered financial instruments would decrease in value by \$476 million, compared to a decrease of \$450 million as of December 31, 2015.

To the extent that conditions differ from the assumptions we used in these calculations, duration and rate shock measures could be significantly impacted. Additionally, our calculations assume that the current relationship between short-term and long-

term interest rates (the term structure of interest rates) will remain constant over time. As a result, these calculations may not fully capture the effect of non-parallel changes in the term structure of interest rates and/or large changes in interest rates.

Credit spread risk is the risk that we will incur a loss due to adverse changes in credit spreads (“spreads”). Credit spread is the additional yield on fixed income securities and loans above the risk-free rate (typically referenced as the yield on U.S. Treasury securities) that market participants require to compensate them for assuming credit, liquidity and/or prepayment risks. The magnitude of the spread will depend on the likelihood that a particular issuer will default (“credit risk”). This risk arises from many of our primary activities, as we invest substantial funds in spread-sensitive fixed income assets.

We manage the spread risk in our assets. One of the measures used to quantify this exposure is spread duration. Spread duration measures the price sensitivity of the assets to changes in spreads. For example, if spreads increase 100 basis points, the fair value of an asset exhibiting a spread duration of 5 is expected to decrease in value by 5%.

Spread duration is calculated similarly to interest rate duration. As of December 31, 2016, the spread duration of assets was 4.80, compared to 4.87 as of December 31, 2015. Based upon the information and assumptions we use in this spread duration calculation, and spreads in effect as of December 31, 2016, we estimate that a 100 basis point immediate, parallel increase in spreads across all asset classes, industry sectors and credit ratings (“spread shock”) would decrease the net fair value of the assets by \$1.33 billion compared to \$1.40 billion as of December 31, 2015. Reflected in the spread duration calculation are the effects of our tactical actions that use credit default swaps to manage spread risk. The selection of a 100 basis point immediate parallel change in spreads should not be construed as our prediction of future market events, but only as an illustration of the potential effect of such an event.

Equity price risk is the risk that we will incur losses due to adverse changes in the general levels of the equity markets. As of December 31, 2016, we held \$4.29 billion in securities with equity risk (including primarily limited partnership interests, equity securities and non-redeemable preferred securities), compared to \$3.84 billion as of December 31, 2015.

As of December 31, 2016, our portfolio of securities with equity risk had a cash market portfolio beta of 1.09, compared to a beta of 1.13 as of December 31, 2015. Beta represents a widely used methodology to describe, quantitatively, an investment’s market risk characteristics relative to an index such as the Standard & Poor’s 500 Composite Price Index (“S&P 500”). Based on the beta analysis, we estimate that if the S&P 500 increases or decreases by 10%, the fair value of our equity investments will increase or decrease by 10.9%, respectively. Based upon the information and assumptions we used to calculate beta as of December 31, 2016, we estimate that an immediate increase or decrease in the S&P 500 of 10% would increase or decrease the net fair value of our equity investments by \$467 million, compared to \$432 million as of December 31, 2015. The selection of a 10% immediate increase or decrease in the S&P 500 should not be construed as our prediction of future market events, but only as an illustration of the potential effect of such an event.

The beta of our securities with equity risk was determined by calculating the change in the fair value of the portfolio resulting from stressing the equity market up and down 10%. The illustrations noted above may not reflect our actual experience if the future composition of the portfolio (hence its beta) and correlation relationships differ from the historical relationships.

As of December 31, 2016 and 2015, we had separate account assets, related to variable annuity and variable life contracts with account values totaling \$3.37 billion and \$3.64 billion, respectively. Equity risk exists for contract charges based on separate account balances and guarantees for death and/or income benefits provided by our variable products. In 2006, we disposed of substantially all of the variable annuity business through reinsurance agreements with The Prudential Insurance Company of America, a subsidiary of Prudential Financial Inc. and therefore mitigated this aspect of our risk. Equity risk for our variable life business relates to contract charges and policyholder benefits. Total variable life contract charges for both 2016 and 2015 were \$40 million. Separate account liabilities related to variable life contracts were \$66 million and \$69 million as of December 31, 2016 and 2015, respectively.

As of December 31, 2016 and 2015 we had \$1.80 billion and \$1.81 billion, respectively, in equity-indexed life and annuity liabilities that provide customers with interest crediting rates based on the performance of the S&P 500. We hedge the majority of the risk associated with these liabilities using equity-indexed options and futures and eurodollar futures, maintaining risk within specified value-at-risk limits.

Foreign currency exchange rate risk is the risk that we will incur economic losses due to adverse changes in foreign currency exchange rates. This risk primarily arises from our foreign equity investments, including common stocks and limited partnership interests. We also have investments in certain fixed income securities that are denominated in foreign currencies and derivatives are used to hedge approximately 93% of this foreign currency risk. As of December 31, 2016, we had \$671 million in foreign currency denominated equity investments and \$6 million in unhedged non-dollar fixed income securities. As of December 31, 2015, we had \$694 million in foreign currency denominated equity investments.

Based upon the information and assumptions used as of December 31, 2016, we estimate that a 10% immediate unfavorable change in each of the foreign currency exchange rates to which we are exposed would decrease the value of our foreign currency denominated instruments by \$68 million, compared with an estimated \$69 million decrease as of December 31, 2015. The selection

of a 10% immediate decrease in all currency exchange rates should not be construed as our prediction of future market events, but only as an illustration of the potential effect of such an event.

The modeling technique we use to report our currency exposure does not take into account correlation among foreign currency exchange rates. Even though we believe it is very unlikely that all of the foreign currency exchange rates that we are exposed to would simultaneously decrease by 10%, we nonetheless stress test our portfolio under this and other hypothetical extreme adverse market scenarios. Our actual experience may differ from these results because of assumptions we have used or because significant liquidity and market events could occur that we did not foresee.

CAPITAL RESOURCES AND LIQUIDITY

Capital resources consist of shareholder's equity and notes due to related parties, representing funds deployed or available to be deployed to support business operations. The following table summarizes our capital resources as of December 31.

(\$ in millions)	2016	2015	2014
Common stock, retained income and additional capital paid-in	\$ 5,731	\$ 5,412	\$ 4,968
Accumulated other comprehensive income	678	521	1,379
Total shareholder's equity	6,409	5,933	6,347
Notes due to related parties	465	275	275
Total capital resources	\$ 6,874	\$ 6,208	\$ 6,622

Shareholder's equity increased in 2016, primarily due to net income and increased unrealized net capital gains on investments. Shareholder's equity decreased in 2015, primarily due to decreased unrealized net capital gains on investments and dividends paid to AIC, partially offset by net income.

Notes due to related parties increased in 2016 due to \$140 million of agent loan collateralized notes sold to unconsolidated affiliates and the issuance of a \$50 million surplus note that was sold to an unconsolidated affiliate. Notes due to related parties in 2015 was comparable to 2014. See Note 5 of the consolidated financial statements for further detail.

Financial ratings and strength The following table summarizes our insurance financial strength ratings as of December 31, 2016.

<u>Rating agency</u>	<u>Rating</u>
A.M. Best Company, Inc.	A+
S&P Global Ratings	A+
Moody's Investors Service, Inc.	A1

Our ratings are influenced by many factors including our operating and financial performance, asset quality, liquidity, asset/liability management, overall portfolio mix, financial leverage (i.e., debt), exposure to risks, the current level of operating leverage and AIC's ratings.

In April 2016, A.M. Best affirmed our insurance financial strength rating of A+ and the outlook for the rating remained stable. In December 2016, S&P affirmed our insurance financial strength rating of A+ and the outlook for the rating remained stable. There was no change to our rating from Moody's in 2016.

The Company, AIC, AAC and the Corporation are party to an Amended and Restated Intercompany Liquidity Agreement ("Liquidity Agreement") which allows for short-term advances of funds to be made between parties for liquidity and other general corporate purposes. The Liquidity Agreement does not establish a commitment to advance funds on the part of any party. The Company and AIC each serve as a lender and borrower, AAC serves only as a borrower, and the Corporation serves only as a lender. The Company also has a capital support agreement with AIC. Under the capital support agreement, AIC is committed to provide capital to the Company to maintain an adequate capital level. The maximum amount of potential funding under each of these agreements is \$1.00 billion.

In addition to the Liquidity Agreement, the Company also has an intercompany loan agreement with the Corporation. The amount of intercompany loans available to the Company is at the discretion of the Corporation. The maximum amount of loans the Corporation will have outstanding to all its eligible subsidiaries at any given point in time is limited to \$1.00 billion. The Corporation may use commercial paper borrowings, bank lines of credit and securities lending to fund intercompany borrowings.

The Company, AIC and the Corporation have access to a \$1.00 billion unsecured revolving credit facility that is available for short-term liquidity requirements. In April 2016, the maturity date of this facility was extended to April 2021. The facility is fully subscribed among 11 lenders with the largest commitment being \$115 million. The commitments of the lenders are several and no lender is responsible for any other lender's commitment if such lender fails to make a loan under the facility. This facility contains an increase provision that would allow up to an additional \$500 million of borrowing. This facility has a financial covenant requiring that the Corporation not exceed a 37.5% debt to capitalization ratio as defined in the agreement. This ratio was 15.8%

as of December 31, 2016. Although the right to borrow under the facility is not subject to a minimum rating requirement, the costs of maintaining the facility and borrowing under it are based on the ratings of the Corporation's senior unsecured, unguaranteed long-term debt. There were no borrowings under the credit facility during 2016.

ALIC and its life insurance subsidiaries prepare their statutory-basis financial statements in conformity with accounting practices prescribed or permitted by the insurance department of the applicable state of domicile. Statutory surplus is a measure that is often used as a basis for determining dividend paying capacity, operating leverage and premium growth capacity, and it is also reviewed by rating agencies in determining their ratings. As of December 31, 2016, ALIC's statutory surplus was \$3.05 billion compared to \$2.87 billion as of December 31, 2015. In 2016, we completed a mortality study for our structured settlement annuities with life contingencies. The study indicated that annuitants are living longer and receiving benefits for a longer period than originally estimated. The final results of the study were incorporated in the statutory reserving process and led to an additional \$143 million increase in statutory reserves as of December 31, 2016. This decreased the Company's surplus by approximately \$105 million, after-tax.

The National Association of Insurance Commissioners ("NAIC") has also developed a set of financial relationships or tests known as the Insurance Regulatory Information System to assist state insurance regulators in monitoring the financial condition of insurance companies and identifying companies that require special attention or actions by state insurance regulators. The NAIC analyzes financial data provided by insurance companies using prescribed ratios, each with defined "usual ranges". Additional regulatory scrutiny may occur if a company's ratios fall outside the usual ranges for four or more of the ratios. The ratios of our insurance companies are within these ranges.

Liquidity sources and uses Our potential sources of funds principally include the following.

- Receipt of insurance premiums
- Contractholder fund deposits
- Reinsurance recoveries
- Receipts of principal, interest and dividends on investments
- Sales of investments
- Funds from securities lending and line of credit agreements
- Intercompany loans
- Capital contributions from parent
- Tax refunds/settlements
- Funds from issuance of surplus notes or other notes

Our potential uses of funds principally include the following.

- Payment of contract benefits, maturities, surrenders and withdrawals
- Reinsurance cessions and payments
- Operating costs and expenses
- Purchase of investments
- Repayment of securities lending and line of credit agreements
- Payment or repayment of intercompany loans
- Dividends and return of capital to parent
- Tax payments/settlements
- Debt service expenses and repayment
- Payments for acquisitions

We actively manage our financial position and liquidity levels in light of changing market, economic, and business conditions. Liquidity is managed at both the entity and enterprise level across the Company, and is assessed on both base and stressed level liquidity needs. We believe we have sufficient liquidity to meet these needs. Additionally, we have existing intercompany agreements in place that facilitate liquidity management across the Company to enhance flexibility.

Allstate parent company capital capacity The Corporation has at the parent holding company level deployable assets totaling \$2.43 billion as of December 31, 2016 comprising cash and investments that are generally saleable within one quarter. This provides funds for the parent company's fixed charges and other corporate purposes. In addition, the Corporation has access to \$1.00 billion of funds from either commercial paper issuance or an unsecured revolving credit facility.

In 2016, we did not pay any dividends to AIC. In 2015, we paid dividends totaling \$103 million to AIC. In 2014, we paid a \$700 million return of capital to AIC. We did not receive any capital contributions in 2016, 2015 or 2014.

The Company has access to additional borrowings to support liquidity through the Corporation as follows. The amount available to the Company is at the discretion of the Corporation.

- A commercial paper facility with a borrowing limit of \$1.00 billion to cover short-term cash needs. As of December 31, 2016, there were no balances outstanding and therefore the remaining borrowing capacity was \$1.00 billion; however, the outstanding balance can fluctuate daily.
- A universal shelf registration statement that was filed by the Corporation with the Securities and Exchange Commission on April 30, 2015. The Corporation can use this shelf registration to issue an unspecified amount of debt securities, common stock (including 534 million shares of treasury stock as of December 31, 2016), preferred stock, depository shares, warrants, stock purchase contracts, stock purchase units and securities of trust subsidiaries. The specific terms of any securities the Corporation issues under this registration statement will be provided in the applicable prospectus supplements.

Liquidity exposure Contractholder funds were \$19.47 billion as of December 31, 2016. The following table summarizes contractholder funds by their contractual withdrawal provisions as of December 31, 2016.

(\$ in millions)		Percent to total
Not subject to discretionary withdrawal	\$ 3,085	15.8%
Subject to discretionary withdrawal with adjustments:		
Specified surrender charges ⁽¹⁾	4,958	25.5
Market value adjustments ⁽²⁾	1,617	8.3
Subject to discretionary withdrawal without adjustments ⁽³⁾	9,810	50.4
Total contractholder funds ⁽⁴⁾	<u>\$ 19,470</u>	<u>100.0%</u>

⁽¹⁾ Includes \$1.28 billion of liabilities with a contractual surrender charge of less than 5% of the account balance.

⁽²⁾ \$1.04 billion of the contracts with market value adjusted surrenders have a 30-45 day period at the end of their initial and subsequent interest rate guarantee periods (which are typically 1, 5, 7 or 10 years) during which there is no surrender charge or market value adjustment. \$279 million of these contracts have their 30-45 day window period in 2017.

⁽³⁾ 88% of these contracts have a minimum interest crediting rate guarantee of 3% or higher.

⁽⁴⁾ Includes \$775 million of contractholder funds on variable annuities reinsured to The Prudential Insurance Company of America, a subsidiary of Prudential Financial Inc., in 2006.

Retail life and annuity products may be surrendered by customers for a variety of reasons. Reasons unique to individual customers include a current or unexpected need for cash or a change in life insurance coverage needs. Other key factors that may impact the likelihood of customer surrender include the level of the contract surrender charge, the length of time the contract has been in force, distribution channel, market interest rates, equity market conditions and potential tax implications. In addition, the propensity for retail life insurance policies to lapse is lower than it is for fixed annuities because of the need for the insured to be re-underwritten upon policy replacement. The surrender and partial withdrawal rate on deferred fixed annuities and interest-sensitive life insurance products, based on the beginning of year contractholder funds, was 6.2% and 7.2% in 2016 and 2015, respectively. We strive to promptly pay customers who request cash surrenders; however, statutory regulations generally provide up to six months in most states to fulfill surrender requests.

Our asset-liability management practices enable us to manage the differences between the cash flows generated by our investment portfolio and the expected cash flow requirements of our life insurance and annuity product obligations.

Certain remote events and circumstances could constrain our, the Corporation's or AIC's liquidity. Those events and circumstances include, for example, a catastrophe resulting in extraordinary losses, a downgrade in the Corporation's senior long-term debt ratings to non-investment grade status, a downgrade in AIC's financial strength ratings, or a downgrade in our financial strength ratings. The rating agencies also consider the interdependence of our individually rated entities; therefore, a rating change in one entity could potentially affect the ratings of other related entities.

Cash flows As reflected in our Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows, higher cash provided by operating activities in 2016 compared to 2015 was primarily due to income tax refunds in 2016 compared to income tax payments in 2015 and lower operating costs and expenses, partially offset by lower net investment income. Lower cash provided by operating activities in 2015 compared to 2014 was primarily due to lower net investment income and higher income tax payments, partially offset by higher premiums on traditional life insurance products.

Lower cash provided by investing activities in 2016 compared to 2015 was the result of less cash used in financing activities primarily due to decreased payments for contractholder fund disbursements. Lower cash provided by investing activities in 2015 compared to 2014 was the result of lower cash used in financing activities due to lower contractholder fund disbursements.

Lower cash used in financing activities in 2016 compared to 2015 was primarily due to decreased payments for contractholder benefits and withdrawals on fixed annuities. Lower cash used in financing activities in 2015 compared to 2014 was primarily due

to lower contractholder benefits and withdrawals on fixed annuities and interest-sensitive life insurance, partially offset by lower deposits.

Contractual obligations and commitments Our contractual obligations as of December 31, 2016 and the payments due by period are shown in the following table.

(\$ in millions)	Total	Less than 1 year	1 to 3 years	Over 3 years to 5 years	Over 5 years
Liabilities for collateral ⁽¹⁾	\$ 550	\$ 550	\$ —	\$ —	\$ —
Contractholder funds ⁽²⁾	36,413	2,285	4,298	3,737	26,093
Reserve for life-contingent contract benefits ⁽²⁾	35,525	991	1,959	1,899	30,676
Notes due to related parties ⁽³⁾	806	20	42	68	676
Payable to affiliates, net	52	52	—	—	—
Other liabilities and accrued expenses ⁽⁴⁾⁽⁵⁾	349	314	22	5	8
Net unrecognized tax benefits ⁽⁶⁾	1	1	—	—	—
Total contractual cash obligations	\$ 73,696	\$ 4,213	\$ 6,321	\$ 5,709	\$ 57,453

⁽¹⁾ Liabilities for collateral are typically fully secured with cash or short-term investments. We manage our short-term liquidity position to ensure the availability of a sufficient amount of liquid assets to extinguish short-term liabilities as they come due in the normal course of business, including utilizing potential sources of liquidity as disclosed previously.

⁽²⁾ Contractholder funds represent interest-bearing liabilities arising from the sale of products such as interest-sensitive life and fixed annuities, including immediate annuities without life contingencies. The reserve for life-contingent contract benefits relates primarily to traditional life insurance, immediate annuities with life contingencies and voluntary accident and health insurance. These amounts reflect the present value of estimated cash payments to be made to contractholders and policyholders. Certain of these contracts, such as immediate annuities without life contingencies, involve payment obligations where the amount and timing of the payment is essentially fixed and determinable. These amounts relate to (i) policies or contracts where we are currently making payments and will continue to do so and (ii) contracts where the timing of a portion or all of the payments has been determined by the contract. Other contracts, such as interest-sensitive life, fixed deferred annuities, traditional life insurance and voluntary accident and health insurance, involve payment obligations where a portion or all of the amount and timing of future payments is uncertain. For these contracts, we are not currently making payments and will not make payments until (i) the occurrence of an insurable event such as death or illness or (ii) the occurrence of a payment triggering event such as the surrender or partial withdrawal on a policy or deposit contract, which is outside of our control. For immediate annuities with life contingencies, the amount of future payments is uncertain since payments will continue as long as the annuitant lives. We have estimated the timing of payments related to these contracts based on historical experience and our expectation of future payment patterns. Uncertainties relating to these liabilities include mortality, morbidity, expenses, customer lapse and withdrawal activity, estimated additional deposits for interest-sensitive life contracts, and renewal premium for life policies, which may significantly impact both the timing and amount of future payments. Such cash outflows reflect adjustments for the estimated timing of mortality, retirement, and other appropriate factors, but are undiscounted with respect to interest. As a result, the sum of the cash outflows shown for all years in the table exceeds the corresponding liabilities of \$19.47 billion for contractholder funds and \$11.32 billion for reserve for life-contingent contract benefits as included in the Consolidated Statements of Financial Position as of December 31, 2016. The liability amount in the Consolidated Statements of Financial Position reflects the discounting for interest as well as adjustments for the timing of other factors as described above.

⁽³⁾ Amount differs from the balance presented on the Consolidated Statements of Financial Position as of December 31, 2016 because the notes due to related parties amount above includes interest.

⁽⁴⁾ Other liabilities primarily include accrued expenses, claim payments and other checks outstanding.

⁽⁵⁾ Balance sheet liabilities not included in the table above include gross deferred tax liabilities of \$1.21 billion and unearned and advance premiums of \$13 million. These items were excluded as they do not meet the definition of a contractual liability as we are not contractually obligated to pay these amounts to third parties. Rather, they represent an accounting mechanism that allows us to present our financial statements on an accrual basis. In addition, other liabilities of \$49 million were not included in the table above because they did not represent a contractual obligation or the amount and timing of their eventual payment was sufficiently uncertain.

⁽⁶⁾ Net unrecognized tax benefits represent our potential future obligation to the taxing authority for a tax position that was not recognized in the consolidated financial statements. We believe it is reasonably possible that the liability balance will not significantly increase within the next twelve months. The resolution of this obligation may be for an amount different than what we have accrued.

Our contractual commitments as of December 31, 2016 and the periods in which the commitments expire are shown in the following table.

(\$ in millions)	Total	Less than 1 year	1 to 3 years	Over 3 years to 5 years	Over 5 years
Other commitments - conditional	\$ 89	\$ 89	\$ —	\$ —	\$ —
Other commitments - unconditional	1,404	78	66	178	1,082
Total commitments	\$ 1,493	\$ 167	\$ 66	\$ 178	\$ 1,082

Contractual commitments represent investment commitments such as private placements, limited partnership interests and other loans. Limited partnership interests are typically funded over the commitment period which is shorter than the contractual expiration date of the partnership and as a result, the actual timing of the funding may vary.

We have agreements in place for services we conduct, generally at cost, between subsidiaries relating to insurance, reinsurance, loans and capitalization. All material intercompany transactions have been appropriately eliminated in consolidation. Intercompany

transactions among insurance subsidiaries and affiliates have been approved by the appropriate departments of insurance as required.

For a more detailed discussion of our off-balance sheet arrangements, see Note 8 of the consolidated financial statements.

APPLICATION OF CRITICAL ACCOUNTING ESTIMATES

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America (“GAAP”) requires management to adopt accounting policies and make estimates and assumptions that affect amounts reported in the consolidated financial statements. The most critical estimates, presented in the order they appear in the Consolidated Statements of Financial Position, include those used in determining:

- Fair value of financial assets
- Impairment of fixed income and equity securities
- Deferred policy acquisition costs amortization
- Reserve for life-contingent contract benefits estimation

In making these determinations, management makes subjective and complex judgments that frequently require estimates about matters that are inherently uncertain. Many of these policies, estimates and related judgments are common in the insurance and financial services industries; others are specific to our business and operations. It is reasonably likely that changes in these estimates could occur from period to period and result in a material impact on our consolidated financial statements.

A brief summary of each of these critical accounting estimates follows. For a more detailed discussion of the effect of these estimates on our consolidated financial statements, and the judgments and assumptions related to these estimates, see the referenced sections of this document. For a complete summary of our significant accounting policies, see the notes to the consolidated financial statements.

Fair value of financial assets Fair value is defined as the price that would be received to sell an asset or paid to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction between market participants at the measurement date. We are responsible for the determination of fair value of financial assets and the supporting assumptions and methodologies. We use independent third-party valuation service providers, broker quotes and internal pricing methods to determine fair values. We obtain or calculate only one single quote or price for each financial instrument.

Valuation service providers typically obtain data about market transactions and other key valuation model inputs from multiple sources and, through the use of proprietary models, produce valuation information in the form of a single fair value for individual fixed income and other securities for which a fair value has been requested under the terms of our agreements. The inputs used by the valuation service providers include, but are not limited to, market prices from recently completed transactions and transactions of comparable securities, interest rate yield curves, credit spreads, liquidity spreads, currency rates, and other information, as applicable. Credit and liquidity spreads are typically implied from completed transactions and transactions of comparable securities. Valuation service providers also use proprietary discounted cash flow models that are widely accepted in the financial services industry and similar to those used by other market participants to value the same financial instruments. The valuation models take into account, among other things, market observable information as of the measurement date, as described above, as well as the specific attributes of the security being valued including its term, interest rate, credit rating, industry sector, and where applicable, collateral quality and other issue or issuer specific information. Executing valuation models effectively requires seasoned professional judgment and experience. For certain equity securities, valuation service providers provide market quotations for completed transactions on the measurement date. In cases where market transactions or other market observable data is limited, the extent to which judgment is applied varies inversely with the availability of market observable information.

For certain of our financial assets measured at fair value, where our valuation service providers cannot provide fair value determinations, we obtain a single non-binding price quote from a broker familiar with the security who, similar to our valuation service providers, may consider transactions or activity in similar securities among other information. The brokers providing price quotes are generally from the brokerage divisions of leading financial institutions with market making, underwriting and distribution expertise regarding the security subject to valuation.

The fair value of certain financial assets, including privately placed corporate fixed income securities and certain free-standing derivatives, for which our valuation service providers or brokers do not provide fair value determinations, is determined using valuation methods and models widely accepted in the financial services industry. Our internal pricing methods are primarily based on models using discounted cash flow methodologies that develop a single best estimate of fair value. Our models generally incorporate inputs that we believe are representative of inputs other market participants would use to determine fair value of the same instruments, including yield curves, quoted market prices of comparable securities or instruments, published credit spreads, and other applicable market data as well as instrument-specific characteristics that include, but are not limited to, coupon rates, expected cash flows, sector of the issuer, and call provisions. Judgment is required in developing these fair values. As a result, the fair value of these financial assets may differ from the amount actually received to sell an asset in an orderly transaction between

market participants at the measurement date. Moreover, the use of different valuation assumptions may have a material effect on the financial assets' fair values.

For most of our financial assets measured at fair value, all significant inputs are based on or corroborated by market observable data and significant management judgment does not affect the periodic determination of fair value. The determination of fair value using discounted cash flow models involves management judgment when significant model inputs are not based on or corroborated by market observable data. However, where market observable data is available, it takes precedence, and as a result, no range of reasonably likely inputs exists from which the basis of a sensitivity analysis could be constructed.

We gain assurance that our financial assets are appropriately valued through the execution of various processes and controls designed to ensure the overall reasonableness and consistent application of valuation methodologies, including inputs and assumptions, and compliance with accounting standards. For fair values received from third parties or internally estimated, our processes and controls are designed to ensure that the valuation methodologies are appropriate and consistently applied, the inputs and assumptions are reasonable and consistent with the objective of determining fair value, and the fair values are accurately recorded. For example, on a continuing basis, we assess the reasonableness of individual fair values that have stale security prices or that exceed certain thresholds as compared to previous fair values received from valuation service providers or brokers or derived from internal models. We perform procedures to understand and assess the methodologies, processes and controls of valuation service providers. In addition, we may validate the reasonableness of fair values by comparing information obtained from valuation service providers or brokers to other third party valuation sources for selected securities. We perform ongoing price validation procedures such as back-testing of actual sales, which corroborate the various inputs used in internal models to market observable data. When fair value determinations are expected to be more variable, we validate them through reviews by members of management who have relevant expertise and who are independent of those charged with executing investment transactions.

We also perform an analysis to determine whether there has been a significant decrease in the volume and level of activity for the asset when compared to normal market activity, and if so, whether transactions may not be orderly. Among the indicators we consider in determining whether a significant decrease in the volume and level of market activity for a specific asset has occurred include the level of new issuances in the primary market, trading volume in the secondary market, level of credit spreads over historical levels, bid-ask spread, and price consensuses among market participants and sources. If evidence indicates that prices are based on transactions that are not orderly, we place little, if any, weight on the transaction price and will estimate fair value using an internal model. As of December 31, 2016 and 2015, we did not adjust fair values provided by our valuation service providers or brokers or substitute them with an internal model for such securities.

The following table identifies fixed income and equity securities and short-term investments as of December 31, 2016 by source of fair value determination.

(\$ in millions)	Fair value	Percent to total
Fair value based on internal sources	\$ 3,130	11.9%
Fair value based on external sources ⁽¹⁾	23,169	88.1
Total	\$ 26,299	100.0%

⁽¹⁾ Includes \$398 million that are valued using broker quotes.

For additional detail on fair value measurements, see Note 7 of the consolidated financial statements.

Impairment of fixed income and equity securities For investments classified as available for sale, the difference between fair value and amortized cost for fixed income securities and cost for equity securities, net of certain other items and deferred income taxes (as disclosed in Note 6), is reported as a component of accumulated other comprehensive income on the Consolidated Statements of Financial Position and is not reflected in the operating results of any period until reclassified to net income upon the consummation of a transaction with an unrelated third party or when a write-down is recorded due to an other-than-temporary decline in fair value. We have a comprehensive portfolio monitoring process to identify and evaluate each fixed income and equity security whose carrying value may be other-than-temporarily impaired.

For each fixed income security in an unrealized loss position, we assess whether management with the appropriate authority has made the decision to sell or whether it is more likely than not we will be required to sell the security before recovery of the amortized cost basis for reasons such as liquidity, contractual or regulatory purposes. If a security meets either of these criteria, the security's decline in fair value is considered other than temporary and is recorded in earnings.

If we have not made the decision to sell the fixed income security and it is not more likely than not we will be required to sell the fixed income security before recovery of its amortized cost basis, we evaluate whether we expect to receive cash flows sufficient to recover the entire amortized cost basis of the security. We use our best estimate of future cash flows expected to be collected from the fixed income security, discounted at the security's original or current effective rate, as appropriate, to calculate a recovery

value and determine whether a credit loss exists. The determination of cash flow estimates is inherently subjective and methodologies may vary depending on facts and circumstances specific to the security. All reasonably available information relevant to the collectability of the security, including past events, current conditions, and reasonable and supportable assumptions and forecasts, are considered when developing the estimate of cash flows expected to be collected. That information generally includes, but is not limited to, the remaining payment terms of the security, prepayment speeds, foreign exchange rates, the financial condition and future earnings potential of the issue or issuer, expected defaults, expected recoveries, the value of underlying collateral, vintage, geographic concentration, available reserves or escrows, current subordination levels, third party guarantees and other credit enhancements. Other information, such as industry analyst reports and forecasts, sector credit ratings, financial condition of the bond insurer for insured fixed income securities, and other market data relevant to the realizability of contractual cash flows, may also be considered. The estimated fair value of collateral will be used to estimate recovery value if we determine that the security is dependent on the liquidation of collateral for ultimate settlement. If the estimated recovery value is less than the amortized cost of the security, a credit loss exists and an other-than-temporary impairment for the difference between the estimated recovery value and amortized cost is recorded in earnings. The portion of the unrealized loss related to factors other than credit remains classified in accumulated other comprehensive income. If we determine that the fixed income security does not have sufficient cash flow or other information to estimate a recovery value for the security, we may conclude that the entire decline in fair value is deemed to be credit related and the loss is recorded in earnings.

There are a number of assumptions and estimates inherent in evaluating impairments of equity securities and determining if they are other than temporary, including: 1) our ability and intent to hold the investment for a period of time sufficient to allow for an anticipated recovery in value; 2) the financial condition, near-term and long-term prospects of the issue or issuer, including relevant industry specific market conditions and trends, geographic location and implications of rating agency actions and offering prices; 3) the specific reasons that a security is in an unrealized loss position, including overall market conditions which could affect liquidity; and 4) the length of time and extent to which the fair value has been less than cost.

Once assumptions and estimates are made, any number of changes in facts and circumstances could cause us to subsequently determine that a fixed income or equity security is other-than-temporarily impaired, including: 1) general economic conditions that are worse than previously forecasted or that have a greater adverse effect on a particular issuer or industry sector than originally estimated; 2) changes in the facts and circumstances related to a particular issue or issuer's ability to meet all of its contractual obligations; and 3) changes in facts and circumstances that result in management's decision to sell or result in our assessment that it is more likely than not we will be required to sell before recovery of the amortized cost basis of a fixed income security or causes a change in our ability or intent to hold an equity security until it recovers in value. Changes in assumptions, facts and circumstances could result in additional charges to earnings in future periods to the extent that losses are realized. The charge to earnings, while potentially significant to net income, would not have a significant effect on shareholder's equity, since our securities are designated as available for sale and carried at fair value and as a result, any related unrealized loss, net of deferred income taxes and related DAC, deferred sales inducement costs and reserves for life-contingent contract benefits, would already be reflected as a component of accumulated other comprehensive income in shareholder's equity.

The determination of the amount of other-than-temporary impairment is an inherently subjective process based on periodic evaluations of the factors described above. Such evaluations and assessments are revised as conditions change and new information becomes available. We update our evaluations regularly and reflect changes in other-than-temporary impairments in results of operations as such evaluations are revised. The use of different methodologies and assumptions in the determination of the amount of other-than-temporary impairments may have a material effect on the amounts presented within the consolidated financial statements.

For additional detail on investment impairments, see Note 6 of the consolidated financial statements.

Deferred policy acquisition costs amortization We incur significant costs in connection with acquiring insurance policies and investment contracts. In accordance with GAAP, costs that are related directly to the successful acquisition of new or renewal insurance policies and investment contracts are deferred and recorded as an asset on the Consolidated Statements of Financial Position.

DAC related to traditional life and voluntary accident and health insurance is amortized over the premium paying period of the related policies in proportion to the estimated revenues on such business. Significant assumptions relating to estimated premiums, investment returns, as well as mortality, persistency and expenses to administer the business are established at the time the policy is issued and are generally not revised during the life of the policy. The assumptions for determining the timing and amount of DAC amortization are consistent with the assumptions used to calculate the reserve for life-contingent contract benefits. Any deviations from projected business in force resulting from actual policy terminations differing from expected levels and any estimated premium deficiencies may result in a change to the rate of amortization in the period such events occur. Generally, the amortization periods for these policies approximates the estimated lives of the policies. The recovery of DAC is dependent upon the future profitability of the business. We periodically review the adequacy of reserves and recoverability of DAC for these policies on an aggregate basis using actual experience. We aggregate traditional life insurance products and immediate annuities with life contingencies in one analysis, and voluntary accident and health insurance in a separate analysis. In the event actual

experience is significantly adverse compared to the original assumptions and a premium deficiency is determined to exist, any remaining unamortized DAC balance must be expensed to the extent not recoverable and a premium deficiency reserve may be required if the remaining DAC balance is insufficient to absorb the deficiency. In 2016, 2015 and 2014, our reviews concluded that no premium deficiency adjustments were necessary.

DAC related to interest-sensitive life insurance and fixed annuities is amortized in proportion to the incidence of the total present value of gross profits, which includes both actual historical gross profits (“AGP”) and estimated future gross profits (“EGP”) expected to be earned over the estimated lives of the contracts. The amortization is net of interest on the prior period DAC balance using rates established at the inception of the contracts. Actual amortization periods generally range from 15-30 years; however, incorporating estimates of the rate of customer surrenders, partial withdrawals and deaths generally results in the majority of the DAC being amortized during the surrender charge period, which is typically 10-20 years for interest-sensitive life and 5-10 years for fixed annuities. The cumulative DAC amortization is reestimated and adjusted by a cumulative charge or credit to income when there is a difference between the incidence of actual versus expected gross profits in a reporting period or when there is a change in total EGP.

AGP and EGP primarily consist of the following components: contract charges for the cost of insurance less mortality costs and other benefits (benefit margin); investment income and realized capital gains and losses less interest credited (investment margin); and surrender and other contract charges less maintenance expenses (expense margin). The principal assumptions for determining the amount of EGP are mortality, persistency, expenses, investment returns, including capital gains and losses on assets supporting contract liabilities, interest crediting rates to contractholders, and the effects of any hedges, and these assumptions are reasonably likely to have the greatest impact on the amount of DAC amortization. Changes in these assumptions can be offsetting and we are unable to reasonably predict their future movements or offsetting impacts over time.

Each reporting period, DAC amortization is recognized in proportion to AGP for that period adjusted for interest on the prior period DAC balance. This amortization process includes an assessment of AGP compared to EGP, the actual amount of business remaining in force and realized capital gains and losses on investments supporting the product liability. The impact of realized capital gains and losses on amortization of DAC depends upon which product liability is supported by the assets that give rise to the gain or loss. If the AGP is greater than EGP in the period, but the total EGP is unchanged, the amount of DAC amortization will generally increase, resulting in a current period decrease to earnings. The opposite result generally occurs when the AGP is less than the EGP in the period, but the total EGP is unchanged. However, when DAC amortization or a component of gross profits for a quarterly period is potentially negative (which would result in an increase of the DAC balance) as a result of negative AGP, the specific facts and circumstances surrounding the potential negative amortization are considered to determine whether it is appropriate for recognition in the consolidated financial statements. Negative amortization is only recorded when the increased DAC balance is determined to be recoverable based on facts and circumstances. For products whose supporting investments are exposed to capital losses in excess of our expectations which may cause periodic AGP to become temporarily negative, EGP and AGP utilized in DAC amortization may be modified to exclude the excess capital losses.

Annually, we review and update the assumptions underlying the projections of EGP, including mortality, persistency, expenses, investment returns, comprising investment income and realized capital gains and losses, interest crediting rates and the effect of any hedges, using our experience and industry experience. At each reporting period, we assess whether any revisions to assumptions used to determine DAC amortization are required. These reviews and updates may result in amortization acceleration or deceleration, which are referred to as “DAC unlocking”. If the update of assumptions causes total EGP to increase, the rate of DAC amortization will generally decrease, resulting in a current period increase to earnings. A decrease to earnings generally occurs when the assumption update causes the total EGP to decrease.

The following table provides the effect on DAC amortization of changes in assumptions relating to the gross profit components of investment margin, benefit margin and expense margin during the years ended December 31.

(\$ in millions)	2016	2015	2014
Investment margin	\$ (3)	\$ 1	\$ 5
Benefit margin	—	1	29
Expense margin	(3)	(2)	(41)
Net (deceleration) acceleration	\$ (6)	\$ —	\$ (7)

In 2016, DAC amortization deceleration for changes in the investment margin component of EGP related to interest-sensitive life insurance and was due to increased projected investment margins from a favorable asset portfolio mix. The expense margin deceleration related primarily to variable life insurance and was due to a decrease in projected expenses.

In 2015, DAC amortization acceleration for changes in the investment margin component of EGP related to interest-sensitive life insurance and was due to lower projected investment returns. The acceleration related to benefit margin primarily related to interest-sensitive life insurance and was due to a true up of actual inforce data. The deceleration related to expense margin primarily related to interest-sensitive life insurance and was due to a decrease in projected expenses.

In 2014, DAC amortization acceleration for changes in the investment margin component of EGP related to interest-sensitive life insurance and fixed annuities and was due to lower projected investment returns. The acceleration related to benefit margin primarily related to interest-sensitive life insurance and was due to an increase in projected mortality. The deceleration related to expense margin primarily related to interest-sensitive life insurance and was due to a decrease in projected expenses.

The following table displays the sensitivity of reasonably likely changes in assumptions included in the gross profit components of investment margin or benefit margin to amortization of the DAC balance as of December 31, 2016.

(\$ in millions)	Increase/(reduction) in DAC
Increase in future investment margins of 25 basis points	\$ 53
Decrease in future investment margins of 25 basis points	\$ (58)
Decrease in future life mortality by 1%	\$ 15
Increase in future life mortality by 1%	\$ (15)

Any potential changes in assumptions discussed above are measured without consideration of correlation among assumptions. Therefore, it would be inappropriate to add them together in an attempt to estimate overall variability in amortization.

For additional detail related to DAC, see the Operations section of this document.

Reserve for life-contingent contract benefits estimation Due to the long term nature of traditional life insurance, life-contingent immediate annuities and voluntary accident and health insurance products, benefits are payable over many years; accordingly, the reserves are calculated as the present value of future expected benefits to be paid, reduced by the present value of future expected net premiums. Long-term actuarial assumptions of future investment yields, mortality, morbidity, policy terminations and expenses are used when establishing the reserve for life-contingent contract benefits payable under these insurance policies. These assumptions, which for traditional life insurance are applied using the net level premium method, include provisions for adverse deviation and generally vary by characteristics such as type of coverage, year of issue and policy duration. Future investment yield assumptions are determined based upon prevailing investment yields as well as estimated reinvestment yields. Mortality, morbidity and policy termination assumptions are based on our experience and industry experience. Expense assumptions include the estimated effects of inflation and expenses to be incurred beyond the premium-paying period. These assumptions are established at the time the policy is issued, are consistent with assumptions for determining DAC amortization for these policies, and are generally not changed during the policy coverage period. However, if actual experience emerges in a manner that is significantly adverse relative to the original assumptions, adjustments to DAC or reserves may be required resulting in a charge to earnings which could have a material effect on our operating results and financial condition.

We periodically review the adequacy of reserves and recoverability of DAC for these policies on an aggregate basis using actual experience. In the event actual experience is significantly adverse compared to the original assumptions and a premium deficiency is determined to exist, any remaining unamortized DAC balance must be expensed to the extent not recoverable and the establishment of a premium deficiency reserve may be required. In 2016, 2015 and 2014, our reviews concluded that no premium deficiency adjustments were necessary. In 2016, there was an increase in projected profit from traditional life insurance and immediate annuities with life contingencies had a projected profit compared to projected losses in the prior year. While there was an unfavorable change in mortality assumptions for immediate annuities with life contingencies as a result of the mortality study described below, there was a favorable change in the long-term investment yield assumptions due to the increase in performance-based investments and equity securities. The investment strategy changes for immediate annuities are discussed further in the Operations and Investments sections of the MD&A. Fixed income securities, performance-based investments and equity securities are elements of an integrated asset allocation framework designed to correspond with the anticipated timing and amount of expected annuity cash outflows. Determination of the long-term investment yield assumption considers both actual and expected investment allocations. The favorable impact of higher long-term investment yield assumptions more than offset the impact of unfavorable mortality assumptions. The net sufficiency represents approximately 15% of applicable reserves as of December 31, 2016 and substantially relates to traditional life insurance.

We also review these policies on an aggregate basis for circumstances where projected profits would be recognized in early years followed by projected losses in later years. In 2016, 2015 and 2014, our reviews concluded that there were no projected losses following projected profits in each long-term projection.

In 2016, we completed a mortality study for our structured settlement annuities with life contingencies. The study indicated that annuitants are living longer and receiving benefits for a longer period than originally estimated. A substantial portion of the structured settlement annuity business includes annuitants with severe injuries or other health impairments which significantly reduced their life expectancy at the time the annuity was issued. Medical advances and access to medical care are lowering mortality rates from original expectations. The results of the study, which assumes impaired life expectancy is a proportion of standard life expectancy as well as continued mortality improvements, were included in the premium deficiency and profits followed by losses evaluations described above.

We will continue to monitor the experience of our traditional life insurance and immediate annuities. We anticipate that mortality, investment and reinvestment yields, and policy terminations are the factors that would be most likely to require premium deficiency adjustments to these reserves or related DAC. Mortality rates and investment and reinvestment yields are the factors that would be most likely to require a profits followed by losses liability accrual.

For further detail on the reserve for life-contingent contract benefits, see Note 9 of the consolidated financial statements.

REGULATION AND LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

We are subject to extensive regulation and we are involved in various legal and regulatory actions, all of which have an effect on specific aspects of our business. For a detailed discussion of the legal and regulatory actions in which we are involved, see Note 12 of the consolidated financial statements.

PENDING ACCOUNTING STANDARDS

There are pending accounting standards that we have not implemented because the implementation date has not yet occurred. For a discussion of these pending standards, see Note 2 of the consolidated financial statements.

The effect of implementing certain accounting standards on our financial results and financial condition is often based in part on market conditions at the time of implementation of the standard and other factors we are unable to determine prior to implementation. For this reason, we are sometimes unable to estimate the effect of certain pending accounting standards until the relevant authoritative body finalizes these standards or until we implement them.

Item 7A. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk

Information required for Item 7A is incorporated by reference to the material under the caption “Market Risk” in Part II, Item 7 of this report.

Item 8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data

	Page
Consolidated Statements of Operations and Comprehensive Income	47
Consolidated Statements of Financial Position	48
Consolidated Statements of Shareholder’s Equity	49
Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows	50
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Notes)	51
Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm	97

ALLSTATE LIFE INSURANCE COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS AND COMPREHENSIVE INCOME

(\$ in millions)

	Year Ended December 31,		
	2016	2015	2014
Revenues			
Premiums (net of reinsurance ceded of \$158, \$167 and \$216)	\$ 592	\$ 600	\$ 589
Contract charges (net of reinsurance ceded of \$189, \$189 and \$176)	717	738	847
Net investment income	1,659	1,819	2,081
Realized capital gains and losses:			
Total other-than-temporary impairment (“OTTI”) losses	(120)	(144)	(54)
OTTI losses reclassified to (from) other comprehensive income	7	16	(1)
Net OTTI losses recognized in earnings	(113)	(128)	(55)
Sales and other realized capital gains and losses	36	393	198
Total realized capital gains and losses	(77)	265	143
	<u>2,891</u>	<u>3,422</u>	<u>3,660</u>
Costs and expenses			
Contract benefits (net of reinsurance ceded of \$224, \$237 and \$329)	1,387	1,406	1,452
Interest credited to contractholder funds (net of reinsurance ceded of \$46, \$40 and \$27)	677	717	891
Amortization of deferred policy acquisition costs	134	151	162
Operating costs and expenses	219	273	310
Restructuring and related charges	1	—	2
Interest expense	15	16	16
	<u>2,433</u>	<u>2,563</u>	<u>2,833</u>
Gain (loss) on disposition of operations	5	3	(68)
Income from operations before income tax expense	463	862	759
Income tax expense	144	301	233
Net income	<u>319</u>	<u>561</u>	<u>526</u>
Other comprehensive income (loss), after-tax			
Change in unrealized net capital gains and losses	153	(854)	455
Change in unrealized foreign currency translation adjustments	4	(4)	(4)
Other comprehensive income (loss), after-tax	<u>157</u>	<u>(858)</u>	<u>451</u>
Comprehensive income (loss)	<u>\$ 476</u>	<u>\$ (297)</u>	<u>\$ 977</u>

See notes to consolidated financial statements.

ALLSTATE LIFE INSURANCE COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF FINANCIAL POSITION

(\$ in millions, except par value data)

	December 31,	
	2016	2015
Assets		
Investments		
Fixed income securities, at fair value (amortized cost \$23,112 and \$23,770)	\$ 24,222	\$ 24,629
Mortgage loans	3,938	3,781
Equity securities, at fair value (cost \$1,429 and \$1,526)	1,511	1,542
Limited partnership interests	2,776	2,295
Short-term, at fair value (amortized cost \$566 and \$816)	566	816
Policy loans	563	572
Other	1,491	1,327
Total investments	35,067	34,962
Cash	138	104
Deferred policy acquisition costs	1,187	1,314
Reinsurance recoverable from non-affiliates	2,339	2,407
Reinsurance recoverable from affiliates	452	464
Accrued investment income	273	278
Other assets	410	510
Separate Accounts	3,373	3,639
Total assets	\$ 43,239	\$ 43,678
Liabilities		
Contractholder funds	\$ 19,470	\$ 20,542
Reserve for life-contingent contract benefits	11,322	11,394
Unearned premiums	5	5
Payable to affiliates, net	52	55
Other liabilities and accrued expenses	952	849
Deferred income taxes	1,191	986
Notes due to related parties	465	275
Separate Accounts	3,373	3,639
Total liabilities	36,830	37,745
Commitments and Contingent Liabilities (Notes 8 and 12)		
Shareholder's Equity		
Redeemable preferred stock - series A, \$100 par value, 1,500,000 shares authorized, none issued	—	—
Redeemable preferred stock - series B, \$100 par value, 1,500,000 shares authorized, none issued	—	—
Common stock, \$227 par value, 23,800 shares authorized and outstanding	5	5
Additional capital paid-in	1,990	1,990
Retained income	3,736	3,417
Accumulated other comprehensive income:		
Unrealized net capital gains and losses:		
Unrealized net capital gains and losses on fixed income securities with OTTI	39	41
Other unrealized net capital gains and losses	733	527
Unrealized adjustment to DAC, DSI and insurance reserves	(91)	(40)
Total unrealized net capital gains and losses	681	528
Unrealized foreign currency translation adjustments	(3)	(7)
Total accumulated other comprehensive income	678	521
Total shareholder's equity	6,409	5,933
Total liabilities and shareholder's equity	\$ 43,239	\$ 43,678

See notes to consolidated financial statements.

ALLSTATE LIFE INSURANCE COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF SHAREHOLDER'S EQUITY

(\$ in millions)	Year Ended December 31,		
	2016	2015	2014
Common stock	\$ 5	\$ 5	\$ 5
Additional capital paid-in			
Balance, beginning of year	1,990	1,990	2,690
Return of capital	—	—	(700)
Balance, end of year	1,990	1,990	1,990
Retained income			
Balance, beginning of year	3,417	2,973	2,447
Net income	319	561	526
Dividends	—	(103)	—
Loss on reinsurance with an affiliate	—	(12)	—
Loss on sale of subsidiaries to affiliate	—	(2)	—
Balance, end of year	3,736	3,417	2,973
Accumulated other comprehensive income			
Balance, beginning of year	521	1,379	928
Change in unrealized net capital gains and losses	153	(854)	455
Change in unrealized foreign currency translation adjustments	4	(4)	(4)
Balance, end of year	678	521	1,379
Total shareholder's equity	\$ 6,409	\$ 5,933	\$ 6,347

See notes to consolidated financial statements.

ALLSTATE LIFE INSURANCE COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

(\$ in millions)

	Year Ended December 31,		
	2016	2015	2014
Cash flows from operating activities			
Net income	\$ 319	\$ 561	\$ 526
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities:			
Amortization and other non-cash items	(64)	(74)	(86)
Realized capital gains and losses	77	(265)	(143)
(Gain) loss on disposition of operations	(5)	(3)	68
Interest credited to contractholder funds	677	717	891
Changes in:			
Policy benefits and other insurance reserves	(611)	(559)	(553)
Unearned premiums	—	—	(1)
Deferred policy acquisition costs	54	27	(1)
Reinsurance recoverables, net	30	16	(25)
Income taxes	135	27	121
Other operating assets and liabilities	(117)	(41)	(134)
Net cash provided by operating activities	495	406	663
Cash flows from investing activities			
Proceeds from sales			
Fixed income securities	5,999	8,267	3,353
Equity securities	1,298	636	1,383
Limited partnership interests	371	481	521
Mortgage loans	—	6	10
Other investments	44	24	35
Investment collections			
Fixed income securities	2,085	1,993	1,909
Mortgage loans	363	446	1,027
Other investments	169	69	46
Investment purchases			
Fixed income securities	(7,072)	(8,272)	(3,232)
Equity securities	(1,234)	(1,264)	(1,612)
Limited partnership interests	(677)	(663)	(711)
Mortgage loans	(517)	(615)	(468)
Other investments	(211)	(220)	(306)
Change in short-term investments, net	(19)	77	79
Change in policy loans and other investments, net	(26)	(39)	60
Disposition of operations	—	20	345
Net cash provided by investing activities	573	946	2,439
Cash flows from financing activities			
Contractholder fund deposits	854	901	1,065
Contractholder fund withdrawals	(2,028)	(2,278)	(3,407)
Proceeds from issuance of notes to related parties	140	—	—
Dividends paid	—	(17)	—
Return of capital	—	—	(700)
Repayment of notes due to related parties	—	—	(7)
Net cash used in financing activities	(1,034)	(1,394)	(3,049)
Net increase (decrease) in cash	34	(42)	53
Cash at beginning of year	104	146	93
Cash at end of year	\$ 138	\$ 104	\$ 146

See notes to consolidated financial statements.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

1. General

Basis of presentation

The accompanying consolidated financial statements include the accounts of Allstate Life Insurance Company (“ALIC”) and its wholly owned subsidiaries (collectively referred to as the “Company”). ALIC is wholly owned by Allstate Insurance Company (“AIC”), which is wholly owned by Allstate Insurance Holdings, LLC, a wholly owned subsidiary of The Allstate Corporation (the “Corporation”). These consolidated financial statements have been prepared in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America (“GAAP”). All significant intercompany accounts and transactions have been eliminated.

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with GAAP requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the amounts reported in the consolidated financial statements and accompanying notes. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

Nature of operations

The Company sells traditional, interest-sensitive and variable life insurance products. The Company distributes its products through Allstate exclusive agencies and exclusive financial specialists. The Company also sells voluntary accident and health insurance through workplace enrolling independent agents in New York. The Company previously offered and continues to have in force fixed annuities such as deferred and immediate annuities. The Company previously offered institutional products consisting of funding agreements sold to unaffiliated trusts that used them to back medium-term notes. There are no institutional products outstanding as of December 31, 2016. The Company also previously offered variable annuities and substantially all of this business is reinsured.

The following table summarizes premiums and contract charges by product.

(\$ in millions)	2016	2015	2014
Premiums			
Traditional life insurance	\$ 502	\$ 515	\$ 492
Immediate annuities with life contingencies	—	—	4
Accident and health insurance	90	85	93
Total premiums	592	600	589
Contract charges			
Interest-sensitive life insurance	703	724	828
Fixed annuities	14	14	19
Total contract charges	717	738	847
Total premiums and contract charges	\$ 1,309	\$ 1,338	\$ 1,436

The Company, through several subsidiaries, is authorized to sell life insurance and retirement products in all 50 states, the District of Columbia and Puerto Rico. For 2016, the top geographic locations for direct statutory premiums and annuity considerations were New York, California, Texas, Florida and Illinois. No other jurisdiction accounted for more than 5% of statutory premiums and annuity considerations.

The Company has exposure to market risk as a result of its investment portfolio. Market risk is the risk that the Company will incur realized and unrealized net capital losses due to adverse changes in interest rates, credit spreads, equity prices or currency exchange rates. The Company’s primary market risk exposures are to changes in interest rates, credit spreads and equity prices. Interest rate risk is the risk that the Company will incur a loss due to adverse changes in interest rates relative to the interest rate characteristics of its interest bearing assets and liabilities. This risk arises from many of the Company’s primary activities, as it invests substantial funds in interest-sensitive assets and issues interest-sensitive liabilities. Interest rate risk includes risks related to changes in U.S. Treasury yields and other key risk-free reference yields. Credit spread risk is the risk that the Company will incur a loss due to adverse changes in credit spreads. This risk arises from many of the Company’s primary activities, as the Company invests substantial funds in spread-sensitive fixed income assets. Equity price risk is the risk that the Company will incur losses due to adverse changes in the general levels of the equity markets.

The Company monitors economic and regulatory developments that have the potential to impact its business. Federal and state laws and regulations affect the taxation of insurance companies and life insurance products. Congress and various state legislatures from time to time consider legislation that would reduce or eliminate the favorable policyholder tax treatment currently applicable to life insurance. Congress and various state legislatures also consider proposals to reduce the taxation of certain products or investments that may compete with life insurance. Legislation that increases the taxation on insurance products or reduces the taxation on competing products could lessen the advantage or create a disadvantage for certain of the Company’s

products making them less competitive. Such proposals, if adopted, could have an adverse effect on the Company's financial position or ability to sell such products and could result in the surrender of some existing contracts and policies. In addition, changes in the federal estate tax laws could negatively affect the demand for the types of life insurance used in estate planning.

2. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

Investments

Fixed income securities include bonds, asset-backed securities ("ABS"), residential mortgage-backed securities ("RMBS"), commercial mortgage-backed securities ("CMBS") and redeemable preferred stocks. Fixed income securities, which may be sold prior to their contractual maturity, are designated as available for sale and are carried at fair value. The difference between amortized cost and fair value, net of deferred income taxes and related deferred policy acquisition costs ("DAC"), deferred sales inducement costs ("DSI") and reserves for life-contingent contract benefits, is reflected as a component of accumulated other comprehensive income. Cash received from calls and make-whole payments is reflected as a component of proceeds from sales and cash received from maturities and pay-downs is reflected as a component of investment collections within the Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows.

Mortgage loans are carried at unpaid principal balances, net of unamortized premium or discount and valuation allowances. Valuation allowances are established for impaired loans when it is probable that contractual principal and interest will not be collected.

Equity securities primarily include common stocks, exchange traded and mutual funds, non-redeemable preferred stocks and real estate investment trust equity investments. Equity securities are designated as available for sale and are carried at fair value. The difference between cost and fair value, net of deferred income taxes, is reflected as a component of accumulated other comprehensive income.

Investments in limited partnership interests include interests in private equity funds and co-investments, real estate funds and joint ventures, and other funds. Where the Company's interest is so minor that it exercises virtually no influence over operating and financial policies, investments in limited partnership interests are accounted for in accordance with the cost method of accounting; all other investments in limited partnership interests are accounted for in accordance with the equity method of accounting ("EMA").

Short-term investments, including commercial paper, U.S. Treasury bills, money market funds and other short-term investments, are carried at fair value. Policy loans are carried at unpaid principal balances. Other investments primarily consist of bank loans, agent loans, notes due from related party, real estate and derivatives. Bank loans are primarily senior secured corporate loans and are carried at amortized cost. Agent loans are loans issued to exclusive Allstate agents and are carried at unpaid principal balances, net of valuation allowances and unamortized deferred fees or costs. Notes due from related party are carried at outstanding principal balances. Real estate is carried at cost less accumulated depreciation. Derivatives are carried at fair value.

Investment income primarily consists of interest, dividends, income from limited partnership interests, rental income from real estate, and income from certain derivative transactions. Interest is recognized on an accrual basis using the effective yield method and dividends are recorded at the ex-dividend date. Interest income for ABS, RMBS and CMBS is determined considering estimated pay-downs, including prepayments, obtained from third party data sources and internal estimates. Actual prepayment experience is periodically reviewed and effective yields are recalculated when differences arise between the prepayments originally anticipated and the actual prepayments received and currently anticipated. For ABS, RMBS and CMBS of high credit quality with fixed interest rates, the effective yield is recalculated on a retrospective basis. For all others, the effective yield is recalculated on a prospective basis. Accrual of income is suspended for other-than-temporarily impaired fixed income securities when the timing and amount of cash flows expected to be received is not reasonably estimable. Accrual of income is suspended for mortgage loans, bank loans and agent loans that are in default or when full and timely collection of principal and interest payments is not probable. Cash receipts on investments on nonaccrual status are generally recorded as a reduction of carrying value. Income from cost method limited partnership interests is recognized upon receipt of amounts distributed by the partnerships. Income from EMA limited partnership interests is recognized based on the Company's share of the partnerships' net income, including unrealized gains and losses, and is generally recognized on a three month delay due to the availability of the related financial statements.

Realized capital gains and losses include gains and losses on investment sales, write-downs in value due to other-than-temporary declines in fair value, adjustments to valuation allowances on mortgage loans and agent loans, periodic changes in fair value and settlements of certain derivatives including hedge ineffectiveness and valuation changes in public securities held in certain limited partnerships. Realized capital gains and losses on investment sales are determined on a specific identification basis.

Derivative and embedded derivative financial instruments

Derivative financial instruments include interest rate swaps, credit default swaps, futures (interest rate and equity), options (including swaptions), interest rate caps, warrants, foreign currency swaps, foreign currency forwards and certain investment risk transfer reinsurance agreements. Derivatives required to be separated from the host instrument and accounted for as derivative financial instruments (“subject to bifurcation”) are embedded in equity-indexed life and annuity contracts, reinsured variable annuity contracts and certain funding agreements.

All derivatives are accounted for on a fair value basis and reported as other investments, other assets, other liabilities and accrued expenses or contractholder funds. Embedded derivative instruments subject to bifurcation are also accounted for on a fair value basis and are reported together with the host contract. The change in fair value of derivatives embedded in life and annuity product contracts and subject to bifurcation is reported in contract benefits or interest credited to contractholder funds. Cash flows from embedded derivatives subject to bifurcation and derivatives receiving hedge accounting are reported consistently with the host contracts and hedged risks, respectively, within the Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows. Cash flows from other derivatives are reported in cash flows from investing activities within the Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows.

When derivatives meet specific criteria, they may be designated as accounting hedges and accounted for as fair value, cash flow, foreign currency fair value or foreign currency cash flow hedges. The hedged item may be either all or a specific portion of a recognized asset, liability or an unrecognized firm commitment attributable to a particular risk for fair value hedges. At the inception of the hedge, the Company formally documents the hedging relationship and risk management objective and strategy. The documentation identifies the hedging instrument, the hedged item, the nature of the risk being hedged and the methodology used to assess the effectiveness of the hedging instrument in offsetting the exposure to changes in the hedged item’s fair value attributable to the hedged risk. For a cash flow hedge, this documentation includes the exposure to changes in the variability in cash flows attributable to the hedged risk. The Company does not exclude any component of the change in fair value of the hedging instrument from the effectiveness assessment. At each reporting date, the Company confirms that the hedging instrument continues to be highly effective in offsetting the hedged risk. Ineffectiveness in fair value hedges and cash flow hedges, if any, is reported in realized capital gains and losses.

Fair value hedges The change in fair value of hedging instruments used in fair value hedges of investment assets or a portion thereof is reported in net investment income, together with the change in fair value of the hedged items. The change in fair value of hedging instruments used in fair value hedges of contractholder funds liabilities or a portion thereof is reported in interest credited to contractholder funds, together with the change in fair value of the hedged items. Accrued periodic settlements on swaps are reported together with the changes in fair value of the swaps in net investment income or interest credited to contractholder funds. The amortized cost for fixed income securities, the carrying value for mortgage loans or the carrying value of the hedged liability is adjusted for the change in fair value of the hedged risk.

Cash flow hedges For hedging instruments used in cash flow hedges, the changes in fair value of the derivatives representing the effective portion of the hedge are reported in accumulated other comprehensive income. Amounts are reclassified to net investment income or realized capital gains and losses as the hedged or forecasted transaction affects income. Accrued periodic settlements on derivatives used in cash flow hedges are reported in net investment income. The amount reported in accumulated other comprehensive income for a hedged transaction is limited to the lesser of the cumulative gain or loss on the derivative less the amount reclassified to income, or the cumulative gain or loss on the derivative needed to offset the cumulative change in the expected future cash flows on the hedged transaction from inception of the hedge less the derivative gain or loss previously reclassified from accumulated other comprehensive income to income. If the Company expects at any time that the loss reported in accumulated other comprehensive income would lead to a net loss on the combination of the hedging instrument and the hedged transaction which may not be recoverable, a loss is recognized immediately in realized capital gains and losses. If an impairment loss is recognized on an asset or an additional obligation is incurred on a liability involved in a hedge transaction, any offsetting gain in accumulated other comprehensive income is reclassified and reported together with the impairment loss or recognition of the obligation.

Termination of hedge accounting If, subsequent to entering into a hedge transaction, the derivative becomes ineffective (including if the hedged item is sold or otherwise extinguished, the occurrence of a hedged forecasted transaction is no longer probable or the hedged asset becomes other-than-temporarily impaired), the Company may terminate the derivative position. The Company may also terminate derivative instruments or redesignate them as non-hedge as a result of other events or circumstances. If the derivative instrument is not terminated when a fair value hedge is no longer effective, the future gains and losses recognized on the derivative are reported in realized capital gains and losses. When a fair value hedge is no longer effective, is redesignated as non-hedge or when the derivative has been terminated, the fair value gain or loss on the hedged asset, liability or portion thereof which has already been recognized in income while the hedge was in place and used to adjust the amortized cost for fixed income securities, the carrying value for mortgage loans or the carrying value of the hedged liability, is amortized over the remaining life of the hedged asset, liability or portion thereof, and reflected in net investment income or interest credited to contractholder funds beginning in the period that hedge accounting is no longer applied. If the hedged item in a fair value hedge is an asset that has

become other-than-temporarily impaired, the adjustment made to the amortized cost for fixed income securities or the carrying value for mortgage loans is subject to the accounting policies applied to other-than-temporarily impaired assets.

When a derivative instrument used in a cash flow hedge of an existing asset or liability is no longer effective or is terminated, the gain or loss recognized on the derivative is reclassified from accumulated other comprehensive income to income as the hedged risk impacts income. If the derivative instrument is not terminated when a cash flow hedge is no longer effective, the future gains and losses recognized on the derivative are reported in realized capital gains and losses. When a derivative instrument used in a cash flow hedge of a forecasted transaction is terminated because it is probable the forecasted transaction will not occur, the gain or loss recognized on the derivative is immediately reclassified from accumulated other comprehensive income to realized capital gains and losses in the period that hedge accounting is no longer applied.

Non-hedge derivative financial instruments For derivatives for which hedge accounting is not applied, the income statement effects, including fair value gains and losses and accrued periodic settlements, are reported either in realized capital gains and losses or in a single line item together with the results of the associated asset or liability for which risks are being managed.

Securities loaned

The Company's business activities include securities lending transactions, which are used primarily to generate net investment income. The proceeds received in conjunction with securities lending transactions are reinvested in short-term investments or fixed income securities. These transactions are short-term in nature, usually 30 days or less.

The Company receives cash collateral for securities loaned in an amount generally equal to 102% of the fair value of securities and records the related obligations to return the collateral in other liabilities and accrued expenses. The carrying value of these obligations approximates fair value because of their relatively short-term nature. The Company monitors the market value of securities loaned on a daily basis and obtains additional collateral as necessary under the terms of the agreements to mitigate counterparty credit risk. The Company maintains the right and ability to repossess the securities loaned on short notice.

Recognition of premium revenues and contract charges, and related benefits and interest credited

Traditional life insurance products consist principally of products with fixed and guaranteed premiums and benefits, primarily term and whole life insurance products. Voluntary accident and health insurance products are expected to remain in force for an extended period and therefore are primarily classified as long-duration contracts. Premiums from these products are recognized as revenue when due from policyholders. Benefits are reflected in contract benefits and recognized over the life of the policy in relation to premiums.

Immediate annuities with life contingencies, including certain structured settlement annuities, provide insurance protection over a period that extends beyond the period during which premiums are collected. Premiums from these products are recognized as revenue when received at the inception of the contract. Benefits and expenses are recognized in relation to premiums. Profits from these policies come primarily from investment income, which is recognized over the life of the contract.

Interest-sensitive life contracts, such as universal life and single premium life, are insurance contracts whose terms are not fixed and guaranteed. The terms that may be changed include premiums paid by the contractholder, interest credited to the contractholder account balance and contract charges assessed against the contractholder account balance. Premiums from these contracts are reported as contractholder fund deposits. Contract charges consist of fees assessed against the contractholder account balance for the cost of insurance (mortality risk), contract administration and surrender of the contract prior to contractually specified dates. These contract charges are recognized as revenue when assessed against the contractholder account balance. Contract benefits include life-contingent benefit payments in excess of the contractholder account balance.

Contracts that do not subject the Company to significant risk arising from mortality or morbidity are referred to as investment contracts. Fixed annuities, including market value adjusted annuities, equity-indexed annuities and immediate annuities without life contingencies, and funding agreements (primarily backing medium-term notes) are considered investment contracts. Consideration received for such contracts is reported as contractholder fund deposits. Contract charges for investment contracts consist of fees assessed against the contractholder account balance for maintenance, administration and surrender of the contract prior to contractually specified dates, and are recognized when assessed against the contractholder account balance.

Interest credited to contractholder funds represents interest accrued or paid on interest-sensitive life and investment contracts. Crediting rates for certain fixed annuities and interest-sensitive life contracts are adjusted periodically by the Company to reflect current market conditions subject to contractually guaranteed minimum rates. Crediting rates for indexed life and annuities and indexed funding agreements are generally based on a specified interest rate index or an equity index, such as the Standard & Poor's 500 Index ("S&P 500"). Interest credited also includes amortization of DSI expenses. DSI is amortized into interest credited using the same method used to amortize DAC.

Contract charges for variable life and variable annuity products consist of fees assessed against the contractholder account balances for contract maintenance, administration, mortality, expense and surrender of the contract prior to contractually specified dates. Contract benefits incurred for variable annuity products include guaranteed minimum death, income, withdrawal and

accumulation benefits. Substantially all of the Company's variable annuity business is ceded through reinsurance agreements and the contract charges and contract benefits related thereto are reported net of reinsurance ceded.

Deferred policy acquisition and sales inducement costs

Costs that are related directly to the successful acquisition of new or renewal life insurance and investment contracts are deferred and recorded as DAC. These costs are principally agents' and brokers' remuneration and certain underwriting expenses. DSI costs, which are deferred and recorded as other assets, relate to sales inducements offered on sales to new customers, principally on fixed annuity and interest-sensitive life contracts. These sales inducements are primarily in the form of additional credits to the customer's account balance or enhancements to interest credited for a specified period which are in excess of the rates currently being credited to similar contracts without sales inducements. All other acquisition costs are expensed as incurred and included in operating costs and expenses. Amortization of DAC is included in amortization of deferred policy acquisition costs and is described in more detail below. DSI is amortized into income using the same methodology and assumptions as DAC and is included in interest credited to contractholder funds.

For traditional life and voluntary accident and health insurance, DAC is amortized over the premium paying period of the related policies in proportion to the estimated revenues on such business. Assumptions used in the amortization of DAC and reserve calculations are established at the time the policy is issued and are generally not revised during the life of the policy. Any deviations from projected business in force resulting from actual policy terminations differing from expected levels and any estimated premium deficiencies may result in a change to the rate of amortization in the period such events occur. Generally, the amortization periods for these policies approximates the estimated lives of the policies. The Company periodically reviews the recoverability of DAC for these policies on an aggregate basis using actual experience. The Company aggregates traditional life insurance products and immediate annuities with life contingencies in one analysis, and voluntary accident and health insurance in a separate analysis. If actual experience is significantly adverse compared to the original assumptions and a premium deficiency is determined to exist, any remaining unamortized DAC balance would be expensed to the extent not recoverable and the establishment of a premium deficiency reserve may be required.

For interest-sensitive life insurance and fixed annuities, DAC and DSI are amortized in proportion to the incidence of the total present value of gross profits, which includes both actual historical gross profits ("AGP") and estimated future gross profits ("EGP") expected to be earned over the estimated lives of the contracts. The amortization is net of interest on the prior period DAC balance using rates established at the inception of the contracts. Actual amortization periods generally range from 15-30 years; however, incorporating estimates of the rate of customer surrenders, partial withdrawals and deaths generally results in the majority of the DAC being amortized during the surrender charge period, which is typically 10-20 years for interest-sensitive life and 5-10 years for fixed annuities. The cumulative DAC and DSI amortization is reestimated and adjusted by a cumulative charge or credit to income when there is a difference between the incidence of actual versus expected gross profits in a reporting period or when there is a change in total EGP. When DAC or DSI amortization or a component of gross profits for a quarterly period is potentially negative (which would result in an increase of the DAC or DSI balance) as a result of negative AGP, the specific facts and circumstances surrounding the potential negative amortization are considered to determine whether it is appropriate for recognition in the consolidated financial statements. Negative amortization is only recorded when the increased DAC or DSI balance is determined to be recoverable based on facts and circumstances. Recapitalization of DAC and DSI is limited to the originally deferred costs plus interest.

AGP and EGP primarily consist of the following components: contract charges for the cost of insurance less mortality costs and other benefits; investment income and realized capital gains and losses less interest credited; and surrender and other contract charges less maintenance expenses. The principal assumptions for determining the amount of EGP are mortality, persistency, expenses, investment returns, including capital gains and losses on assets supporting contract liabilities, interest crediting rates to contractholders, and the effects of any hedges. For products whose supporting investments are exposed to capital losses in excess of the Company's expectations which may cause periodic AGP to become temporarily negative, EGP and AGP utilized in DAC and DSI amortization may be modified to exclude the excess capital losses.

The Company performs quarterly reviews of DAC and DSI recoverability for interest-sensitive life and fixed annuity contracts in the aggregate using current assumptions. If a change in the amount of EGP is significant, it could result in the unamortized DAC or DSI not being recoverable, resulting in a charge which is included as a component of amortization of deferred policy acquisition costs or interest credited to contractholder funds, respectively.

The DAC and DSI balances presented include adjustments to reflect the amount by which the amortization of DAC and DSI would increase or decrease if the unrealized capital gains or losses in the respective product investment portfolios were actually realized. The adjustments are recorded net of tax in accumulated other comprehensive income. DAC, DSI and deferred income taxes determined on unrealized capital gains and losses and reported in accumulated other comprehensive income recognize the impact on shareholder's equity consistently with the amounts that would be recognized in the income statement on realized capital gains and losses.

Customers of the Company may exchange one insurance policy or investment contract for another offered by the Company, or make modifications to an existing investment or life contract issued by the Company. These transactions are identified as internal replacements for accounting purposes. Internal replacement transactions determined to result in replacement contracts that are substantially unchanged from the replaced contracts are accounted for as continuations of the replaced contracts. Unamortized DAC and DSI related to the replaced contracts continue to be deferred and amortized in connection with the replacement contracts. For interest-sensitive life and investment contracts, the EGP of the replacement contracts are treated as a revision to the EGP of the replaced contracts in the determination of amortization of DAC and DSI. For traditional life insurance policies, any changes to unamortized DAC that result from replacement contracts are treated as prospective revisions. Any costs associated with the issuance of replacement contracts are characterized as maintenance costs and expensed as incurred. Internal replacement transactions determined to result in a substantial change to the replaced contracts are accounted for as an extinguishment of the replaced contracts, and any unamortized DAC and DSI related to the replaced contracts are eliminated with a corresponding charge to amortization of deferred policy acquisition costs or interest credited to contractholder funds, respectively.

The costs assigned to the right to receive future cash flows from certain business purchased from other insurers are also classified as DAC in the Consolidated Statements of Financial Position. The costs capitalized represent the present value of future profits expected to be earned over the lives of the contracts acquired. These costs are amortized as profits emerge over the lives of the acquired business and are periodically evaluated for recoverability. The present value of future profits was \$4 million as of both December 31, 2016 and 2015. Amortization expense of the present value of future profits was \$276 thousand, \$377 thousand and \$1 million in 2016, 2015 and 2014, respectively.

Reinsurance

In the normal course of business, the Company seeks to limit aggregate and single exposure to losses on large risks by purchasing reinsurance. The Company has also used reinsurance to effect the disposition of certain blocks of business. The amounts reported as reinsurance recoverables include amounts billed to reinsurers on losses paid as well as estimates of amounts expected to be recovered from reinsurers on insurance liabilities and contractholder funds that have not yet been paid. Reinsurance recoverables on unpaid losses are estimated based upon assumptions consistent with those used in establishing the liabilities related to the underlying reinsured contracts. Insurance liabilities are reported gross of reinsurance recoverables. Reinsurance premiums are generally reflected in income in a manner consistent with the recognition of premiums on the reinsured contracts. Reinsurance does not extinguish the Company's primary liability under the policies written. Therefore, the Company regularly evaluates the financial condition of its reinsurers and establishes allowances for uncollectible reinsurance as appropriate.

Income taxes

The income tax provision is calculated under the liability method. Deferred tax assets and liabilities are recorded based on the difference between the financial statement and tax bases of assets and liabilities at the enacted tax rates. The principal assets and liabilities giving rise to such differences are DAC, unrealized capital gains and losses and insurance reserves. A deferred tax asset valuation allowance is established when there is uncertainty that such assets will be realized.

Reserve for life-contingent contract benefits

The reserve for life-contingent contract benefits payable under insurance policies, including traditional life insurance, life-contingent immediate annuities and voluntary accident and health insurance products, is computed on the basis of long-term actuarial assumptions of future investment yields, mortality, morbidity, policy terminations and expenses. These assumptions, which for traditional life insurance are applied using the net level premium method, include provisions for adverse deviation and generally vary by characteristics such as type of coverage, year of issue and policy duration. The assumptions are established at the time the policy is issued and are generally not changed during the life of the policy. The Company periodically reviews the adequacy of reserves for these policies on an aggregate basis using actual experience. If actual experience is significantly adverse compared to the original assumptions and a premium deficiency is determined to exist, any remaining unamortized DAC balance would be expensed to the extent not recoverable and the establishment of a premium deficiency reserve may be required. The Company also reviews these policies on an aggregate basis for circumstances where projected profits would be recognized in early years followed by projected losses in later years. If this circumstance exists, the Company will accrue a liability, during the period of profits, to offset the losses at such time as the future losses are expected to commence using a method updated prospectively over time. To the extent that unrealized gains on fixed income securities would result in a premium deficiency if those gains were realized, the related increase in reserves for certain immediate annuities with life contingencies is recorded net of tax as a reduction of unrealized net capital gains included in accumulated other comprehensive income.

Contractholder funds

Contractholder funds represent interest-bearing liabilities arising from the sale of products such as interest-sensitive life insurance, fixed annuities and funding agreements. Contractholder funds primarily comprise cumulative deposits received and interest credited to the contractholder less cumulative contract benefits, surrenders, withdrawals, maturities and contract charges

for mortality or administrative expenses. Contractholder funds also include reserves for secondary guarantees on interest-sensitive life insurance and certain fixed annuity contracts and reserves for certain guarantees on reinsured variable annuity contracts.

Separate accounts

Separate accounts assets are carried at fair value. The assets of the separate accounts are legally segregated and available only to settle separate accounts contract obligations. Separate accounts liabilities represent the contractholders' claims to the related assets and are carried at an amount equal to the separate accounts assets. Investment income and realized capital gains and losses of the separate accounts accrue directly to the contractholders and therefore are not included in the Company's Consolidated Statements of Operations and Comprehensive Income. Deposits to and surrenders and withdrawals from the separate accounts are reflected in separate accounts liabilities and are not included in consolidated cash flows.

Absent any contract provision wherein the Company provides a guarantee, variable annuity and variable life insurance contractholders bear the investment risk that the separate accounts' funds may not meet their stated investment objectives. Substantially all of the Company's variable annuity business was reinsured beginning in 2006.

Off-balance sheet financial instruments

Commitments to invest, commitments to purchase private placement securities, commitments to extend loans, financial guarantees and credit guarantees have off-balance sheet risk because their contractual amounts are not recorded in the Company's Consolidated Statements of Financial Position (see Note 8 and Note 12).

Consolidation of variable interest entities ("VIEs")

The Company consolidates VIEs when it is the primary beneficiary. A primary beneficiary is the variable interest holder in a VIE with both the power to direct the activities of the VIE that most significantly impact the economic performance of the VIE and the obligation to absorb losses, or the right to receive benefits, that could potentially be significant to the VIE.

Adopted accounting standard

Amendments to the Consolidation Analysis

In February 2015, the Financial Accounting Standards Board ("FASB") issued guidance affecting the consolidation evaluation for limited partnerships and similar entities, fees paid to a decision maker or service provider, and variable interests in a variable interest entity held by related parties of the reporting enterprise. The adoption of this guidance as of January 1, 2016 did not have a material impact on the Company's results of operations or financial position.

Pending accounting standards

Recognition and Measurement of Financial Assets and Financial Liabilities

In January 2016, the FASB issued guidance requiring equity investments, including equity securities and limited partnership interests, that are not accounted for under the equity method of accounting or result in consolidation to be measured at fair value with changes in fair value recognized in net income. Equity investments without readily determinable fair values may be measured at cost minus impairment, if any, plus or minus changes resulting from observable price changes in orderly transactions for the identical or a similar investment of the same issuer. When a qualitative assessment of equity investments without readily determinable fair values indicates that impairment exists, the carrying value is required to be adjusted to fair value, if lower. The guidance clarifies that an entity should evaluate the realizability of a deferred tax asset related to available-for-sale fixed income securities in combination with the entity's other deferred tax assets. The guidance also changes certain disclosure requirements. The guidance is effective for interim and annual periods beginning after December 15, 2017, and is to be applied through a cumulative-effect adjustment to beginning retained income as of the beginning of the period of adoption. The new guidance related to equity investments without readily determinable fair values is to be applied prospectively as of the date of adoption. The Company is in the process of evaluating the impact of adoption. The most significant impacts, using values as of December 31, 2016, are expected to be the change in accounting for equity securities where \$82 million of pre-tax unrealized net capital gains would be reclassified from accumulated other comprehensive income to retained income and cost method limited partnership interests (excluding limited partnership interests accounted for on a cost recovery basis) where the carrying value would increase by approximately \$75 million, pre-tax, with the adjustment recorded in retained income.

Transition to Equity Method Accounting

In March 2016, the FASB issued guidance amending the accounting requirements for transitioning to the equity method of accounting ("EMA"), including a transition from the cost method. The guidance requires the cost of acquiring an additional interest in an investee to be added to the existing carrying value to establish the initial basis of the EMA investment. Under the new guidance, no retroactive adjustment is required when an investment initially qualifies for EMA treatment. The guidance is effective for interim and annual periods beginning after December 15, 2016, and is to be applied prospectively. The guidance will principally affect the future accounting for investments that qualify for EMA after application of the cost method of accounting.

The Company is in the process of evaluating the impact of adoption, which is not expected to be material to the Company's results of operations or financial position.

Measurement of Credit Losses on Financial Instruments

In June 2016, the FASB issued guidance which revises the credit loss recognition criteria for certain financial assets measured at amortized cost. The new guidance replaces the existing incurred loss recognition model with an expected loss recognition model. The objective of the expected credit loss model is for the reporting entity to recognize its estimate of expected credit losses for affected financial assets in a valuation allowance deducted from the amortized cost basis of the related financial assets that results in presenting the net carrying value of the financial assets at the amount expected to be collected. The reporting entity must consider all available relevant information when estimating expected credit losses, including details about past events, current conditions, and reasonable and supportable forecasts over the contractual life of an asset. Financial assets may be evaluated individually or on a pooled basis when they share similar risk characteristics. The measurement of credit losses for available-for-sale debt securities measured at fair value is not affected except that credit losses recognized are limited to the amount by which fair value is below amortized cost and the carrying value adjustment is recognized through an allowance and not as a direct write-down. The guidance is effective for interim and annual periods beginning after December 15, 2019, and for most affected instruments must be adopted using a modified retrospective approach, with a cumulative effect adjustment recorded to beginning retained income. The Company is in the process of evaluating the impact of adoption.

3. Disposition

On April 1, 2014, the Company sold Lincoln Benefit Life Company ("LBL"), LBL's life insurance business generated through independent master brokerage agencies, and all of LBL's deferred fixed annuity and long-term care insurance business to Resolution Life Holdings, Inc. The gross sale price was \$797 million, representing \$596 million of cash and the retention of tax benefits. The loss on disposition in 2014 was \$79 million, pre-tax (\$38 million, after-tax).

4. Supplemental Cash Flow Information

Non-cash investing activities include \$117 million, \$96 million and \$102 million related to mergers and exchanges completed with equity securities and modifications of certain mortgage loans, fixed income securities and other investments in 2016, 2015 and 2014, respectively, and a \$44 million obligation to fund a limited partnership investment in 2015. Non-cash financing activities also include \$34 million related to debt acquired in conjunction with the purchase of an investment in 2016.

In 2016, the Company transferred to an unconsolidated affiliate a \$50 million surplus note issued by a consolidated subsidiary in exchange for a note receivable with a principal sum equal to that of the surplus note. In 2015, the Company paid dividends to AIC of \$103 million, including \$86 million in the form of investment securities.

Liabilities for collateral received in conjunction with the Company's securities lending program were \$545 million, \$539 million and \$508 million as of December 31, 2016, 2015 and 2014, respectively, and are reported in other liabilities and accrued expenses. Obligations to return cash collateral for over-the-counter ("OTC") and cleared derivatives were \$5 million, \$11 million and \$2 million as of December 31, 2016, 2015 and 2014, respectively, and are reported in other liabilities and accrued expenses or other investments. The accompanying cash flows are included in cash flows from operating activities in the Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows along with the activities resulting from management of the proceeds, which for the years ended December 31 are as follows:

(\$ in millions)	2016	2015	2014
Net change in proceeds managed			
Net change in fixed income securities	\$ (277)	\$ —	\$ —
Net change in short-term investments	277	(41)	(182)
Operating cash flow used	—	(41)	(182)
Net change in cash	—	1	—
Net change in proceeds managed	<u>\$ —</u>	<u>\$ (40)</u>	<u>\$ (182)</u>
Net change in liabilities			
Liabilities for collateral, beginning of year	\$ (550)	\$ (510)	\$ (328)
Liabilities for collateral, end of year	(550)	(550)	(510)
Operating cash flow provided	<u>\$ —</u>	<u>\$ 40</u>	<u>\$ 182</u>

5. Related Party Transactions

Business operations

The Company uses services performed by AIC and other affiliates, and business facilities owned or leased and operated by AIC in conducting its business activities. In addition, the Company shares the services of employees with AIC. The Company reimburses its affiliates for the operating expenses incurred on behalf of the Company. The Company is charged for the cost of these operating expenses based on the level of services provided. Operating expenses, including compensation, retirement and other benefit programs (see Note 16), allocated to the Company were \$225 million, \$271 million and \$339 million in 2016, 2015 and 2014, respectively. A portion of these expenses relate to the acquisition of business, which are deferred and amortized into income as described in Note 2.

Sale of subsidiaries

On January 1, 2015, ALIC sold its subsidiary Allstate Assurance Company (“AAC”) to its unconsolidated affiliate Allstate Financial Insurance Holdings Corporation (“AFIHC”). ALIC received \$11 million in cash. The \$2 million loss on sale was recorded as a decrease to retained income since the sale was between affiliates under common control.

On November 1, 2015, ALIC sold its subsidiary Intramerica Life Insurance Company to AFIHC. ALIC received \$10 million in cash. The \$469 thousand loss on sale was recorded as a decrease to retained income since the sale was between affiliates under common control.

Agent loan sale and securitization

On December 22, 2016, ALIC’s subsidiary Allstate Finance Company, LLC (“AFC”) sold agent loans with a fair value of \$419 million to affiliate Allstate Finance Company Agency Loans LLC (“AFCAL”) and AFCAL used the loans as collateral in the issuance of notes. Investors in the notes were as follows:

(\$ in millions)

Class A Notes Due March 10, 2034	
Allstate New Jersey Insurance Company	\$ 77
American Heritage Life Insurance Company	37
Allstate Assurance Company	19
First Colonial Insurance Company	7
Subtotal - Class A	140
Class B Deferrable Notes Due March 10, 2034	
Allstate Life Insurance Company	140
Class C Deferrable Notes Due March 10, 2034	
Allstate Life Insurance Company	110
Subordinated Notes Due March 10, 2034	
Allstate Life Insurance Company	29
Total	\$ 419

AFCAL is a VIE and ALIC is the primary beneficiary since ALIC has control over the significant activities of AFCAL, the obligation to absorb significant losses and the rights to residual returns. Therefore, AFCAL is included in ALIC’s consolidated financial statements. Transactions between ALIC, AFC and AFCAL are eliminated in consolidation. The Company’s Consolidated Statements of Financial Position include \$417 million of agent loans, \$2 million of cash and \$140 million of notes due to related parties as of December 31, 2016 associated with AFCAL.

The \$140 million of notes due to related parties include the Class A Notes due March 10, 2034 that were sold to Allstate New Jersey Insurance Company, American Heritage Life Insurance Company, Allstate Assurance Company and First Colonial Insurance Company. These notes have an annual interest rate of 3.25%. The Company incurred interest expense related to these notes of \$113 thousand in 2016.

Reinsurance

The Company has coinsurance reinsurance agreements with its unconsolidated affiliate American Heritage Life Insurance Company (“AHL”) whereby the Company assumes certain interest-sensitive life insurance, fixed annuity contracts and accident and health insurance policies. The amounts assumed are disclosed in Note 10.

Effective April 1, 2015, ALIC entered into a coinsurance reinsurance agreement with AAC to cede certain interest-sensitive life insurance policies to AAC. In connection with the agreement, the Company recorded reinsurance recoverables of \$476 million and paid \$494 million in investments. The \$12 million loss on the transaction was recorded as a decrease to retained income since the transaction was between affiliates under common control.

ALIC enters into certain intercompany reinsurance transactions with its wholly owned subsidiaries. ALIC enters into these transactions in order to maintain underwriting control and spread risk among various legal entities. These reinsurance agreements have been approved by the appropriate regulatory authorities. All significant intercompany transactions have been eliminated in consolidation.

Broker-Dealer agreement

The Company receives distribution services from Allstate Financial Services, LLC, an affiliated broker-dealer company, for certain annuity and variable life insurance contracts sold by Allstate exclusive agencies. For these services, the Company incurred commission and other distribution expenses of \$9 million, \$9 million and \$4 million in 2016, 2015 and 2014, respectively.

Structured settlement annuities

The Company previously issued structured settlement annuities, a type of immediate annuity, to fund structured settlements in matters involving AIC. In most cases, these annuities were issued under a “qualified assignment” whereby Allstate Assignment Company and prior to July 1, 2001 Allstate Settlement Corporation (“ASC”), both wholly owned subsidiaries of ALIC, purchased annuities from ALIC and assumed AIC’s obligation to make future payments.

AIC issued surety bonds to guarantee the payment of structured settlement benefits assumed by ASC (from both AIC and non-related parties) and funded by certain annuity contracts issued by the Company through June 30, 2001. ASC entered into a General Indemnity Agreement pursuant to which it indemnified AIC for any liabilities associated with the surety bonds and gave AIC certain collateral security rights with respect to the annuities and certain other rights in the event of any defaults covered by the surety bonds. ALIC guaranteed the payment of structured settlement benefits on all contracts issued on or after July 1, 2001. Reserves recorded by the Company for annuities that are guaranteed by the surety bonds of AIC were \$4.64 billion and \$4.65 billion as of December 31, 2016 and 2015, respectively.

Income taxes

The Company is a party to a federal income tax allocation agreement with the Corporation (see Note 13).

Notes due to related parties

Notes due to related parties outstanding as of December 31 consisted of the following:

(\$ in millions)	2016	2015
6.74% Surplus Note to Kennett, due 2029 ⁽¹⁾	\$ 25	\$ 25
3.24% Surplus Note to Kennett, due 2035 ⁽¹⁾	100	100
3.48% Surplus Note to Kennett, due 2036 ⁽¹⁾	100	100
5.93% Surplus Note to Kennett, due 2038 ⁽¹⁾	50	50
4.14% Surplus Note to Kennett, due 2036 ⁽¹⁾	50	—
3.25% Note to Allstate New Jersey Insurance Company, due 2034	77	—
3.25% Note to American Heritage Life Insurance Company, due 2034	37	—
3.25% Note to Allstate Assurance Company, due 2034	19	—
3.25% Note to First Colonial Insurance Company, due 2034	7	—
Total notes due to related parties	<u>\$ 465</u>	<u>\$ 275</u>

⁽¹⁾ No payment of principal or interest is permitted on the surplus notes without the written approval from the proper regulatory authority. The regulatory authority could prohibit the payment of interest and principal on the surplus notes if certain statutory capital requirements are not met. Permission to pay interest on the surplus notes was granted in 2016, 2015 and 2014.

On August 1, 2005, ALIC entered into an agreement with Kennett Capital Inc. (“Kennett”), an unconsolidated affiliate of ALIC, whereby ALIC sold to Kennett a \$100 million 5.06% surplus note due July 1, 2035 issued by ALIC Reinsurance Company (“ALIC Re”), a wholly owned subsidiary of ALIC. As payment, Kennett issued a full recourse 4.86% note due July 1, 2035 to ALIC for the same amount. As security for the performance of Kennett’s obligations under the agreement and note, Kennett granted ALIC a pledge of and security interest in Kennett’s right, title and interest in the surplus notes and their proceeds. Under the terms of the agreement, ALIC may sell and Kennett may choose to buy additional surplus notes, if and when additional surplus notes are issued. On December 1, 2015, the interest rate for the surplus note due to Kennett and the note due from Kennett reset to 3.24% and 3.04%, respectively.

On June 30, 2006, ALIC sold Kennett a \$100 million redeemable surplus note issued by ALIC Re. The surplus note is due June 1, 2036 with an initial rate of 6.18% that will reset every 10 years to the then current ten year Constant Maturity Treasury yield (“CMT”), plus 1.14%. As payment, Kennett issued a full recourse note due June 1, 2036 to ALIC for the same amount with an initial interest rate of 5.98% that will reset every ten years to the then current ten year CMT, plus 0.94%. On December 1, 2016, the interest rate for the surplus note due to Kennett and the note due from Kennett reset to 3.48% and 3.28%, respectively.

On June 30, 2008, ALIC sold Kennett a \$50 million redeemable surplus note issued by ALIC Re. The surplus note is due June 1, 2038 with an initial rate of 5.93% that will reset every ten years to the then current ten year CMT, plus 2.09%. As payment, Kennett issued a full recourse note due June 1, 2038 to ALIC for the same amount with an initial interest rate of 5.73% that will reset every ten years to the then current ten year CMT, plus 1.89%.

On December 18, 2009, ALIC sold Kennett a \$25 million redeemable surplus note issued by ALIC Re. The surplus note is due December 1, 2029 with an initial rate of 6.74% that will reset every ten years to the then current ten year CMT, plus 3.25%. As payment, Kennett issued a full recourse note due December 1, 2029 to ALIC for the same amount with an initial interest rate of 5.19% that will reset every ten years to the then current ten year CMT, plus 1.70%.

On April 26, 2016, ALIC sold Kennett a \$50 million redeemable surplus note issued by ALIC Re. The surplus note is due December 1, 2036 with an initial rate of 4.14% that will reset every ten years to the then current ten year CMT, plus 2.23%. As payment, Kennett issued a full recourse note due December 1, 2036 to ALIC for the same amount with an initial interest rate of 3.14% that will reset every ten years to the then current ten year CMT, plus 1.23%.

The notes due from Kennett are classified as other investments. The Company recorded net investment income on these notes of \$14 million in 2016 and \$15 million in each of 2015 and 2014. The Company incurred interest expense related to the surplus notes due to Kennett of \$15 million in 2016 and \$16 million in each of 2015 and 2014.

In August 2011, in accordance with an asset purchase agreement between Road Bay Investments, LLC (“RBI”), a consolidated subsidiary of ALIC, and AIC, RBI purchased from AIC fixed income securities with a fair value of \$7 million on the date of sale and issued a 6.35% note due August 23, 2018 to AIC for the same amount. In 2014, RBI repaid the entire principal of this note. In 2014, the Company incurred interest expense on these notes of \$84 thousand.

Surplus note

On December 2, 2016, the Company purchased for cash a \$40 million 3.07% surplus note due December 2, 2036 that was issued by AAC. No payment of principal or interest is permitted on the surplus note without the written approval from the proper regulatory authority. The regulatory authority could prohibit the payment of interest and principal on the surplus notes if certain statutory capital requirements are not met. The surplus note is classified as fixed income securities on the Consolidated Statements of Financial Position. In 2016, the Company recorded investment income on this surplus note of \$99 thousand.

Liquidity and intercompany loan agreements

The Company, AIC, AAC and the Corporation are party to the Amended and Restated Intercompany Liquidity Agreement (“Liquidity Agreement”) which allows for short-term advances of funds to be made between parties for liquidity and other general corporate purposes. The Liquidity Agreement does not establish a commitment to advance funds on the part of any party. The Company and AIC each serve as a lender and borrower, AAC serves only as a borrower, and the Corporation serves only as a lender. The maximum amount of advances each party may make or receive is limited to \$1 billion. Netting or offsetting of advances made and received is not permitted. Advances between the parties are required to have specified due dates less than or equal to 364 days from the date of the advance and be payable upon demand by written request from the lender at least 10 business days prior to the demand date. The borrower may make prepayments of the outstanding principal balance of an advance without penalty. Advances will bear interest equal to or greater than the rate applicable to 30-day commercial paper issued by the Corporation on the date the advance is made with an adjustment on the first day of each month thereafter. The Company had no amounts outstanding under the Liquidity Agreement as of December 31, 2016 or 2015.

In addition to the Liquidity Agreement, the Company has an intercompany loan agreement with the Corporation. The amount of intercompany loans available to the Company is at the discretion of the Corporation. The maximum amount of loans the Corporation will have outstanding to all its eligible subsidiaries at any given point in time is limited to \$1 billion. The Corporation may use commercial paper borrowings, bank lines of credit and securities lending to fund intercompany borrowings. The Company had no amounts outstanding under the intercompany loan agreement as of December 31, 2016 or 2015.

RBI, a consolidated subsidiary of ALIC, has a Revolving Loan Credit Agreement (“Credit Agreement”) with AHL, according to which AHL agreed to extend revolving credit loans to RBI. As security for its obligations under the Credit Agreement, RBI entered into a Pledge and Security Agreement with AHL, according to which RBI agreed to grant a pledge of and security interest in RBI’s right, title, and interest in certain assets of RBI. The Company had no amounts outstanding under the Credit Agreement as of December 31, 2016 or 2015.

Capital support agreement

The Company has a Capital Support Agreement with AIC. Under the terms of this agreement, AIC agrees to provide capital to maintain the amount of statutory capital and surplus necessary to maintain a company action level risk-based capital (“RBC”) ratio of at least 150%. AIC’s obligation to provide capital to the Company under the agreement is limited to an aggregate amount of \$1 billion. In exchange for providing this capital, the Company will pay AIC an annual commitment fee of 1% of the amount of the Capital and Surplus maximum that remains available on January 1 of such year. The Company or AIC have the right to

terminate this agreement when: 1) the Company qualifies for a financial strength rating from S&P's, Moody's or A.M. Best, without giving weight to the existence of this agreement, that is the same or better than its rating with such support; 2) the Company's RBC ratio is at least 300%; or 3) AIC no longer directly or indirectly owns at least 50% of the voting stock of the Company. As of December 31, 2016 and 2015, no capital had been provided by AIC under this agreement.

Dividends and return of capital

In 2015, the Company paid dividends of \$103 million to AIC in the form of cash and investments. The Company approved and paid a return of capital of \$700 million to AIC in 2014, which was recorded as a reduction of additional capital paid-in on the Consolidated Statements of Financial Position.

6. Investments

Fair values

The amortized cost, gross unrealized gains and losses and fair value for fixed income securities are as follows:

(\$ in millions)	Amortized cost	Gross unrealized		Fair value
		Gains	Losses	
December 31, 2016				
U.S. government and agencies	\$ 968	\$ 48	\$ (2)	\$ 1,014
Municipal	2,017	264	(7)	2,274
Corporate	18,945	905	(169)	19,681
Foreign government	304	28	—	332
ABS	337	4	(10)	331
RMBS	294	42	(3)	333
CMBS	233	17	(9)	241
Redeemable preferred stock	14	2	—	16
Total fixed income securities	\$ 23,112	\$ 1,310	\$ (200)	\$ 24,222
December 31, 2015				
U.S. government and agencies	\$ 920	\$ 57	\$ —	\$ 977
Municipal	2,162	292	(12)	2,442
Corporate	18,069	849	(414)	18,504
Foreign government	348	36	—	384
ABS	1,443	5	(28)	1,420
RMBS	406	49	(4)	451
CMBS	409	31	(4)	436
Redeemable preferred stock	13	2	—	15
Total fixed income securities	\$ 23,770	\$ 1,321	\$ (462)	\$ 24,629

Scheduled maturities

The scheduled maturities for fixed income securities are as follows as of December 31, 2016:

(\$ in millions)	Amortized cost	Fair value
Due in one year or less	\$ 1,330	\$ 1,345
Due after one year through five years	8,486	8,855
Due after five years through ten years	7,889	8,062
Due after ten years	4,543	5,055
	22,248	23,317
ABS, RMBS and CMBS	864	905
Total	\$ 23,112	\$ 24,222

Actual maturities may differ from those scheduled as a result of calls and make-whole payments by the issuers. ABS, RMBS and CMBS are shown separately because of the potential for prepayment of principal prior to contractual maturity dates.

Net investment income

Net investment income for the years ended December 31 is as follows:

(\$ in millions)	2016	2015	2014
Fixed income securities	\$ 1,078	\$ 1,246	\$ 1,522
Mortgage loans	193	203	242
Equity securities	40	28	20
Limited partnership interests	292	287	267
Short-term investments	5	3	2
Policy loans	32	34	39
Other	90	75	59
Investment income, before expense	1,730	1,876	2,151
Investment expense	(71)	(57)	(70)
Net investment income	\$ 1,659	\$ 1,819	\$ 2,081

Realized capital gains and losses

Realized capital gains and losses by asset type for the years ended December 31 are as follows:

(\$ in millions)	2016	2015	2014
Fixed income securities	\$ (59)	\$ 309	\$ (4)
Mortgage loans	—	6	2
Equity securities	(22)	(23)	134
Limited partnership interests	(5)	(32)	(4)
Derivatives	8	13	12
Other	1	(8)	3
Realized capital gains and losses	\$ (77)	\$ 265	\$ 143

Realized capital gains and losses by transaction type for the years ended December 31 are as follows:

(\$ in millions)	2016	2015	2014
Impairment write-downs	\$ (101)	\$ (63)	\$ (11)
Change in intent write-downs	(12)	(65)	(44)
Net other-than-temporary impairment losses recognized in earnings	(113)	(128)	(55)
Sales and other	31	383	184
Valuation and settlements of derivative instruments	5	10	14
Realized capital gains and losses	\$ (77)	\$ 265	\$ 143

Gross gains of \$184 million, \$542 million and \$223 million and gross losses of \$171 million, \$147 million and \$51 million were realized on sales of fixed income and equity securities during 2016, 2015 and 2014, respectively.

Other-than-temporary impairment losses by asset type for the years ended December 31 are as follows:

(\$ in millions)	2016			2015			2014		
	Gross	Included in OCI	Net	Gross	Included in OCI	Net	Gross	Included in OCI	Net
Fixed income securities:									
Municipal	\$ —	\$ —	\$ —	\$ —	\$ —	\$ —	\$ (1)	\$ —	\$ (1)
Corporate	(23)	6	(17)	(25)	6	(19)	(4)	—	(4)
ABS	(4)	—	(4)	(16)	10	(6)	(5)	—	(5)
RMBS	—	(1)	(1)	—	—	—	2	(1)	1
CMBS	(15)	2	(13)	(1)	—	(1)	(1)	—	(1)
Total fixed income securities	(42)	7	(35)	(42)	16	(26)	(9)	(1)	(10)
Mortgage loans	—	—	—	4	—	4	5	—	5
Equity securities	(59)	—	(59)	(83)	—	(83)	(32)	—	(32)
Limited partnership interests	(15)	—	(15)	(17)	—	(17)	(18)	—	(18)
Other	(4)	—	(4)	(6)	—	(6)	—	—	—
Other-than-temporary impairment losses	\$ (120)	\$ 7	\$ (113)	\$ (144)	\$ 16	\$ (128)	\$ (54)	\$ (1)	\$ (55)

The total amount of other-than-temporary impairment losses included in accumulated other comprehensive income at the time of impairment for fixed income securities, which were not included in earnings, are presented in the following table. The

amount excludes \$131 million and \$138 million as of December 31, 2016 and 2015, respectively, of net unrealized gains related to changes in valuation of the fixed income securities subsequent to the impairment measurement date.

(\$ in millions)	December 31, 2016	December 31, 2015
Municipal	\$ (5)	\$ (5)
Corporate	(5)	(2)
ABS	(11)	(12)
RMBS	(43)	(49)
CMBS	(7)	(6)
Total	<u>\$ (71)</u>	<u>\$ (74)</u>

Rollforwards of the cumulative credit losses recognized in earnings for fixed income securities held as of December 31 are as follows:

(\$ in millions)	2016	2015	2014
Beginning balance	\$ (200)	\$ (209)	\$ (299)
Additional credit loss for securities previously other-than-temporarily impaired	(16)	(8)	(6)
Additional credit loss for securities not previously other-than-temporarily impaired	(19)	(18)	(9)
Reduction in credit loss for securities disposed or collected	58	33	44
Change in credit loss due to accretion of increase in cash flows	1	2	2
Reduction in credit loss for securities sold in LBL disposition	—	—	59
Ending balance	<u>\$ (176)</u>	<u>\$ (200)</u>	<u>\$ (209)</u>

The Company uses its best estimate of future cash flows expected to be collected from the fixed income security, discounted at the security's original or current effective rate, as appropriate, to calculate a recovery value and determine whether a credit loss exists. The determination of cash flow estimates is inherently subjective and methodologies may vary depending on facts and circumstances specific to the security. All reasonably available information relevant to the collectability of the security, including past events, current conditions, and reasonable and supportable assumptions and forecasts, are considered when developing the estimate of cash flows expected to be collected. That information generally includes, but is not limited to, the remaining payment terms of the security, prepayment speeds, foreign exchange rates, the financial condition and future earnings potential of the issue or issuer, expected defaults, expected recoveries, the value of underlying collateral, vintage, geographic concentration of underlying collateral, available reserves or escrows, current subordination levels, third party guarantees and other credit enhancements. Other information, such as industry analyst reports and forecasts, sector credit ratings, financial condition of the bond insurer for insured fixed income securities, and other market data relevant to the realizability of contractual cash flows, may also be considered. The estimated fair value of collateral will be used to estimate recovery value if the Company determines that the security is dependent on the liquidation of collateral for ultimate settlement. If the estimated recovery value is less than the amortized cost of the security, a credit loss exists and an other-than-temporary impairment for the difference between the estimated recovery value and amortized cost is recorded in earnings. The portion of the unrealized loss related to factors other than credit remains classified in accumulated other comprehensive income. If the Company determines that the fixed income security does not have sufficient cash flow or other information to estimate a recovery value for the security, the Company may conclude that the entire decline in fair value is deemed to be credit related and the loss is recorded in earnings.

Unrealized net capital gains and losses

Unrealized net capital gains and losses included in accumulated other comprehensive income are as follows:

(\$ in millions) December 31, 2016	Fair value	Gross unrealized		Unrealized net gains (losses)
		Gains	Losses	
Fixed income securities	\$ 24,222	\$ 1,310	\$ (200)	\$ 1,110
Equity securities	1,511	117	(35)	82
Short-term investments	566	—	—	—
Derivative instruments ⁽¹⁾	5	5	—	5
EMA limited partnerships ⁽²⁾				(2)
Unrealized net capital gains and losses, pre-tax				1,195
Amounts recognized for:				
Insurance reserves ⁽³⁾				—
DAC and DSI ⁽⁴⁾				(140)
Amounts recognized				(140)
Deferred income taxes				(374)
Unrealized net capital gains and losses, after-tax				\$ 681

⁽¹⁾ Included in the fair value of derivative instruments is \$5 million classified as assets.

⁽²⁾ Unrealized net capital gains and losses for limited partnership interests represent the Company's share of EMA limited partnerships' other comprehensive income. Fair value and gross unrealized gains and losses are not applicable.

⁽³⁾ The insurance reserves adjustment represents the amount by which the reserve balance would increase if the net unrealized gains in the applicable product portfolios were realized and reinvested at current lower interest rates, resulting in a premium deficiency. Although the Company evaluates premium deficiencies on the combined performance of life insurance and immediate annuities with life contingencies, the adjustment, if any, primarily relates to structured settlement annuities with life contingencies, in addition to annuity buy-outs and certain payout annuities with life contingencies.

⁽⁴⁾ The DAC and DSI adjustment balance represents the amount by which the amortization of DAC and DSI would increase or decrease if the unrealized gains or losses in the respective product portfolios were realized.

(\$ in millions) December 31, 2015	Fair value	Gross unrealized		Unrealized net gains (losses)
		Gains	Losses	
Fixed income securities	\$ 24,629	\$ 1,321	\$ (462)	\$ 859
Equity securities	1,542	76	(60)	16
Short-term investments	816	—	—	—
Derivative instruments ⁽¹⁾	10	10	—	10
EMA limited partnerships				(2)
Unrealized net capital gains and losses, pre-tax				883
Amounts recognized for:				
Insurance reserves				—
DAC and DSI				(62)
Amounts recognized				(62)
Deferred income taxes				(293)
Unrealized net capital gains and losses, after-tax				\$ 528

⁽¹⁾ Included in the fair value of derivative instruments are \$6 million classified as assets and \$(4) million classified as liabilities.

Change in unrealized net capital gains and losses

The change in unrealized net capital gains and losses for the years ended December 31 is as follows:

(\$ in millions)	2016	2015	2014
Fixed income securities	\$ 251	\$ (1,436)	\$ 966
Equity securities	66	(27)	(42)
Derivative instruments	(5)	8	15
EMA limited partnerships	—	—	—
Investments classified as held for sale	—	—	(190)
Total	312	(1,455)	749
Amounts recognized for:			
Insurance reserves	—	28	(28)
DAC and DSI	(78)	114	(20)
Amounts recognized	(78)	142	(48)
Deferred income taxes	(81)	459	(246)
Increase (decrease) in unrealized net capital gains and losses, after-tax	\$ 153	\$ (854)	\$ 455

Portfolio monitoring

The Company has a comprehensive portfolio monitoring process to identify and evaluate each fixed income and equity security whose carrying value may be other-than-temporarily impaired.

For each fixed income security in an unrealized loss position, the Company assesses whether management with the appropriate authority has made the decision to sell or whether it is more likely than not the Company will be required to sell the security before recovery of the amortized cost basis for reasons such as liquidity, contractual or regulatory purposes. If a security meets either of these criteria, the security's decline in fair value is considered other than temporary and is recorded in earnings.

If the Company has not made the decision to sell the fixed income security and it is not more likely than not the Company will be required to sell the fixed income security before recovery of its amortized cost basis, the Company evaluates whether it expects to receive cash flows sufficient to recover the entire amortized cost basis of the security. The Company calculates the estimated recovery value by discounting the best estimate of future cash flows at the security's original or current effective rate, as appropriate, and compares this to the amortized cost of the security. If the Company does not expect to receive cash flows sufficient to recover the entire amortized cost basis of the fixed income security, the credit loss component of the impairment is recorded in earnings, with the remaining amount of the unrealized loss related to other factors recognized in other comprehensive income.

For equity securities, the Company considers various factors, including whether it has the intent and ability to hold the equity security for a period of time sufficient to recover its cost basis. Where the Company lacks the intent and ability to hold to recovery, or believes the recovery period is extended, the equity security's decline in fair value is considered other than temporary and is recorded in earnings.

For fixed income and equity securities managed by third parties, either the Company has contractually retained its decision making authority as it pertains to selling securities that are in an unrealized loss position or it recognizes any unrealized loss at the end of the period through a charge to earnings.

The Company's portfolio monitoring process includes a quarterly review of all securities to identify instances where the fair value of a security compared to its amortized cost (for fixed income securities) or cost (for equity securities) is below established thresholds. The process also includes the monitoring of other impairment indicators such as ratings, ratings downgrades and payment defaults. The securities identified, in addition to other securities for which the Company may have a concern, are evaluated for potential other-than-temporary impairment using all reasonably available information relevant to the collectability or recovery of the security. Inherent in the Company's evaluation of other-than-temporary impairment for these fixed income and equity securities are assumptions and estimates about the financial condition and future earnings potential of the issue or issuer. Some of the factors that may be considered in evaluating whether a decline in fair value is other than temporary are: 1) the financial condition, near-term and long-term prospects of the issue or issuer, including relevant industry specific market conditions and trends, geographic location and implications of rating agency actions and offering prices; 2) the specific reasons that a security is in an unrealized loss position, including overall market conditions which could affect liquidity; and 3) the length of time and extent to which the fair value has been less than amortized cost or cost.

The following table summarizes the gross unrealized losses and fair value of fixed income and equity securities by the length of time that individual securities have been in a continuous unrealized loss position.

(\$ in millions)	Less than 12 months			12 months or more			Total unrealized losses
	Number of issues	Fair value	Unrealized losses	Number of issues	Fair value	Unrealized losses	
December 31, 2016							
Fixed income securities							
U.S. government and agencies	6	\$ 104	\$ (2)	—	\$ —	\$ —	\$ (2)
Municipal	8	44	(1)	3	18	(6)	(7)
Corporate	629	4,767	(118)	56	414	(51)	(169)
ABS	18	95	(1)	13	76	(9)	(10)
RMBS	47	3	—	50	38	(3)	(3)
CMBS	12	57	(4)	4	15	(5)	(9)
Redeemable preferred stock	1	—	—	—	—	—	—
Total fixed income securities	721	5,070	(126)	126	561	(74)	(200)
Equity securities	167	200	(19)	62	80	(16)	(35)
Total fixed income and equity securities	888	\$ 5,270	\$ (145)	188	\$ 641	\$ (90)	\$ (235)
Investment grade fixed income securities	559	\$ 4,348	\$ (100)	75	\$ 350	\$ (47)	\$ (147)
Below investment grade fixed income securities	162	722	(26)	51	211	(27)	(53)
Total fixed income securities	721	\$ 5,070	\$ (126)	126	\$ 561	\$ (74)	\$ (200)
December 31, 2015							
Fixed income securities							
U.S. government and agencies	6	\$ 91	\$ —	—	\$ —	\$ —	\$ —
Municipal	15	125	(3)	5	25	(9)	(12)
Corporate	953	5,315	(281)	78	568	(133)	(414)
Foreign government	1	2	—	—	—	—	—
ABS	81	1,152	(11)	16	154	(17)	(28)
RMBS	38	7	—	40	53	(4)	(4)
CMBS	12	75	(2)	1	2	(2)	(4)
Total fixed income securities	1,106	6,767	(297)	140	802	(165)	(462)
Equity securities	279	543	(49)	32	56	(11)	(60)
Total fixed income and equity securities	1,385	\$ 7,310	\$ (346)	172	\$ 858	\$ (176)	\$ (522)
Investment grade fixed income securities	780	\$ 5,429	\$ (175)	82	\$ 503	\$ (90)	\$ (265)
Below investment grade fixed income securities	326	1,338	(122)	58	299	(75)	(197)
Total fixed income securities	1,106	\$ 6,767	\$ (297)	140	\$ 802	\$ (165)	\$ (462)

As of December 31, 2016, \$170 million of the \$235 million unrealized losses are related to securities with an unrealized loss position less than 20% of amortized cost or cost, the degree of which suggests that these securities do not pose a high risk of being other-than-temporarily impaired. Of the \$170 million, \$120 million are related to unrealized losses on investment grade fixed income securities and \$19 million are related to equity securities. Of the remaining \$31 million, \$17 million have been in an unrealized loss position for less than 12 months. Investment grade is defined as a security having a rating of Aaa, Aa, A or Baa from Moody's, a rating of AAA, AA, A or BBB from S&P Global Ratings ("S&P"), a comparable rating from another nationally recognized rating agency, or a comparable internal rating if an externally provided rating is not available. Market prices for certain securities may have credit spreads which imply higher or lower credit quality than the current third party rating. Unrealized losses on investment grade securities are principally related to an increase in market yields which may include increased risk-free interest rates and/or wider credit spreads since the time of initial purchase.

As of December 31, 2016, the remaining \$65 million of unrealized losses are related to securities in unrealized loss positions greater than or equal to 20% of amortized cost or cost. Investment grade fixed income securities comprising \$27 million of these unrealized losses were evaluated based on factors such as discounted cash flows and the financial condition and near-term and long-term prospects of the issue or issuer and were determined to have adequate resources to fulfill contractual obligations. Of the \$65 million, \$22 million are related to below investment grade fixed income securities and \$16 million are related to equity securities. Of these amounts, \$9 million are related to below investment grade fixed income securities that had been in an unrealized loss position greater than or equal to 20% of amortized cost for a period of twelve or more consecutive months as of December 31, 2016.

ABS, RMBS and CMBS in an unrealized loss position were evaluated based on actual and projected collateral losses relative to the securities' positions in the respective securitization trusts, security specific expectations of cash flows, and credit ratings. This evaluation also takes into consideration credit enhancement, measured in terms of (i) subordination from other classes of securities in the trust that are contractually obligated to absorb losses before the class of security the Company owns, (ii) the expected impact of other structural features embedded in the securitization trust beneficial to the class of securities the Company owns, such as overcollateralization and excess spread, and (iii) for ABS and RMBS in an unrealized loss position, credit enhancements from reliable bond insurers, where applicable. Municipal bonds in an unrealized loss position were evaluated based on the underlying credit quality of the primary obligor, obligation type and quality of the underlying assets. Unrealized losses on equity securities are primarily related to temporary equity market fluctuations of securities that are expected to recover.

As of December 31, 2016, the Company has not made the decision to sell and it is not more likely than not the Company will be required to sell fixed income securities with unrealized losses before recovery of the amortized cost basis. As of December 31, 2016, the Company had the intent and ability to hold equity securities with unrealized losses for a period of time sufficient for them to recover.

Limited partnerships

As of December 31, 2016 and 2015, the carrying value of equity method limited partnerships totaled \$2.19 billion and \$1.77 billion, respectively. The Company recognizes an impairment loss for equity method limited partnerships when evidence demonstrates that the loss is other than temporary. Evidence of a loss in value that is other than temporary may include the absence of an ability to recover the carrying amount of the investment or the inability of the investee to sustain a level of earnings that would justify the carrying amount of the investment.

As of December 31, 2016 and 2015, the carrying value for cost method limited partnerships was \$591 million and \$530 million, respectively. To determine if an other-than-temporary impairment has occurred, the Company evaluates whether an impairment indicator has occurred in the period that may have a significant adverse effect on the carrying value of the investment. Impairment indicators may include: significantly reduced valuations of the investments held by the limited partnerships; actual recent cash flows received being significantly less than expected cash flows; reduced valuations based on financing completed at a lower value; completed sale of a material underlying investment at a price significantly lower than expected; or any other adverse events since the last financial statements received that might affect the fair value of the investee's capital. Additionally, the Company's portfolio monitoring process includes a quarterly review of all cost method limited partnerships to identify instances where the net asset value is below established thresholds for certain periods of time, as well as investments that are performing below expectations, for further impairment consideration. If a cost method limited partnership is other-than-temporarily impaired, the carrying value is written down to fair value, generally estimated to be equivalent to the reported net asset value.

Mortgage loans

The Company's mortgage loans are commercial mortgage loans collateralized by a variety of commercial real estate property types located across the United States and totaled, net of valuation allowance, \$3.94 billion and \$3.78 billion as of December 31, 2016 and 2015, respectively. Substantially all of the commercial mortgage loans are non-recourse to the borrower.

The following table shows the principal geographic distribution of commercial real estate represented in the Company's mortgage loan portfolio. No other state represented more than 5% of the portfolio as of December 31.

(% of mortgage loan portfolio carrying value)	2016	2015
California	19.3%	21.6%
Texas	11.0	9.5
New Jersey	8.5	9.1
Illinois	7.4	8.0
Florida	6.0	5.9
New York	3.8	5.0

The types of properties collateralizing the mortgage loans as of December 31 are as follows:

(% of mortgage loan portfolio carrying value)	2016	2015
Apartment complex	26.4%	24.5%
Office buildings	24.3	23.2
Retail	21.4	22.4
Warehouse	16.5	18.1
Other	11.4	11.8
Total	100.0%	100.0%

The contractual maturities of the mortgage loan portfolio as of December 31, 2016 are as follows:

(\$ in millions)	Number of loans	Carrying value	Percent
2017	27	\$ 288	7.3%
2018	24	252	6.4
2019	7	200	5.1
2020	14	180	4.6
Thereafter	206	3,018	76.6
Total	278	\$ 3,938	100.0%

Mortgage loans are evaluated for impairment on a specific loan basis through a quarterly credit monitoring process and review of key credit quality indicators. Mortgage loans are considered impaired when it is probable that the Company will not collect the contractual principal and interest. Valuation allowances are established for impaired loans to reduce the carrying value to the fair value of the collateral less costs to sell or the present value of the loan's expected future repayment cash flows discounted at the loan's original effective interest rate. Impaired mortgage loans may not have a valuation allowance when the fair value of the collateral less costs to sell is higher than the carrying value. Valuation allowances are adjusted for subsequent changes in the fair value of the collateral less costs to sell or present value of the loan's expected future repayment cash flows. Mortgage loans are charged off against their corresponding valuation allowances when there is no reasonable expectation of recovery. The impairment evaluation is non-statistical in respect to the aggregate portfolio but considers facts and circumstances attributable to each loan. It is not considered probable that additional impairment losses, beyond those identified on a specific loan basis, have been incurred as of December 31, 2016.

Accrual of income is suspended for mortgage loans that are in default or when full and timely collection of principal and interest payments is not probable. Cash receipts on mortgage loans on nonaccrual status are generally recorded as a reduction of carrying value.

Debt service coverage ratio is considered a key credit quality indicator when mortgage loans are evaluated for impairment. Debt service coverage ratio represents the amount of estimated cash flows from the property available to the borrower to meet principal and interest payment obligations. Debt service coverage ratio estimates are updated annually or more frequently if conditions are warranted based on the Company's credit monitoring process.

The following table reflects the carrying value of non-impaired fixed rate mortgage loans summarized by debt service coverage ratio distribution as of December 31. There were no variable rate mortgage loans as of December 31, 2016 or 2015.

(\$ in millions)	2016	2015
Below 1.0	\$ 52	\$ 55
1.0 - 1.25	321	357
1.26 - 1.50	1,196	1,120
Above 1.50	2,364	2,243
Total non-impaired mortgage loans	\$ 3,933	\$ 3,775

Mortgage loans with a debt service coverage ratio below 1.0 that are not considered impaired primarily relate to instances where the borrower has the financial capacity to fund the revenue shortfalls from the properties for the foreseeable term, the decrease in cash flows from the properties is considered temporary, or there are other risk mitigating circumstances such as additional collateral, escrow balances or borrower guarantees.

The net carrying value of impaired mortgage loans as of December 31 is as follows:

(\$ in millions)	2016	2015
Impaired mortgage loans with a valuation allowance	\$ 5	\$ 6
Impaired mortgage loans without a valuation allowance	—	—
Total impaired mortgage loans	\$ 5	\$ 6
Valuation allowance on impaired mortgage loans	\$ 3	\$ 3

The average balance of impaired loans was \$6 million, \$11 million and \$26 million during 2016, 2015 and 2014, respectively.

The rollforward of the valuation allowance on impaired mortgage loans for the years ended December 31 is as follows:

(\$ in millions)	2016	2015	2014
Beginning balance	\$ 3	\$ 8	\$ 21
Net decrease in valuation allowance	—	(4)	(5)
Charge offs	—	(1)	(8)
Ending balance	<u>\$ 3</u>	<u>\$ 3</u>	<u>\$ 8</u>

Payments on all mortgage loans were current as of December 31, 2016 and 2015.

Municipal bonds

The Company maintains a diversified portfolio of municipal bonds. The following table shows the principal geographic distribution of municipal bond issuers represented in the Company's portfolio as of December 31. No other state represents more than 5% of the portfolio.

(% of municipal bond portfolio carrying value)	2016	2015
Texas	16.9%	15.9%
California	14.9	15.0
Oregon	9.0	8.4
New Jersey	5.4	6.8
Michigan	5.2	4.9
New York	5.1	4.8

Concentration of credit risk

As of December 31, 2016, the Company is not exposed to any credit concentration risk of a single issuer and its affiliates greater than 10% of the Company's shareholder's equity, other than U.S. government and its agencies.

Securities loaned

The Company's business activities include securities lending programs with third parties, mostly large banks. As of December 31, 2016 and 2015, fixed income and equity securities with a carrying value of \$520 million and \$518 million, respectively, were on loan under these agreements. Interest income on collateral, net of fees, was \$2 million in 2016 and \$1 million in each of 2015 and 2014.

Other investment information

Included in fixed income securities are below investment grade assets totaling \$3.17 billion and \$3.20 billion as of December 31, 2016 and 2015, respectively.

As of December 31, 2016, fixed income securities and short-term investments with a carrying value of \$23 million were on deposit with regulatory authorities as required by law.

As of December 31, 2016, the carrying value of fixed income securities and other investments that were non-income producing was \$9 million.

7. Fair Value of Assets and Liabilities

Fair value is defined as the price that would be received to sell an asset or paid to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction between market participants at the measurement date. The hierarchy for inputs used in determining fair value maximizes the use of observable inputs and minimizes the use of unobservable inputs by requiring that observable inputs be used when available. Assets and liabilities recorded on the Consolidated Statements of Financial Position at fair value are categorized in the fair value hierarchy based on the observability of inputs to the valuation techniques as follows:

Level 1: Assets and liabilities whose values are based on unadjusted quoted prices for identical assets or liabilities in an active market that the Company can access.

Level 2: Assets and liabilities whose values are based on the following:

- (a) Quoted prices for similar assets or liabilities in active markets;
- (b) Quoted prices for identical or similar assets or liabilities in markets that are not active; or
- (c) Valuation models whose inputs are observable, directly or indirectly, for substantially the full term of the asset or liability.

Level 3: Assets and liabilities whose values are based on prices or valuation techniques that require inputs that are both unobservable and significant to the overall fair value measurement. Unobservable inputs reflect the Company's estimates of the assumptions that market participants would use in valuing the assets and liabilities.

The availability of observable inputs varies by instrument. In situations where fair value is based on internally developed pricing models or inputs that are unobservable in the market, the determination of fair value requires more judgment. The degree of judgment exercised by the Company in determining fair value is typically greatest for instruments categorized in Level 3. In many instances, valuation inputs used to measure fair value fall into different levels of the fair value hierarchy. The category level in the fair value hierarchy is determined based on the lowest level input that is significant to the fair value measurement in its entirety. The Company uses prices and inputs that are current as of the measurement date, including during periods of market disruption. In periods of market disruption, the ability to observe prices and inputs may be reduced for many instruments.

The Company is responsible for the determination of fair value and the supporting assumptions and methodologies. The Company gains assurance that assets and liabilities are appropriately valued through the execution of various processes and controls designed to ensure the overall reasonableness and consistent application of valuation methodologies, including inputs and assumptions, and compliance with accounting standards. For fair values received from third parties or internally estimated, the Company's processes and controls are designed to ensure that the valuation methodologies are appropriate and consistently applied, the inputs and assumptions are reasonable and consistent with the objective of determining fair value, and the fair values are accurately recorded. For example, on a continuing basis, the Company assesses the reasonableness of individual fair values that have stale security prices or that exceed certain thresholds as compared to previous fair values received from valuation service providers or brokers or derived from internal models. The Company performs procedures to understand and assess the methodologies, processes and controls of valuation service providers. In addition, the Company may validate the reasonableness of fair values by comparing information obtained from valuation service providers or brokers to other third party valuation sources for selected securities. The Company performs ongoing price validation procedures such as back-testing of actual sales, which corroborate the various inputs used in internal models to market observable data. When fair value determinations are expected to be more variable, the Company validates them through reviews by members of management who have relevant expertise and who are independent of those charged with executing investment transactions.

The Company has two types of situations where investments are classified as Level 3 in the fair value hierarchy. The first is where specific inputs significant to the fair value estimation models are not market observable. This primarily occurs in the Company's use of broker quotes to value certain securities where the inputs have not been corroborated to be market observable, and the use of valuation models that use significant non-market observable inputs.

The second situation where the Company classifies securities in Level 3 is where quotes continue to be received from independent third-party valuation service providers and all significant inputs are market observable; however, there has been a significant decrease in the volume and level of activity for the asset when compared to normal market activity such that the degree of market observability has declined to a point where categorization as a Level 3 measurement is considered appropriate. The indicators considered in determining whether a significant decrease in the volume and level of activity for a specific asset has occurred include the level of new issuances in the primary market, trading volume in the secondary market, the level of credit spreads over historical levels, applicable bid-ask spreads, and price consensus among market participants and other pricing sources.

Certain assets are not carried at fair value on a recurring basis, including investments such as mortgage loans, limited partnership interests, bank loans, agent loans and policy loans. Accordingly, such investments are only included in the fair value hierarchy disclosure when the investment is subject to remeasurement at fair value after initial recognition and the resulting remeasurement is reflected in the consolidated financial statements.

In determining fair value, the Company principally uses the market approach which generally utilizes market transaction data for the same or similar instruments. To a lesser extent, the Company uses the income approach which involves determining fair values from discounted cash flow methodologies. For the majority of Level 2 and Level 3 valuations, a combination of the market and income approaches is used.

Summary of significant valuation techniques for assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis

Level 1 measurements

- Fixed income securities: Comprise certain U.S. Treasury fixed income securities. Valuation is based on unadjusted quoted prices for identical assets in active markets that the Company can access.
- Equity securities: Comprise actively traded, exchange-listed equity securities. Valuation is based on unadjusted quoted prices for identical assets in active markets that the Company can access.
- Short-term: Comprise U.S. Treasury bills valued based on unadjusted quoted prices for identical assets in active markets that the Company can access and actively traded money market funds that have daily quoted net asset values for identical assets that the Company can access.
- Separate account assets: Comprise actively traded mutual funds that have daily quoted net asset values for identical assets that the Company can access. Net asset values for the actively traded mutual funds in which the separate account assets are invested are obtained daily from the fund managers.

Level 2 measurements

- Fixed income securities:

U.S. government and agencies: The primary inputs to the valuation include quoted prices for identical or similar assets in markets that are not active, contractual cash flows, benchmark yields and credit spreads.

Municipal: The primary inputs to the valuation include quoted prices for identical or similar assets in markets that are not active, contractual cash flows, benchmark yields and credit spreads.

Corporate - public: The primary inputs to the valuation include quoted prices for identical or similar assets in markets that are not active, contractual cash flows, benchmark yields and credit spreads.

Corporate - privately placed: Valued using a discounted cash flow model that is widely accepted in the financial services industry and uses market observable inputs and inputs derived principally from, or corroborated by, observable market data. The primary inputs to the discounted cash flow model include an interest rate yield curve, as well as published credit spreads for similar assets in markets that are not active that incorporate the credit quality and industry sector of the issuer.

Foreign government: The primary inputs to the valuation include quoted prices for identical or similar assets in markets that are not active, contractual cash flows, benchmark yields and credit spreads.

ABS - collateralized debt obligations ("CDO") and ABS - consumer and other: The primary inputs to the valuation include quoted prices for identical or similar assets in markets that are not active, contractual cash flows, benchmark yields, prepayment speeds, collateral performance and credit spreads. Certain ABS - CDO and ABS - consumer and other are valued based on non-binding broker quotes whose inputs have been corroborated to be market observable.

RMBS: The primary inputs to the valuation include quoted prices for identical or similar assets in markets that are not active, contractual cash flows, benchmark yields, prepayment speeds, collateral performance and credit spreads.

CMBS: The primary inputs to the valuation include quoted prices for identical or similar assets in markets that are not active, contractual cash flows, benchmark yields, collateral performance and credit spreads.

Redeemable preferred stock: The primary inputs to the valuation include quoted prices for identical or similar assets in markets that are not active, contractual cash flows, benchmark yields, underlying stock prices and credit spreads.

- Equity securities: The primary inputs to the valuation include quoted prices or quoted net asset values for identical or similar assets in markets that are not active.
- Short-term: The primary inputs to the valuation include quoted prices for identical or similar assets in markets that are not active, contractual cash flows, benchmark yields and credit spreads. For certain short-term investments, amortized cost is used as the best estimate of fair value.

- Other investments: Free-standing exchange listed derivatives that are not actively traded are valued based on quoted prices for identical instruments in markets that are not active.

OTC derivatives, including interest rate swaps, foreign currency swaps, foreign exchange forward contracts, certain options and certain credit default swaps, are valued using models that rely on inputs such as interest rate yield curves, currency rates, and counterparty credit spreads that are observable for substantially the full term of the contract. The valuation techniques underlying the models are widely accepted in the financial services industry and do not involve significant judgment.

Level 3 measurements

- Fixed income securities:

Municipal: Comprise municipal bonds that are not rated by third party credit rating agencies. The primary inputs to the valuation of these municipal bonds include quoted prices for identical or similar assets in markets that exhibit less liquidity relative to those markets supporting Level 2 fair value measurements, contractual cash flows, benchmark yields and credit spreads. Also included are municipal bonds valued based on non-binding broker quotes where the inputs have not been corroborated to be market observable and municipal bonds in default valued based on the present value of expected cash flows.

Corporate - public and Corporate - privately placed: Primarily valued based on non-binding broker quotes where the inputs have not been corroborated to be market observable. Other inputs include an interest rate yield curve, as well as published credit spreads for similar assets that incorporate the credit quality and industry sector of the issuer.

ABS - CDO, ABS - consumer and other, and CMBS: Valued based on non-binding broker quotes received from brokers who are familiar with the investments and where the inputs have not been corroborated to be market observable.

- Equity securities: The primary inputs to the valuation include quoted prices or quoted net asset values for identical or similar assets in markets that exhibit less liquidity relative to those markets supporting Level 2 fair value measurements.
- Other investments: Certain OTC derivatives, such as interest rate caps, certain credit default swaps and certain options (including swaptions), are valued using models that are widely accepted in the financial services industry. These are categorized as Level 3 as a result of the significance of non-market observable inputs such as volatility. Other primary inputs include interest rate yield curves and credit spreads.
- Contractholder funds: Derivatives embedded in certain life and annuity contracts are valued internally using models widely accepted in the financial services industry that determine a single best estimate of fair value for the embedded derivatives within a block of contractholder liabilities. The models primarily use stochastically determined cash flows based on the contractual elements of embedded derivatives, projected option cost and applicable market data, such as interest rate yield curves and equity index volatility assumptions. These are categorized as Level 3 as a result of the significance of non-market observable inputs.

Assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a non-recurring basis

Mortgage loans written-down to fair value in connection with recognizing impairments are valued based on the fair value of the underlying collateral less costs to sell. Limited partnership interests written-down to fair value in connection with recognizing other-than-temporary impairments are generally valued using net asset values.

The following table summarizes the Company's assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring and non-recurring basis as of December 31, 2016.

(\$ in millions)	Quoted prices in active markets for identical assets (Level 1)	Significant other observable inputs (Level 2)	Significant unobservable inputs (Level 3)	Counterparty and cash collateral netting	Balance as of December 31, 2016
Assets					
Fixed income securities:					
U.S. government and agencies	\$ 619	\$ 395	\$ —		\$ 1,014
Municipal	—	2,215	59		2,274
Corporate - public	—	13,475	47		13,522
Corporate - privately placed	—	5,895	264		6,159
Foreign government	—	332	—		332
ABS - CDO	—	102	27		129
ABS - consumer and other	—	160	42		202
RMBS	—	333	—		333
CMBS	—	241	—		241
Redeemable preferred stock	—	16	—		16
Total fixed income securities	619	23,164	439		24,222
Equity securities	1,432	3	76		1,511
Short-term investments	166	400	—		566
Other investments: Free-standing derivatives	—	101	1	\$ (6)	96
Separate account assets	3,373	—	—		3,373
Other assets	—	—	1		1
Total recurring basis assets	5,590	23,668	517	(6)	29,769
Non-recurring basis ⁽¹⁾	—	—	9		9
Total assets at fair value	\$ 5,590	\$ 23,668	\$ 526	\$ (6)	\$ 29,778
% of total assets at fair value	18.7%	79.5%	1.8%	—%	100%
Liabilities					
Contractholder funds: Derivatives embedded in life and annuity contracts	\$ —	\$ —	\$ (289)		\$ (289)
Other liabilities: Free-standing derivatives	—	(39)	(3)	\$ 2	(40)
Total liabilities at fair value	\$ —	\$ (39)	\$ (292)	\$ 2	\$ (329)
% of total liabilities at fair value	—%	11.9%	88.7%	(0.6)%	100%

⁽¹⁾ Includes \$9 million of limited partnership investments written-down to fair value in connection with recognizing other-than-temporary impairments.

The following table summarizes the Company's assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring and non-recurring basis as of December 31, 2015.

(\$ in millions)	Quoted prices in active markets for identical assets (Level 1)	Significant other observable inputs (Level 2)	Significant unobservable inputs (Level 3)	Counterparty and cash collateral netting	Balance as of December 31, 2015
Assets					
Fixed income securities:					
U.S. government and agencies	\$ 546	\$ 431	\$ —		\$ 977
Municipal	—	2,364	78		2,442
Corporate - public	—	12,490	44		12,534
Corporate - privately placed	—	5,523	447		5,970
Foreign government	—	384	—		384
ABS - CDO	—	178	53		231
ABS - consumer and other	—	1,145	44		1,189
RMBS	—	451	—		451
CMBS	—	436	—		436
Redeemable preferred stock	—	15	—		15
Total fixed income securities	546	23,417	666		24,629
Equity securities	1,479	3	60		1,542
Short-term investments	193	623	—		816
Other investments: Free-standing derivatives	—	59	1	\$ (11)	49
Separate account assets	3,639	—	—		3,639
Other assets	1	—	1		2
Total recurring basis assets	5,858	24,102	728	(11)	30,677
Non-recurring basis ⁽¹⁾	—	—	8		8
Total assets at fair value	\$ 5,858	\$ 24,102	\$ 736	\$ (11)	\$ 30,685
% of total assets at fair value	19.1%	78.5%	2.4%	—%	100%
Liabilities					
Contractholder funds: Derivatives embedded in life and annuity contracts					
	\$ —	\$ —	\$ (299)		\$ (299)
Other liabilities: Free-standing derivatives	—	(7)	(8)	\$ 1	(14)
Total liabilities at fair value	\$ —	\$ (7)	\$ (307)	\$ 1	\$ (313)
% of total liabilities at fair value	—%	2.2%	98.1%	(0.3)%	100%

⁽¹⁾ Includes \$3 million of limited partnership interests and \$5 million of other investments written-down to fair value in connection with recognizing other-than-temporary impairments.

The following table summarizes quantitative information about the significant unobservable inputs used in Level 3 fair value measurements.

(\$ in millions)	Fair value	Valuation technique	Unobservable input	Range	Weighted average
December 31, 2016					
Derivatives embedded in life and annuity contracts – Equity-indexed and forward starting options	\$ (246)	Stochastic cash flow model	Projected option cost	1.0 - 2.2%	1.75%
December 31, 2015					
Derivatives embedded in life and annuity contracts – Equity-indexed and forward starting options	\$ (247)	Stochastic cash flow model	Projected option cost	1.0 - 2.2%	1.76%

The embedded derivatives are equity-indexed and forward starting options in certain life and annuity products that provide customers with interest crediting rates based on the performance of the S&P 500. If the projected option cost increased (decreased), it would result in a higher (lower) liability fair value.

As of December 31, 2016 and 2015, Level 3 fair value measurements of fixed income securities total \$439 million and \$666 million, respectively, and include \$296 million and \$577 million, respectively, of fixed income securities valued based on non-binding broker quotes where the inputs have not been corroborated to be market observable. The Company does not develop the unobservable inputs used in measuring fair value; therefore, these are not included in the table above. However, an increase

(decrease) in credit spreads for fixed income securities valued based on non-binding broker quotes would result in a lower (higher) fair value.

The following table presents the rollforward of Level 3 assets and liabilities held at fair value on a recurring basis during the year ended December 31, 2016.

(\$ in millions)	Balance as of December 31, 2015	Total gains (losses) included in:		Transfers into Level 3	Transfers out of Level 3
		Net income ⁽¹⁾	OCI		
Assets					
Fixed income securities:					
Municipal	\$ 78	\$ 12	\$ (8)	\$ 6	\$ —
Corporate - public	44	—	—	16	(15)
Corporate - privately placed	447	15	18	16	(277)
ABS - CDO	53	1	5	8	(1)
ABS - consumer and other	44	—	(3)	3	(7)
Total fixed income securities	666	28	12	49	(300)
Equity securities	60	(15)	5	—	(4)
Free-standing derivatives, net	(7)	6	—	—	—
Other assets	1	—	—	—	—
Total recurring Level 3 assets	\$ 720	\$ 19	\$ 17	\$ 49	\$ (304)
Liabilities					
Contractholder funds: Derivatives embedded in life and annuity contracts					
	\$ (299)	\$ 6	\$ —	\$ —	\$ —
Total recurring Level 3 liabilities	\$ (299)	\$ 6	\$ —	\$ —	\$ —
					Balance as of December 31, 2016
	Purchases	Sales	Issues	Settlements	
Assets					
Fixed income securities:					
Municipal	\$ —	\$ (27)	\$ —	\$ (2)	\$ 59
Corporate - public	6	(3)	—	(1)	47
Corporate - privately placed	108	(15)	—	(48)	264
ABS - CDO	—	(2)	—	(37)	27
ABS - consumer and other	7	—	—	(2)	42
Total fixed income securities	121	(47)	—	(90)	439
Equity securities	32	(2)	—	—	76
Free-standing derivatives, net	—	—	—	(1)	(2) ⁽²⁾
Other assets	—	—	—	—	1
Total recurring Level 3 assets	\$ 153	\$ (49)	\$ —	\$ (91)	\$ 514
Liabilities					
Contractholder funds: Derivatives embedded in life and annuity contracts					
	\$ —	\$ —	\$ (3)	\$ 7	\$ (289)
Total recurring Level 3 liabilities	\$ —	\$ —	\$ (3)	\$ 7	\$ (289)

⁽¹⁾ The effect to net income totals \$25 million and is reported in the Consolidated Statements of Operations and Comprehensive Income as follows: \$8 million in realized capital gains and losses, \$11 million in net investment income, \$(3) million in interest credited to contractholder funds and \$9 million in contract benefits.

⁽²⁾ Comprises \$1 million of assets and \$3 million of liabilities.

The following table presents the rollforward of Level 3 assets and liabilities held at fair value on a recurring basis during the year ended December 31, 2015.

(\$ in millions)

	Balance as of December 31, 2014	Total gains (losses) included in:		Transfers into Level 3	Transfers out of Level 3
		Net income ⁽¹⁾	OCI		
Assets					
Fixed income securities:					
Municipal	\$ 106	\$ 5	\$ (5)	\$ —	\$ —
Corporate - public	160	—	(2)	—	(111)
Corporate - privately placed	632	14	(17)	10	(79)
ABS - CDO	67	—	2	21	(17)
ABS - consumer and other	62	(1)	—	—	(41)
CMBS	1	—	(1)	—	—
Total fixed income securities	1,028	18	(23)	31	(248)
Equity securities	37	(1)	(3)	—	—
Free-standing derivatives, net	(7)	1	—	—	—
Other assets	1	—	—	—	—
Total recurring Level 3 assets	\$ 1,059	\$ 18	\$ (26)	\$ 31	\$ (248)
Liabilities					
Contractholder funds: Derivatives embedded in life and annuity contracts	\$ (323)	\$ 19	\$ —	\$ —	\$ —
Total recurring Level 3 liabilities	\$ (323)	\$ 19	\$ —	\$ —	\$ —

	Purchases	Sales	Issues	Settlements	Balance as of
					December 31, 2015
Assets					
Fixed income securities:					
Municipal	\$ —	\$ (23)	\$ —	\$ (5)	\$ 78
Corporate - public	1	—	—	(4)	44
Corporate - privately placed	29	(67)	—	(75)	447
ABS - CDO	—	(1)	—	(19)	53
ABS - consumer and other	27	—	—	(3)	44
CMBS	—	—	—	—	—
Total fixed income securities	57	(91)	—	(106)	666
Equity securities	32	(5)	—	—	60
Free-standing derivatives, net	—	—	—	(1)	(7) ⁽²⁾
Other assets	—	—	—	—	1
Total recurring Level 3 assets	\$ 89	\$ (96)	\$ —	\$ (107)	\$ 720
Liabilities					
Contractholder funds: Derivatives embedded in life and annuity contracts	\$ —	\$ —	\$ (2)	\$ 7	\$ (299)
Total recurring Level 3 liabilities	\$ —	\$ —	\$ (2)	\$ 7	\$ (299)

⁽¹⁾ The effect to net income totals \$37 million and is reported in the Consolidated Statements of Operations and Comprehensive Income as follows: \$6 million in realized capital gains and losses, \$12 million in net investment income, \$26 million in interest credited to contractholder funds and \$(7) million in contract benefits.

⁽²⁾ Comprises \$1 million of assets and \$8 million of liabilities.

The following table presents the rollforward of Level 3 assets and liabilities held at fair value on a recurring basis during the year ended December 31, 2014.

(\$ in millions)	Balance as of December 31, 2013	Total gains (losses) included in:		Transfers into Level 3	Transfers out of Level 3
		Net income ⁽¹⁾	OCI		
Assets					
Fixed income securities:					
Municipal	\$ 119	\$ —	\$ 18	\$ —	\$ (17)
Corporate	1,008	20	(14)	85	(114)
ABS	112	—	3	16	(12)
CMBS	1	—	—	—	(4)
Redeemable preferred stock	1	—	—	—	—
Total fixed income securities	1,241	20	7	101	(147)
Equity securities	6	—	(1)	—	(1)
Free-standing derivatives, net	(5)	—	—	—	—
Other assets	—	1	—	—	—
Assets held for sale	362	(1)	2	4	(2)
Total recurring Level 3 assets	\$ 1,604	\$ 20	\$ 8	\$ 105	\$ (150)
Liabilities					
Contractholder funds: Derivatives embedded in life and annuity contracts	\$ (307)	\$ (8)	\$ —	\$ —	\$ —
Liabilities held for sale	(246)	17	—	—	—
Total recurring Level 3 liabilities	\$ (553)	\$ 9	\$ —	\$ —	\$ —

	Sold in LBL disposition ⁽³⁾	Purchases/Issues ⁽⁴⁾	Sales	Settlements	Balance as of
					December 31, 2014
Assets					
Fixed income securities:					
Municipal	\$ —	\$ —	\$ (11)	\$ (3)	\$ 106
Corporate	—	20	(109)	(104)	792
ABS	—	21	—	(11)	129
CMBS	4	—	—	—	1
Redeemable preferred stock	—	—	(1)	—	—
Total fixed income securities	4	41	(121)	(118)	1,028
Equity securities	—	39	(6)	—	37
Free-standing derivatives, net	—	2	—	(4)	(7) ⁽²⁾
Other assets	—	—	—	—	1
Assets held for sale	(351)	—	(8)	(6)	—
Total recurring Level 3 assets	\$ (347)	\$ 82	\$ (135)	\$ (128)	\$ 1,059
Liabilities					
Contractholder funds: Derivatives embedded in life and annuity contracts	\$ —	\$ (14)	\$ —	\$ 6	\$ (323)
Liabilities held for sale	230	(4)	—	3	—
Total recurring Level 3 liabilities	\$ 230	\$ (18)	\$ —	\$ 9	\$ (323)

⁽¹⁾ The effect to net income totals \$29 million and is reported in the Consolidated Statements of Operations and Comprehensive Income as follows: \$11 million in realized capital gains and losses, \$12 million in net investment income, \$(5) million in interest credited to contractholder funds, \$15 million in contract benefits and \$(4) million in loss on disposition of operations.

⁽²⁾ Comprises \$2 million of assets and \$9 million of liabilities.

⁽³⁾ Includes transfers from held for sale that took place in first quarter 2014 of \$4 million for CMBS and \$(4) million for Assets held for sale.

⁽⁴⁾ Represents purchases for assets and issues for liabilities.

Transfers between level categorizations may occur due to changes in the availability of market observable inputs, which generally are caused by changes in market conditions such as liquidity, trading volume or bid-ask spreads. Transfers between level categorizations may also occur due to changes in the valuation source. For example, in situations where a fair value quote is not provided by the Company's independent third-party valuation service provider and as a result the price is stale or has been replaced with a broker quote whose inputs have not been corroborated to be market observable, the security is transferred into

Level 3. Transfers in and out of level categorizations are reported as having occurred at the beginning of the quarter in which the transfer occurred. Therefore, for all transfers into Level 3, all realized and changes in unrealized gains and losses in the quarter of transfer are reflected in the Level 3 rollforward table.

There were no transfers between Level 1 and Level 2 during 2016, 2015 or 2014.

Transfers into Level 3 during 2016, 2015 and 2014 included situations where a fair value quote was not provided by the Company's independent third-party valuation service provider and as a result the price was stale or had been replaced with a broker quote where the inputs had not been corroborated to be market observable resulting in the security being classified as Level 3. Transfers out of Level 3 during 2016, 2015 and 2014 included situations where a broker quote was used in the prior period and a fair value quote became available from the Company's independent third-party valuation service provider in the current period. A quote utilizing the new pricing source was not available as of the prior period, and any gains or losses related to the change in valuation source for individual securities were not significant.

The following table provides the change in unrealized gains and losses included in net income for Level 3 assets and liabilities held as of December 31.

(\$ in millions)	2016	2015	2014
Assets			
Fixed income securities:			
Municipal	\$ 2	\$ —	\$ (1)
Corporate	1	11	11
ABS	—	1	—
CMBS	—	—	1
Total fixed income securities	3	12	11
Equity securities	(15)	(1)	—
Free-standing derivatives, net	5	1	5
Other assets	—	—	1
Total recurring Level 3 assets	\$ (7)	\$ 12	\$ 17
Liabilities			
Contractholder funds: Derivatives embedded in life and annuity contracts	\$ 6	\$ 19	\$ (8)
Liabilities held for sale	—	—	17
Total recurring Level 3 liabilities	\$ 6	\$ 19	\$ 9

The amounts in the table above represent the change in unrealized gains and losses included in net income for the period of time that the asset or liability was determined to be in Level 3. These gains and losses total \$(1) million in 2016 and are reported as follows: \$(18) million in realized capital gains and losses, \$11 million in net investment income, \$(3) million in interest credited to contractholder funds and \$9 million in contract benefits. These gains and losses total \$31 million in 2015 and are reported as follows: \$(2) million in realized capital gains and losses, \$14 million in net investment income, \$26 million in interest credited to contractholder funds and \$(7) million in contract benefits. These gains and losses total \$26 million in 2014 and are reported as follows: \$4 million in realized capital gains and losses, \$12 million in net investment income, \$(5) million in interest credited to contractholder funds and \$15 million in contract benefits.

Presented below are the carrying values and fair value estimates of financial instruments not carried at fair value.

Financial assets

(\$ in millions)	December 31, 2016		December 31, 2015	
	Carrying value	Fair value	Carrying value	Fair value
Mortgage loans	\$ 3,938	\$ 3,963	\$ 3,781	\$ 3,920
Cost method limited partnerships	591	681	530	661
Bank loans	467	467	502	493
Agent loans	467	467	422	408
Notes due from related party	325	325	275	275

The fair value of mortgage loans is based on discounted contractual cash flows or, if the loans are impaired due to credit reasons, the fair value of collateral less costs to sell. Risk adjusted discount rates are selected using current rates at which similar loans would be made to borrowers with similar characteristics, using similar types of properties as collateral. The fair value of cost method limited partnerships is determined using reported net asset values. The fair value of bank loans, which are reported in other investments, is based on broker quotes from brokers familiar with the loans and current market conditions. The fair value

of agent loans, which are reported in other investments, is based on discounted cash flow calculations. Risk adjusted discount rates are selected using current rates at which similar loans would be made to borrowers with similar characteristics. The fair value of notes due from related party, which are reported in other investments, is based on discounted cash flow calculations using current interest rates for instruments with comparable terms. The fair value measurements for mortgage loans, cost method limited partnerships, bank loans, agent loans and notes due from related party are categorized as Level 3.

Financial liabilities

(\$ in millions)

	December 31, 2016		December 31, 2015	
	Carrying value	Fair value	Carrying value	Fair value
Contractholder funds on investment contracts	\$ 11,276	\$ 11,972	\$ 12,387	\$ 12,836
Notes due to related parties	465	465	275	275
Liability for collateral	550	550	550	550

The fair value of contractholder funds on investment contracts is based on the terms of the underlying contracts incorporating current market-based crediting rates for similar contracts that reflect the Company's own credit risk. Deferred annuities classified in contractholder funds are valued based on discounted cash flow models that incorporate current market-based margins and reflect the Company's own credit risk. Immediate annuities without life contingencies and funding agreements are valued based on discounted cash flow models that incorporate current market-based implied interest rates and reflect the Company's own credit risk. The fair value measurement for contractholder funds on investment contracts is categorized as Level 3.

Notes due to related parties comprise surplus notes and agent loan collateralized notes. The fair value of surplus notes due to related parties is based on discounted cash flow calculations using current interest rates for instruments with comparable terms and considers the Company's own credit risk. Since the surplus notes may be called at par value, their fair value will not be greater than par value. The fair value of agent loan collateralized notes due to related parties is based on discounted cash flow calculations using current interest rates for instruments with comparable terms and considers the Corporation's credit risk. The liability for collateral is valued at carrying value due to its short-term nature. The fair value measurement for liability for collateral is categorized as Level 2. The fair value measurement for notes due to related parties is categorized as Level 3.

8. Derivative Financial Instruments and Off-balance sheet Financial Instruments

The Company uses derivatives for risk reduction and to increase investment portfolio returns through asset replication. Risk reduction activity is focused on managing the risks with certain assets and liabilities arising from the potential adverse impacts from changes in risk-free interest rates, changes in equity market valuations, increases in credit spreads and foreign currency fluctuations.

The Company utilizes several derivative strategies to manage risk. Asset-liability management is a risk management strategy that is principally employed to balance the respective interest-rate sensitivities of the Company's assets and liabilities. Depending upon the attributes of the assets acquired and liabilities issued, derivative instruments such as interest rate swaps, caps, swaptions and futures are utilized to change the interest rate characteristics of existing assets and liabilities to ensure the relationship is maintained within specified ranges and to reduce exposure to rising or falling interest rates. Credit default swaps are typically used to mitigate the credit risk within the Company's fixed income portfolio. Futures and options are used for hedging the equity exposure contained in the Company's equity indexed life and annuity product contracts that offer equity returns to contractholders. In addition, the Company uses equity index futures to offset valuation losses in the equity portfolio during periods of declining equity market values. Interest rate swaps are used to hedge interest rate risk inherent in funding agreements. Foreign currency swaps and forwards are primarily used by the Company to reduce the foreign currency risk associated with holding foreign currency denominated investments.

The Company may also use derivatives to manage the risk associated with corporate actions, including the sale of a business. During 2014, swaptions were utilized to hedge the expected proceeds from the disposition of LBL.

Asset replication refers to the "synthetic" creation of assets through the use of derivatives. The Company replicates fixed income securities using a combination of a credit default swap or a foreign currency forward contract and one or more highly rated fixed income securities, primarily investment grade host bonds, to synthetically replicate the economic characteristics of one or more cash market securities. The Company replicates equity securities using futures to increase equity exposure.

The Company also has derivatives embedded in non-derivative host contracts that are required to be separated from the host contracts and accounted for at fair value with changes in fair value of embedded derivatives reported in net income. The Company's primary embedded derivatives are equity options in life and annuity product contracts, which provide equity returns to contractholders.

When derivatives meet specific criteria, they may be designated as accounting hedges and accounted for as fair value, cash flow, foreign currency fair value or foreign currency cash flow hedges. The Company designates certain investment risk transfer reinsurance agreements as fair value hedges when the hedging instrument is highly effective in offsetting the risk of changes in the fair value of the hedged item. The Company designates certain of its foreign currency swap contracts as cash flow hedges when the hedging instrument is highly effective in offsetting the exposure of variations in cash flows for the hedged risk that could affect net income. Amounts are reclassified to net investment income or realized capital gains and losses as the hedged item affects net income.

The notional amounts specified in the contracts are used to calculate the exchange of contractual payments under the agreements and are generally not representative of the potential for gain or loss on these agreements. However, the notional amounts specified in credit default swaps where the Company has sold credit protection represent the maximum amount of potential loss, assuming no recoveries.

Fair value, which is equal to the carrying value, is the estimated amount that the Company would receive or pay to terminate the derivative contracts at the reporting date. The carrying value amounts for OTC derivatives are further adjusted for the effects, if any, of enforceable master netting agreements and are presented on a net basis, by counterparty agreement, in the Consolidated Statements of Financial Position. For certain exchange traded and cleared derivatives, margin deposits are required as well as daily cash settlements of margin accounts. As of December 31, 2016, the Company pledged \$2 million of cash in the form of margin deposits.

For those derivatives which qualify for fair value hedge accounting, net income includes the changes in the fair value of both the derivative instrument and the hedged risk, and therefore reflects any hedging ineffectiveness. For cash flow hedges, gains and losses are amortized from accumulated other comprehensive income and are reported in net income in the same period the forecasted transactions being hedged impact net income.

Non-hedge accounting is generally used for “portfolio” level hedging strategies where the terms of the individual hedged items do not meet the strict homogeneity requirements to permit the application of hedge accounting. For non-hedge derivatives, net income includes changes in fair value and accrued periodic settlements, when applicable. With the exception of non-hedge derivatives used for asset replication and non-hedge embedded derivatives, all of the Company’s derivatives are evaluated for their ongoing effectiveness as either accounting hedge or non-hedge derivative financial instruments on at least a quarterly basis.

The following table provides a summary of the volume and fair value positions of derivative instruments as well as their reporting location in the Consolidated Statement of Financial Position as of December 31, 2016.

(\$ in millions, except number of contracts)

	Balance sheet location	Volume ⁽¹⁾		Fair value, net	Gross asset	Gross liability
		Notional amount	Number of contracts			
Asset derivatives						
Derivatives designated as accounting hedging instruments						
Foreign currency swap agreements	Other investments	\$ 49	n/a	\$ 5	\$ 5	\$ —
Derivatives not designated as accounting hedging instruments						
Interest rate contracts						
Interest rate cap agreements	Other investments	65	n/a	1	1	—
Equity and index contracts						
Options	Other investments	—	3,917	87	87	—
Financial futures contracts	Other assets	—	6	—	—	—
Foreign currency contracts						
Foreign currency forwards	Other investments	173	n/a	7	8	(1)
Credit default contracts						
Credit default swaps – buying protection	Other investments	25	n/a	(1)	—	(1)
Credit default swaps – selling protection	Other investments	80	n/a	1	1	—
Other contracts						
Other contracts	Other assets	3	n/a	1	1	—
Subtotal		346	3,923	96	98	(2)
Total asset derivatives		<u>\$ 395</u>	<u>3,923</u>	<u>\$ 101</u>	<u>\$ 103</u>	<u>\$ (2)</u>
Liability derivatives						
Equity and index contracts						
Options and futures	Other liabilities & accrued expenses	\$ —	3,928	\$ (37)	\$ —	\$ (37)
Embedded derivative financial instruments						
Guaranteed accumulation benefits	Contractholder funds	391	n/a	(34)	—	(34)
Guaranteed withdrawal benefits	Contractholder funds	290	n/a	(9)	—	(9)
Equity-indexed and forward starting options in life and annuity product contracts	Contractholder funds	1,737	n/a	(246)	—	(246)
Credit default contracts						
Credit default swaps – buying protection	Other liabilities & accrued expenses	6	n/a	—	—	—
Credit default swaps – selling protection	Other liabilities & accrued expenses	100	n/a	(3)	—	(3)
Subtotal		2,524	3,928	(329)	—	(329)
Total liability derivatives		<u>2,524</u>	<u>3,928</u>	<u>(329)</u>	<u>\$ —</u>	<u>\$ (329)</u>
Total derivatives		<u>\$ 2,919</u>	<u>7,851</u>	<u>\$ (228)</u>		

⁽¹⁾ Volume for OTC and cleared derivative contracts is represented by their notional amounts. Volume for exchange traded derivatives is represented by the number of contracts, which is the basis on which they are traded. (n/a = not applicable)

The following table provides a summary of the volume and fair value positions of derivative instruments as well as their reporting location in the Consolidated Statement of Financial Position as of December 31, 2015.

(\$ in millions, except number of contracts)

	Balance sheet location	Volume ⁽¹⁾		Fair value, net	Gross asset	Gross liability
		Notional amount	Number of contracts			
Asset derivatives						
Derivatives designated as accounting hedging instruments						
Foreign currency swap agreements	Other investments	\$ 45	n/a	\$ 6	\$ 6	\$ —
Derivatives not designated as accounting hedging instruments						
Interest rate contracts						
Interest rate cap agreements	Other investments	42	n/a	—	—	—
Equity and index contracts						
Options	Other investments	—	3,730	44	44	—
Financial futures contracts	Other assets	—	997	1	1	—
Foreign currency contracts						
Foreign currency forwards	Other investments	81	n/a	1	1	—
Credit default contracts						
Credit default swaps – buying protection	Other investments	51	n/a	2	3	(1)
Credit default swaps – selling protection	Other investments	80	n/a	1	1	—
Other contracts						
Other contracts	Other assets	3	n/a	1	1	—
Subtotal		257	4,727	50	51	(1)
Total asset derivatives		\$ 302	4,727	\$ 56	\$ 57	\$ (1)
Liability derivatives						
Derivatives designated as accounting hedging instruments						
Foreign currency swap agreements	Other liabilities & accrued expenses	\$ 19	n/a	\$ 4	\$ 4	\$ —
Derivatives not designated as accounting hedging instruments						
Interest rate contracts						
Interest rate swap agreements	Other liabilities & accrued expenses	85	n/a	—	—	—
Interest rate cap agreements	Other liabilities & accrued expenses	72	n/a	1	1	—
Equity and index contracts						
Options	Other liabilities & accrued expenses	—	3,645	(6)	—	(6)
Embedded derivative financial instruments						
Guaranteed accumulation benefits	Contractholder funds	481	n/a	(38)	—	(38)
Guaranteed withdrawal benefits	Contractholder funds	332	n/a	(14)	—	(14)
Equity-indexed and forward starting options in life and annuity product contracts	Contractholder funds	1,781	n/a	(247)	—	(247)
Other embedded derivative financial instruments	Contractholder funds	85	n/a	—	—	—
Credit default contracts						
Credit default swaps – buying protection	Other liabilities & accrued expenses	2	n/a	—	—	—
Credit default swaps – selling protection	Other liabilities & accrued expenses	100	n/a	(8)	—	(8)
Subtotal		2,938	3,645	(312)	1	(313)
Total liability derivatives		2,957	3,645	(308)	\$ 5	\$ (313)
Total derivatives		\$ 3,259	8,372	\$ (252)		

⁽¹⁾ Volume for OTC and cleared derivative contracts is represented by their notional amounts. Volume for exchange traded derivatives is represented by the number of contracts, which is the basis on which they are traded. (n/a = not applicable)

The following table provides gross and net amounts for the Company's OTC derivatives, all of which are subject to enforceable master netting agreements.

(\$ in millions)

	Offsets						Net amount
	Gross amount	Counter-party netting	Cash collateral (received) pledged	Net amount on balance sheet	Securities collateral (received) pledged		
December 31, 2016							
Asset derivatives	\$ 14	\$ (2)	\$ (4)	\$ 8	\$ (1)	\$ 7	
Liability derivatives	(5)	2	—	(3)	4	1	
December 31, 2015							
Asset derivatives	\$ 15	\$ (6)	\$ (5)	\$ 4	\$ (1)	\$ 3	
Liability derivatives	(9)	6	(5)	(8)	7	(1)	

The following table provides a summary of the impacts of the Company's foreign currency contracts in cash flow hedging relationships for the years ended December 31. Amortization of net gains from accumulated other comprehensive income related to cash flow hedges is expected to be a gain of \$2 million during the next twelve months. There was no hedge ineffectiveness reported in realized gains and losses in 2016, 2015 or 2014.

(\$ in millions)

	2016	2015	2014
(Loss) gain recognized in OCI on derivatives during the period	\$ (1)	\$ 10	\$ 12
Gain recognized in OCI on derivatives during the term of the hedging relationship	5	10	2
Gain (loss) reclassified from AOCI into income (net investment income)	1	(1)	(1)
Gain (loss) reclassified from AOCI into income (realized capital gains and losses)	3	3	(2)

The following tables present gains and losses from valuation and settlements reported on derivatives not designated as accounting hedging instruments in the Consolidated Statements of Operations and Comprehensive Income. In 2016, 2015 and 2014, the Company had no derivatives used in fair value hedging relationships.

(\$ in millions)

	Realized capital gains and losses	Contract benefits	Interest credited to contractholder funds	Loss on disposition of operations	Total gain (loss) recognized in net income on derivatives
2016					
Equity and index contracts	\$ (4)	\$ —	\$ 18	\$ —	\$ 14
Embedded derivative financial instruments	—	9	1	—	10
Foreign currency contracts	6	—	—	—	6
Credit default contracts	3	—	—	—	3
Total	\$ 5	\$ 9	\$ 19	\$ —	\$ 33
2015					
Equity and index contracts	\$ —	\$ —	\$ (9)	\$ —	\$ (9)
Embedded derivative financial instruments	—	(7)	31	—	24
Foreign currency contracts	6	—	—	—	6
Credit default contracts	4	—	—	—	4
Total	\$ 10	\$ (7)	\$ 22	\$ —	\$ 25
2014					
Interest rate contracts	\$ (3)	\$ —	\$ —	\$ (4)	\$ (7)
Equity and index contracts	(1)	—	38	—	37
Embedded derivative financial instruments	—	15	(14)	—	1
Foreign currency contracts	10	—	—	—	10
Credit default contracts	8	—	—	—	8
Other contracts	—	—	(2)	—	(2)
Total	\$ 14	\$ 15	\$ 22	\$ (4)	\$ 47

The Company manages its exposure to credit risk by utilizing highly rated counterparties, establishing risk control limits, executing legally enforceable master netting agreements ("MNAs") and obtaining collateral where appropriate. The Company uses MNAs for OTC derivative transactions that permit either party to net payments due for transactions and collateral is either

pledged or obtained when certain predetermined exposure limits are exceeded. As of December 31, 2016, counterparties pledged \$5 million in cash and securities to the Company, and the Company pledged \$4 million in securities to counterparties as collateral posted under MNAs for contracts without credit-risk-contingent features. The Company has not incurred any losses on derivative financial instruments due to counterparty nonperformance. Other derivatives, including futures and certain option contracts, are traded on organized exchanges which require margin deposits and guarantee the execution of trades, thereby mitigating any potential credit risk.

Counterparty credit exposure represents the Company's potential loss if all of the counterparties concurrently fail to perform under the contractual terms of the contracts and all collateral, if any, becomes worthless. This exposure is measured by the fair value of OTC derivative contracts with a positive fair value at the reporting date reduced by the effect, if any, of legally enforceable master netting agreements.

The following table summarizes the counterparty credit exposure as of December 31 by counterparty credit rating as it relates to the Company's OTC derivatives.

(\$ in millions)	2016				2015			
	Rating ⁽¹⁾	Number of counterparties	Notional amount ⁽²⁾	Credit exposure ⁽²⁾	Exposure, net of collateral ⁽²⁾	Number of counterparties	Notional amount ⁽²⁾	Credit exposure ⁽²⁾
A+	5	\$ 312	\$ 12	\$ 9	1	\$ 82	\$ 5	\$ —
A	—	—	—	—	5	178	6	6
A-	—	—	—	—	1	16	3	—
BBB+	—	—	—	—	2	36	—	—
Total	5	\$ 312	\$ 12	\$ 9	9	\$ 312	\$ 14	\$ 6

⁽¹⁾ Rating is the lower of S&P or Moody's ratings.

⁽²⁾ Only OTC derivatives with a net positive fair value are included for each counterparty.

Market risk is the risk that the Company will incur losses due to adverse changes in market rates and prices. Market risk exists for all of the derivative financial instruments the Company currently holds, as these instruments may become less valuable due to adverse changes in market conditions. To limit this risk, the Company's senior management has established risk control limits. In addition, changes in fair value of the derivative financial instruments that the Company uses for risk management purposes are generally offset by the change in the fair value or cash flows of the hedged risk component of the related assets, liabilities or forecasted transactions.

Certain of the Company's derivative instruments contain credit-risk-contingent termination events, cross-default provisions and credit support annex agreements. Credit-risk-contingent termination events allow the counterparties to terminate the derivative agreement or a specific trade on certain dates if AIC's, ALIC's or Allstate Life Insurance Company of New York's ("ALNY") financial strength credit ratings by Moody's or S&P fall below a certain level. Credit-risk-contingent cross-default provisions allow the counterparties to terminate the derivative agreement if the Company defaults by pre-determined threshold amounts on certain debt instruments. Credit-risk-contingent credit support annex agreements specify the amount of collateral the Company must post to counterparties based on AIC's, ALIC's or ALNY's financial strength credit ratings by Moody's or S&P, or in the event AIC, ALIC or ALNY are no longer rated by either Moody's or S&P.

The following summarizes the fair value of derivative instruments with termination, cross-default or collateral credit-risk-contingent features that are in a liability position as of December 31, as well as the fair value of assets and collateral that are netted against the liability in accordance with provisions within legally enforceable MNAs.

(\$ in millions)	2016	2015
Gross liability fair value of contracts containing credit-risk-contingent features	\$ 2	\$ 9
Gross asset fair value of contracts containing credit-risk-contingent features and subject to MNAs	(2)	(1)
Collateral posted under MNAs for contracts containing credit-risk-contingent features	—	(7)
Maximum amount of additional exposure for contracts with credit-risk-contingent features if all features were triggered concurrently	\$ —	\$ 1

Credit derivatives - selling protection

A credit default swap ("CDS") is a derivative instrument, representing an agreement between two parties to exchange the credit risk of a specified entity (or a group of entities), or an index based on the credit risk of a group of entities (all commonly referred to as the "reference entity" or a portfolio of "reference entities"), in return for a periodic premium. In selling protection, CDS are used to replicate fixed income securities and to complement the cash market when credit exposure to certain issuers is

not available or when the derivative alternative is less expensive than the cash market alternative. CDS typically have a five-year term.

The following table shows the CDS notional amounts by credit rating and fair value of protection sold.

(\$ in millions)	Notional amount					Fair value
	AA	A	BBB	BB and lower	Total	
December 31, 2016						
First-to-default Basket						
Municipal	\$ —	\$ —	\$ 100	\$ —	\$ 100	\$ (3)
Index						
Corporate debt	1	19	50	10	80	1
Total	\$ 1	\$ 19	\$ 150	\$ 10	\$ 180	\$ (2)
December 31, 2015						
First-to-default Basket						
Municipal	\$ —	\$ —	\$ 100	\$ —	\$ 100	\$ (8)
Index						
Corporate debt	1	20	52	7	80	1
Total	\$ 1	\$ 20	\$ 152	\$ 7	\$ 180	\$ (7)

In selling protection with CDS, the Company sells credit protection on an identified single name, a basket of names in a first-to-default (“FTD”) structure or credit derivative index (“CDX”) that is generally investment grade, and in return receives periodic premiums through expiration or termination of the agreement. With single name CDS, this premium or credit spread generally corresponds to the difference between the yield on the reference entity’s public fixed maturity cash instruments and swap rates at the time the agreement is executed. With a FTD basket, because of the additional credit risk inherent in a basket of named reference entities, the premium generally corresponds to a high proportion of the sum of the credit spreads of the names in the basket and the correlation between the names. CDX is utilized to take a position on multiple (generally 125) reference entities. Credit events are typically defined as bankruptcy, failure to pay, or restructuring, depending on the nature of the reference entities. If a credit event occurs, the Company settles with the counterparty, either through physical settlement or cash settlement. In a physical settlement, a reference asset is delivered by the buyer of protection to the Company, in exchange for cash payment at par, whereas in a cash settlement, the Company pays the difference between par and the prescribed value of the reference asset. When a credit event occurs in a single name or FTD basket (for FTD, the first credit event occurring for any one name in the basket), the contract terminates at the time of settlement. For CDX, the reference entity’s name incurring the credit event is removed from the index while the contract continues until expiration. The maximum payout on a CDS is the contract notional amount. A physical settlement may afford the Company with recovery rights as the new owner of the asset.

The Company monitors risk associated with credit derivatives through individual name credit limits at both a credit derivative and a combined cash instrument/credit derivative level. The ratings of individual names for which protection has been sold are also monitored.

Off-balance sheet financial instruments

The contractual amounts of off-balance sheet financial instruments as of December 31 are as follows:

(\$ in millions)	2016	2015
Commitments to invest in limited partnership interests	\$ 1,400	\$ 1,269
Private placement commitments	13	21
Other loan commitments	80	46

In the preceding table, the contractual amounts represent the amount at risk if the contract is fully drawn upon, the counterparty defaults and the value of any underlying security becomes worthless. Unless noted otherwise, the Company does not require collateral or other security to support off-balance sheet financial instruments with credit risk.

Commitments to invest in limited partnership interests represent agreements to acquire new or additional participation in certain limited partnership investments. The Company enters into these agreements in the normal course of business. Because the investments in limited partnerships are not actively traded, it is not practical to estimate the fair value of these commitments.

Private placement commitments represent commitments to purchase private placement debt and private equity securities at a specified future date. The Company enters into these agreements in the normal course of business. The fair value of these commitments generally cannot be estimated on the date the commitment is made as the terms and conditions of the underlying

private placement securities are not yet final. Because the private equity securities are not actively traded, it is not practical to estimate fair value of the commitments.

Other loan commitments are agreements to lend to a borrower provided there is no violation of any condition established in the contract. The Company enters into these agreements to commit to future loan fundings at predetermined interest rates. Commitments generally have varying expiration dates or other termination clauses. The fair value of these commitments is insignificant.

9. Reserve for Life-Contingent Contract Benefits and Contractholder Funds

As of December 31, the reserve for life-contingent contract benefits consists of the following:

(\$ in millions)	2016	2015
Immediate fixed annuities:		
Structured settlement annuities	\$ 6,681	\$ 6,673
Other immediate fixed annuities	1,935	2,035
Traditional life insurance	2,373	2,347
Accident and health insurance	232	234
Other	101	105
Total reserve for life-contingent contract benefits	\$ 11,322	\$ 11,394

The following table highlights the key assumptions generally used in calculating the reserve for life-contingent contract benefits.

Product	Mortality	Interest rate	Estimation method
Structured settlement annuities	U.S. population with projected calendar year improvements; mortality rates adjusted for each impaired life based on reduction in life expectancy	Interest rate assumptions range from 2.9% to 9.0%	Present value of contractually specified future benefits
Other immediate fixed annuities	1983 group annuity mortality table with internal modifications; 1983 individual annuity mortality table; Annuity 2000 mortality table with internal modifications; Annuity 2000 mortality table; 1983 individual annuity mortality table with internal modifications	Interest rate assumptions range from 0% to 11.5%	Present value of expected future benefits based on historical experience
Traditional life insurance	Actual company experience plus loading	Interest rate assumptions range from 2.5% to 11.3%	Net level premium reserve method using the Company's withdrawal experience rates; includes reserves for unpaid claims
Accident and health insurance	Actual company experience plus loading	Interest rate assumptions range from 3.0% to 6.0%	Unearned premium; additional contract reserves for mortality risk and unpaid claims
Other: Variable annuity guaranteed minimum death benefits ⁽¹⁾	Annuity 2012 mortality table with internal modifications	Interest rate assumptions range from 2.0% to 5.8%	Projected benefit ratio applied to cumulative assessments

⁽¹⁾ In 2006, the Company disposed of substantially all of its variable annuity business through reinsurance agreements with The Prudential Insurance Company of America, a subsidiary of Prudential Financial, Inc. (collectively "Prudential").

To the extent that unrealized gains on fixed income securities would result in a premium deficiency had those gains actually been realized, a premium deficiency reserve is recorded for certain immediate annuities with life contingencies. A liability is included in the reserve for life-contingent contract benefits with respect to this deficiency. The offset to this liability is recorded as a reduction of the unrealized net capital gains included in accumulated other comprehensive income. The liability was zero as of both December 31, 2016 and 2015.

As of December 31, contractholder funds consist of the following:

(\$ in millions)	2016	2015
Interest-sensitive life insurance	\$ 7,312	\$ 7,262
Investment contracts:		
Fixed annuities	11,893	12,934
Funding agreements backing medium-term notes	—	85
Other investment contracts	265	261
Total contractholder funds	<u>\$ 19,470</u>	<u>\$ 20,542</u>

The following table highlights the key contract provisions relating to contractholder funds.

Product	Interest rate	Withdrawal/surrender charges
Interest-sensitive life insurance	Interest rates credited range from 0% to 10.5% for equity-indexed life (whose returns are indexed to the S&P 500) and 1.0% to 6.0% for all other products	Either a percentage of account balance or dollar amount grading off generally over 20 years
Fixed annuities	Interest rates credited range from 0% to 9.8% for immediate annuities; (8.0)% to 13.3% for equity-indexed annuities (whose returns are indexed to the S&P 500); and 0.1% to 6.0% for all other products	Either a declining or a level percentage charge generally over ten years or less. Additionally, approximately 17.9% of fixed annuities are subject to market value adjustment for discretionary withdrawals
Other investment contracts: Guaranteed minimum income, accumulation and withdrawal benefits on variable ⁽¹⁾ and fixed annuities and secondary guarantees on interest-sensitive life insurance and fixed annuities	Interest rates used in establishing reserves range from 1.5% to 10.3%	Withdrawal and surrender charges are based on the terms of the related interest-sensitive life insurance or fixed annuity contract

⁽¹⁾ In 2006, the Company disposed of substantially all of its variable annuity business through reinsurance agreements with Prudential.

Contractholder funds as of December 31, 2015 included funding agreements held by a VIE, Allstate Life Global Funding, that issued medium-term notes. The VIE's primary assets were funding agreements used exclusively to back medium-term note programs.

Contractholder funds activity for the years ended December 31 is as follows:

(\$ in millions)	2016	2015	2014
Balance, beginning of year	\$ 20,542	\$ 21,816	\$ 23,604
Classified as held for sale, beginning balance	—	—	10,945
Total, including those classified as held for sale	20,542	21,816	34,549
Deposits	969	1,052	1,227
Interest credited	672	716	892
Benefits	(947)	(1,060)	(1,178)
Surrenders and partial withdrawals	(1,014)	(1,246)	(2,253)
Maturities of and interest payments on institutional products	(86)	(1)	(2)
Contract charges	(665)	(684)	(798)
Net transfers from separate accounts	5	7	7
Other adjustments	(6)	(58)	34
Sold in LBL disposition	—	—	(10,662)
Balance, end of year	<u>\$ 19,470</u>	<u>\$ 20,542</u>	<u>\$ 21,816</u>

The Company offered various guarantees to variable annuity contractholders. In 2006, the Company disposed of substantially all of its variable annuity business through reinsurance agreements with Prudential. Liabilities for variable contract guarantees related to death benefits are included in the reserve for life-contingent contract benefits and the liabilities related to the income, withdrawal and accumulation benefits are included in contractholder funds. All liabilities for variable contract guarantees are reported on a gross basis on the balance sheet with a corresponding reinsurance recoverable asset for those contracts subject to reinsurance.

Absent any contract provision wherein the Company guarantees either a minimum return or account value upon death, a specified contract anniversary date, partial withdrawal or annuitization, variable annuity and variable life insurance contractholders

bear the investment risk that the separate accounts' funds may not meet their stated investment objectives. The account balances of variable annuities contracts' separate accounts with guarantees included \$2.92 billion and \$3.20 billion of equity, fixed income and balanced mutual funds and \$364 million and \$340 million of money market mutual funds as of December 31, 2016 and 2015, respectively.

The table below presents information regarding the Company's variable annuity contracts with guarantees. The Company's variable annuity contracts may offer more than one type of guarantee in each contract; therefore, the sum of amounts listed exceeds the total account balances of variable annuity contracts' separate accounts with guarantees.

(\$ in millions)	December 31,	
	2016	2015
<i>In the event of death</i>		
Separate account value	\$ 3,280	\$ 3,541
Net amount at risk ⁽¹⁾	\$ 585	\$ 675
Average attained age of contractholders	70 years	69 years
<i>At annuitization (includes income benefit guarantees)</i>		
Separate account value	\$ 915	\$ 967
Net amount at risk ⁽²⁾	\$ 265	\$ 281
Weighted average waiting period until annuitization options available	None	None
<i>For cumulative periodic withdrawals</i>		
Separate account value	\$ 267	\$ 294
Net amount at risk ⁽³⁾	\$ 10	\$ 10
<i>Accumulation at specified dates</i>		
Separate account value	\$ 310	\$ 371
Net amount at risk ⁽⁴⁾	\$ 26	\$ 31
Weighted average waiting period until guarantee date	3 years	4 years

⁽¹⁾ Defined as the estimated current guaranteed minimum death benefit in excess of the current account balance as of the balance sheet date.

⁽²⁾ Defined as the estimated present value of the guaranteed minimum annuity payments in excess of the current account balance.

⁽³⁾ Defined as the estimated current guaranteed minimum withdrawal balance (initial deposit) in excess of the current account balance as of the balance sheet date.

⁽⁴⁾ Defined as the estimated present value of the guaranteed minimum accumulation balance in excess of the current account balance.

The liability for death and income benefit guarantees is equal to a benefit ratio multiplied by the cumulative contract charges earned, plus accrued interest less contract excess guarantee benefit payments. The benefit ratio is calculated as the estimated present value of all expected contract excess guarantee benefits divided by the present value of all expected contract charges. The establishment of reserves for these guarantees requires the projection of future fund values, mortality, persistency and customer benefit utilization rates. These assumptions are periodically reviewed and updated. For guarantees related to death benefits, benefits represent the projected excess guaranteed minimum death benefit payments. For guarantees related to income benefits, benefits represent the present value of the minimum guaranteed annuitization benefits in excess of the projected account balance at the time of annuitization.

Projected benefits and contract charges used in determining the liability for certain guarantees are developed using models and stochastic scenarios that are also used in the development of estimated expected gross profits. Underlying assumptions for the liability related to income benefits include assumed future annuitization elections based on factors such as the extent of benefit to the potential annuitant, eligibility conditions and the annuitant's attained age. The liability for guarantees is re-evaluated periodically, and adjustments are made to the liability balance through a charge or credit to contract benefits.

Guarantees related to the majority of withdrawal and accumulation benefits are considered to be derivative financial instruments; therefore, the liability for these benefits is established based on its fair value.

The following table summarizes the liabilities for guarantees.

(\$ in millions)	Liability for guarantees related to death benefits and interest-sensitive life products	Liability for guarantees related to income benefits	Liability for guarantees related to accumulation and withdrawal benefits	Total
Balance, December 31, 2015 ⁽¹⁾	\$ 223	\$ 67	\$ 75	\$ 365
Less reinsurance recoverables	106	64	52	222
Net balance as of December 31, 2015	117	3	23	143
Incurring guarantee benefits	26	—	11	37
Paid guarantee benefits	—	—	—	—
Net change	26	—	11	37
Net balance as of December 31, 2016	143	3	34	180
Plus reinsurance recoverables	101	40	43	184
Balance, December 31, 2016 ⁽²⁾	\$ 244	\$ 43	\$ 77	\$ 364
Balance, December 31, 2014 ⁽³⁾	\$ 195	\$ 95	\$ 60	\$ 350
Less reinsurance recoverables	98	91	45	234
Net balance as of December 31, 2014	97	4	15	116
Incurring guarantee benefits	20	(1)	8	27
Paid guarantee benefits	—	—	—	—
Net change	20	(1)	8	27
Net balance as of December 31, 2015	117	3	23	143
Plus reinsurance recoverables	106	64	52	222
Balance, December 31, 2015 ⁽¹⁾	\$ 223	\$ 67	\$ 75	\$ 365

⁽¹⁾ Included in the total liability balance as of December 31, 2015 are reserves for variable annuity death benefits of \$105 million, variable annuity income benefits of \$64 million, variable annuity accumulation benefits of \$38 million, variable annuity withdrawal benefits of \$14 million and other guarantees of \$144 million.

⁽²⁾ Included in the total liability balance as of December 31, 2016 are reserves for variable annuity death benefits of \$100 million, variable annuity income benefits of \$40 million, variable annuity accumulation benefits of \$34 million, variable annuity withdrawal benefits of \$9 million and other guarantees of \$181 million.

⁽³⁾ Included in the total liability balance as of December 31, 2014 are reserves for variable annuity death benefits of \$96 million, variable annuity income benefits of \$92 million, variable annuity accumulation benefits of \$32 million, variable annuity withdrawal benefits of \$13 million and other guarantees of \$117 million.

10. Reinsurance

The Company reinsures certain of its risks to other insurers primarily under yearly renewable term, coinsurance and modified coinsurance agreements. These agreements result in a passing of the agreed-upon percentage of risk to the reinsurer in exchange for negotiated reinsurance premium payments. Modified coinsurance is similar to coinsurance, except that the cash and investments that support the liability for contract benefits are not transferred to the assuming company and settlements are made on a net basis between the companies.

For certain term life insurance policies issued prior to October 2009, the Company ceded up to 90% of the mortality risk depending on the year of policy issuance under coinsurance agreements to a pool of fourteen unaffiliated reinsurers. Effective October 2009, mortality risk on term business is ceded under yearly renewable term agreements under which the Company cedes mortality in excess of its retention, which is consistent with how the Company generally reinsures its permanent life insurance business. The following table summarizes those retention limits by period of policy issuance.

Period	Retention limits
April 2015 through current	Single life: \$2 million per life Joint life: no longer offered
April 2011 through March 2015	Single life: \$5 million per life, \$3 million age 70 and over, and \$10 million for contracts that meet specific criteria Joint life: \$8 million per life, and \$10 million for contracts that meet specific criteria
July 2007 through March 2011	\$5 million per life, \$3 million age 70 and over, and \$10 million for contracts that meet specific criteria
September 1998 through June 2007	\$2 million per life, in 2006 the limit was increased to \$5 million for instances when specific criteria were met
August 1998 and prior	Up to \$1 million per life

In addition, the Company has used reinsurance to effect the disposition of certain blocks of business. The Company had reinsurance recoverables of \$1.41 billion and \$1.44 billion as of December 31, 2016 and 2015, respectively, due from Prudential related to the disposal of substantially all of its variable annuity business that was effected through reinsurance agreements. In 2016, premiums and contract charges of \$78 million, contract benefits of \$21 million, interest credited to contractholder funds of \$20 million, and operating costs and expenses of \$15 million were ceded to Prudential. In 2015, premiums and contract charges of \$94 million, contract benefits of \$40 million, interest credited to contractholder funds of \$21 million, and operating costs and expenses of \$18 million were ceded to Prudential. In 2014, premiums and contract charges of \$109 million, contract benefits of \$36 million, interest credited to contractholder funds of \$21 million, and operating costs and expenses of \$20 million were ceded to Prudential. In addition, as of December 31, 2016 and 2015 the Company had reinsurance recoverables of \$144 million and \$148 million, respectively, due from subsidiaries of Citigroup (Triton Insurance and American Health and Life Insurance) and Scottish Re (U.S.) Inc. in connection with the disposition of substantially all of the direct response distribution business in 2003.

The Company is the assuming reinsurer for LBL's life insurance business sold through the Allstate agency channel and LBL's payout annuity business in force prior to the sale of LBL on April 1, 2014. Under the terms of the reinsurance agreement, the Company is required to have a trust with assets greater than or equal to the statutory reserves ceded by LBL to the Company, measured on a monthly basis. As of December 31, 2016, the trust held \$5.94 billion of investments, which are reported in the Consolidated Statement of Financial Position.

As of December 31, 2016, the gross life insurance in force was \$406.29 billion of which \$5.53 billion and \$88.51 billion was ceded to the affiliated and unaffiliated reinsurers, respectively.

The effects of reinsurance on premiums and contract charges for the years ended December 31 are as follows:

(\$ in millions)	2016	2015	2014
Direct	\$ 715	\$ 728	\$ 1,084
Assumed			
Affiliate	138	131	130
Non-affiliate	803	835	614
Ceded			
Affiliate	(53)	(41)	—
Non-affiliate	(294)	(315)	(392)
Premiums and contract charges, net of reinsurance	\$ 1,309	\$ 1,338	\$ 1,436

The effects of reinsurance on contract benefits for the years ended December 31 are as follows:

(\$ in millions)	2016	2015	2014
Direct	\$ 999	\$ 1,023	\$ 1,295
Assumed			
Affiliate	90	79	88
Non-affiliate	522	541	398
Ceded			
Affiliate	(36)	(32)	—
Non-affiliate	(188)	(205)	(329)
Contract benefits, net of reinsurance	\$ 1,387	\$ 1,406	\$ 1,452

The effects of reinsurance on interest credited to contractholder funds for the years ended December 31 are as follows:

(\$ in millions)	2016	2015	2014
Direct	\$ 598	\$ 636	\$ 827
Assumed			
Affiliate	9	10	9
Non-affiliate	116	111	82
Ceded			
Affiliate	(21)	(16)	—
Non-affiliate	(25)	(24)	(27)
Interest credited to contractholder funds, net of reinsurance	\$ 677	\$ 717	\$ 891

Reinsurance recoverables on paid and unpaid benefits as of December 31 are summarized in the following table.

(\$ in millions)	2016	2015
Annuities	\$ 1,411	\$ 1,443
Life insurance	1,299	1,348
Other	81	80
Total	<u>\$ 2,791</u>	<u>\$ 2,871</u>

As of both December 31, 2016 and 2015, approximately 77% of the Company's reinsurance recoverables are due from companies rated A- or better by S&P.

11. Deferred Policy Acquisition and Sales Inducement Costs

Deferred policy acquisition costs for the years ended December 31 are as follows:

(\$ in millions)	2016	2015	2014
Balance, beginning of year	\$ 1,314	\$ 1,271	\$ 1,331
Classified as held for sale, beginning balance	—	—	743
Total, including those classified as held for sale	1,314	1,271	2,074
Acquisition costs deferred	79	124	163
Amortization charged to income	(134)	(151)	(162)
Effect of unrealized gains and losses	(72)	99	(97)
Reinsurance ceded ⁽¹⁾	—	(29)	—
Sold in LBL disposition	—	—	(707)
Balance, end of year	<u>\$ 1,187</u>	<u>\$ 1,314</u>	<u>\$ 1,271</u>

⁽¹⁾ In 2015, DAC decreased as a result of a reinsurance agreement with AAC.

DSI activity, which primarily relates to fixed annuities and interest-sensitive life contracts, for the years ended December 31 was as follows:

(\$ in millions)	2016	2015	2014
Balance, beginning of year	\$ 45	\$ 44	\$ 42
Classified as held for sale, beginning balance	—	—	28
Total, including those classified as held for sale	45	44	70
Sales inducements deferred	1	3	4
Amortization charged to income	(5)	(4)	(4)
Effect of unrealized gains and losses	(1)	2	(3)
Sold in LBL disposition	—	—	(23)
Balance, end of year	<u>\$ 40</u>	<u>\$ 45</u>	<u>\$ 44</u>

12. Guarantees and Contingent Liabilities

Guaranty funds

Under state insurance guaranty fund laws, insurers doing business in a state can be assessed, up to prescribed limits, for certain obligations of insolvent insurance companies to policyholders and claimants. Amounts assessed to each company are typically related to its proportion of business written in each state. The Company's policy is to accrue assessments when the entity for which the insolvency relates has met its state of domicile's statutory definition of insolvency and the amount of the loss is reasonably estimable. In most states, the definition is met with a declaration of financial insolvency by a court of competent jurisdiction. In certain states there must also be a final order of liquidation. As of December 31, 2016 and 2015, the liability balance included in other liabilities and accrued expenses was \$1 million and \$10 million, respectively. The related premium tax offsets included in other assets were \$9 million and \$15 million as of December 31, 2016 and 2015, respectively.

Guarantees

In the normal course of business, the Company provides standard indemnifications to contractual counterparties in connection with numerous transactions, including acquisitions and divestitures. The types of indemnifications typically provided include indemnifications for breaches of representations and warranties, taxes and certain other liabilities, such as third party lawsuits. The indemnification clauses are often standard contractual terms and are entered into in the normal course of business based on an assessment that the risk of loss would be remote. The terms of the indemnifications vary in duration and nature. In many cases, the maximum obligation is not explicitly stated and the contingencies triggering the obligation to indemnify have not occurred

and are not expected to occur. Consequently, the maximum amount of the obligation under such indemnifications is not determinable. Historically, the Company has not made any material payments pursuant to these obligations.

Related to the sale of LBL on April 1, 2014, the Company has agreed to indemnify Resolution Life Holdings, Inc. in connection with certain representations, warranties and covenants of the Company, and certain liabilities specifically excluded from the transaction, subject to specific contractual limitations regarding the Company's maximum obligation. Management does not believe these indemnifications will have a material effect on results of operations, cash flows or financial position of the Company.

Related to the disposal through reinsurance of substantially all of the Company's variable annuity business to Prudential in 2006, the Company and the Corporation have agreed to indemnify Prudential for certain pre-closing contingent liabilities (including extra-contractual liabilities of the Company and liabilities specifically excluded from the transaction) that the Company has agreed to retain. In addition, the Company and the Corporation will each indemnify Prudential for certain post-closing liabilities that may arise from the acts of the Company and its agents, including certain liabilities arising from the Company's provision of transition services. The reinsurance agreements contain no limitations or indemnifications with regard to insurance risk transfer, and transferred all of the future risks and responsibilities for performance on the underlying variable annuity contracts to Prudential, including those related to benefit guarantees. Management does not believe this agreement will have a material effect on results of operations, cash flows or financial position of the Company.

The aggregate liability balance related to all guarantees was not material as of December 31, 2016.

Regulation and Compliance

The Company is subject to extensive laws, regulations and regulatory actions. From time to time, regulatory authorities or legislative bodies seek to impose additional regulations regarding agent and broker compensation, regulate the nature of and amount of investments, impose fines and penalties for unintended errors or mistakes, and otherwise expand overall regulation of insurance products and the insurance industry. In addition, the Company is subject to laws and regulations administered and enforced by federal agencies and other organizations, including but not limited to the Securities and Exchange Commission, the Financial Industry Regulatory Authority, the Department of Labor, and the U.S. Department of Justice. The Company has established procedures and policies to facilitate compliance with laws and regulations, to foster prudent business operations, and to support financial reporting. The Company routinely reviews its practices to validate compliance with laws and regulations and with internal procedures and policies. As a result of these reviews, from time to time the Company may decide to modify some of its procedures and policies. Such modifications, and the reviews that led to them, may be accompanied by payments being made and costs being incurred. The ultimate changes and eventual effects of these actions on the Company's business, if any, are uncertain.

The Company is currently being examined by certain states for compliance with unclaimed property laws. It is possible that this examination may result in additional payments of abandoned funds to states and to changes in the Company's practices and procedures for the identification of escheatable funds, which could impact benefit payments and reserves, among other consequences; however, it is not likely to have a material effect on the consolidated financial statements of the Company.

13. Income Taxes

ALIC and its subsidiaries (the "Allstate Life Group") join with the Corporation (the "Allstate Group") in the filing of a consolidated federal income tax return and are party to a federal income tax allocation agreement (the "Allstate Tax Sharing Agreement"). Under the Allstate Tax Sharing Agreement, the Allstate Life Group pays to or receives from the Corporation the amount, if any, by which the Allstate Group's federal income tax liability is affected by virtue of inclusion of the Allstate Life Group in the consolidated federal income tax return. Effectively, this results in the Allstate Life Group's annual income tax provision being computed, with adjustments, as if the Allstate Life Group filed a separate return.

The Internal Revenue Service ("IRS") is currently examining the Allstate Group's 2013 and 2014 federal income tax returns. The Allstate Group's tax years prior to 2013 have been examined by the IRS and the statute of limitations has expired on those years. Any adjustments that may result from IRS examinations of the Allstate Group's tax returns are not expected to have a material effect on the results of operations, cash flows or financial position of the Company.

The Company had a \$1 million liability for unrecognized tax benefits as of both December 31, 2016 and 2015. The Company had no liability for unrecognized tax benefits as of December 31, 2014. The change in the liability for unrecognized tax benefits in 2015 related to the increase for tax positions taken in a prior year. The Company believes it is reasonably possible that the liability balance will not significantly increase within the next twelve months. Because of the impact of deferred tax accounting, recognition of previously unrecognized tax benefits is not expected to impact the Company's effective tax rate.

The Company recognizes interest accrued related to unrecognized tax benefits in income tax expense. The Company did not record interest income or expense relating to unrecognized tax benefits in income tax expense in 2016, 2015 or 2014. As of December 31, 2016 and 2015, there was no interest accrued with respect to unrecognized tax benefits. No amounts have been accrued for penalties.

The components of the deferred income tax assets and liabilities as of December 31 are as follows:

(\$ in millions)	2016	2015
Deferred assets		
Deferred reinsurance gain	\$ 16	\$ 17
Difference in tax bases of investments	—	46
Other assets	4	9
Total deferred assets	20	72
Deferred liabilities		
DAC	(370)	(387)
Unrealized net capital gains	(369)	(287)
Life and annuity reserves	(362)	(309)
Difference in tax bases of investments	(35)	—
Other liabilities	(75)	(75)
Total deferred liabilities	(1,211)	(1,058)
Net deferred liability	\$ (1,191)	\$ (986)

Although realization is not assured, management believes it is more likely than not that the deferred tax assets will be realized based on the Company's assessment that the deductions ultimately recognized for tax purposes will be fully utilized.

The components of income tax expense for the years ended December 31 are as follows:

(\$ in millions)	2016	2015	2014
Current	\$ 24	\$ 251	\$ 101
Deferred	120	50	132
Total income tax expense	\$ 144	\$ 301	\$ 233

The Company received a refund of \$22 million in 2016 and paid income taxes of \$221 million and \$80 million in 2015 and 2014, respectively. The Company had current income tax receivable of \$16 million and \$30 million as of December 31, 2016 and 2015, respectively.

A reconciliation of the statutory federal income tax rate to the effective income tax rate on income from operations for the years ended December 31 is as follows:

	2016	2015	2014
Statutory federal income tax rate	35.0 %	35.0 %	35.0 %
Tax credits	(3.3)	(1.7)	(1.9)
Dividends received deduction	(1.3)	(0.6)	(0.9)
Adjustments to prior year tax liabilities	—	(0.3)	(0.2)
Sale of subsidiary	—	—	(1.8)
State income taxes	0.3	0.4	0.1
Non-deductible expenses	0.2	0.2	0.2
Change in accounting for investments in qualified affordable housing projects	—	2.0	—
Other	0.1	(0.1)	0.2
Effective income tax rate	31.0 %	34.9 %	30.7 %

14. Capital Structure

Debt outstanding

All of the Company's outstanding debt as of December 31, 2016 and 2015 relates to intercompany obligations. These obligations reflect notes due to related parties and are discussed in Note 5. The Company paid \$15 million, \$16 million and \$16 million of interest on debt in 2016, 2015 and 2014, respectively.

The Company had \$56 million and \$44 million of investment-related debt that is reported in other liabilities and accrued expenses as of December 31, 2016 and 2015, respectively. This includes a commitment to fund a limited partnership of \$22 million and debt related to other investments of \$34 million as of December 31, 2016 and a commitment to fund a limited partnership of \$44 million as of December 31, 2015. The Company has an outstanding line of credit to fund the limited partnership.

15. Statutory Financial Information and Dividend Limitations

ALIC and its insurance subsidiaries prepare their statutory-basis financial statements in conformity with accounting practices prescribed or permitted by the insurance department of the applicable state of domicile. Prescribed statutory accounting practices include a variety of publications of the National Association of Insurance Commissioners (“NAIC”), as well as state laws, regulations and general administrative rules. Permitted statutory accounting practices encompass all accounting practices not so prescribed.

All states require domiciled insurance companies to prepare statutory-basis financial statements in conformity with the NAIC Accounting Practices and Procedures Manual, subject to any deviations prescribed or permitted by the applicable insurance commissioner and/or director. Statutory accounting practices differ from GAAP primarily since they require charging policy acquisition and certain sales inducement costs to expense as incurred, establishing life insurance reserves based on different actuarial assumptions, and valuing certain investments and establishing deferred taxes on a different basis.

Statutory net income (loss) of ALIC and its insurance subsidiaries was \$192 million, \$(67) million and \$1.01 billion in 2016, 2015 and 2014, respectively. Statutory capital and surplus was \$3.05 billion and \$2.87 billion as of December 31, 2016 and 2015, respectively.

Dividend Limitations

The ability of ALIC to pay dividends is dependent on business conditions, income, cash requirements and other relevant factors. The payment of shareholder dividends by ALIC to AIC without the prior approval of the Illinois Department of Insurance (“IL DOI”) is limited to formula amounts based on net income and capital and surplus, determined in conformity with statutory accounting practices, as well as the timing and amount of dividends paid in the preceding twelve months. The Company did not pay any dividends in 2016. The maximum amount of dividends ALIC will be able to pay without prior IL DOI approval at a given point in time during 2017 is \$305 million. The payment of a dividend in excess of this amount requires 30 days advance written notice to the IL DOI. The dividend is deemed approved, unless the IL DOI disapproves it within the 30 day notice period. Additionally, any dividend must be paid out of unassigned surplus excluding unrealized appreciation from investments, which for ALIC totaled \$432 million as of December 31, 2016, and cannot result in capital and surplus being less than the minimum amount required by law.

Under state insurance laws, insurance companies are required to maintain paid up capital of not less than the minimum capital requirement applicable to the types of insurance they are authorized to write. Insurance companies are also subject to risk-based capital (“RBC”) requirements adopted by state insurance regulators. A company’s “authorized control level RBC” is calculated using various factors applied to certain financial balances and activity. Companies that do not maintain adjusted statutory capital and surplus at a level in excess of the company action level RBC, which is two times authorized control level RBC, are required to take specified actions. Company action level RBC is significantly in excess of the minimum capital requirements. Total adjusted statutory capital and surplus and authorized control level RBC of ALIC were \$3.47 billion and \$541 million, respectively, as of December 31, 2016. ALIC’s insurance subsidiaries are included as a component of ALIC’s total statutory capital and surplus.

Intercompany transactions

Notification and approval of intercompany lending activities is also required by the IL DOI when ALIC does not have unassigned surplus and for transactions that exceed a level that is based on a formula using statutory admitted assets and statutory surplus.

16. Benefit Plans

Pension and other postretirement plans

Defined benefit pension plans, sponsored by the Corporation, cover most full-time employees, certain part-time employees and employee-agents. Benefits under the pension plans are based upon the employee’s length of service and eligible annual compensation. The cost allocated to the Company for the pension plans was \$7 million, \$6 million and \$7 million in 2016, 2015 and 2014, respectively.

The Corporation has reserved the right to modify or terminate its benefit plans at any time and for any reason.

Allstate 401(k) Savings Plan

Employees of AIC are eligible to become members of the Allstate 401(k) Savings Plan (“Allstate Plan”). The Corporation’s contributions are based on the Corporation’s matching obligation and certain performance measures. The cost allocated to the Company for the Allstate Plan was \$4 million, \$5 million and \$7 million in 2016, 2015 and 2014, respectively.

17. Other Comprehensive Income

The components of other comprehensive income (loss) on a pre-tax and after-tax basis for the years ended December 31 are as follows:

(\$ in millions)	2016			2015			2014		
	Pre-tax	Tax	After-tax	Pre-tax	Tax	After-tax	Pre-tax	Tax	After-tax
Unrealized net holding gains and losses arising during the period, net of related offsets	\$ 134	\$ (46)	\$ 88	\$ (1,048)	\$ 366	\$ (682)	\$ 805	\$ (282)	\$ 523
Less: reclassification adjustment of realized capital gains and losses	(100)	35	(65)	265	(93)	172	104	(36)	68
Unrealized net capital gains and losses	234	(81)	153	(1,313)	459	(854)	701	(246)	455
Unrealized foreign currency translation adjustments	6	(2)	4	(6)	2	(4)	(6)	2	(4)
Other comprehensive income (loss)	\$ 240	\$ (83)	\$ 157	\$ (1,319)	\$ 461	\$ (858)	\$ 695	\$ (244)	\$ 451

18. Quarterly Results (unaudited)

(\$ in millions)	First Quarter		Second Quarter		Third Quarter		Fourth Quarter	
	2016	2015	2016	2015	2016	2015	2016	2015
Revenues	\$ 685	\$ 927	\$ 742	\$ 862	\$ 713	\$ 992	\$ 751	\$ 641
Net income	52	153	94	150	65	239	108	19

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

To the Board of Directors and Shareholder of
Allstate Life Insurance Company
Northbrook, Illinois 60062

We have audited the accompanying Consolidated Statements of Financial Position of Allstate Life Insurance Company and subsidiaries (the "Company"), an affiliate of The Allstate Corporation, as of December 31, 2016 and 2015, and the related Consolidated Statements of Operations and Comprehensive Income, Shareholder's Equity, and Cash Flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2016. Our audits also included the consolidated financial statement schedules listed in the Index at Item 15. These financial statements and financial statement schedules are the responsibility of the Company's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements and financial statement schedules based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. The Company is not required to have, nor were we engaged to perform, an audit of its internal control over financial reporting. Our audits included consideration of internal control over financial reporting as a basis for designing audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the Company's internal control over financial reporting. Accordingly, we express no such opinion. An audit also includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements, assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, such consolidated financial statements present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of Allstate Life Insurance Company and subsidiaries as of December 31, 2016 and 2015, and the results of their operations and their cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2016, in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. Also, in our opinion, such consolidated financial statement schedules, when considered in relation to the basic consolidated financial statements taken as a whole, present fairly in all material respects the information set forth therein.

/s/ DELOITTE & TOUCHE LLP

Chicago, Illinois
February 24, 2017

Item 9. Changes in and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure

None.

Item 9A. Controls and Procedures

Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures. We maintain disclosure controls and procedures as defined in Rule 13a-15(e) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934. Under the supervision and with the participation of our management, including our principal executive officer and principal financial officer, we conducted an evaluation of the effectiveness of our disclosure controls and procedures as of the end of the period covered by this report. Based upon this evaluation, the principal executive officer and the principal financial officer concluded that our disclosure controls and procedures are effective in providing reasonable assurance that material information required to be disclosed in our reports filed with or submitted to the Securities and Exchange Commission under the Securities Exchange Act is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified by the Securities Exchange Act and made known to management, including the principal executive officer and the principal financial officer, as appropriate to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure.

Management's Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting. Management is responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal control over financial reporting as defined in Rule 13a-15(f) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934.

Under the supervision and with the participation of our management, including our principal executive officer and principal financial officer, we conducted an evaluation of the effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2016 based on the criteria related to internal control over financial reporting described in "Internal Control – Integrated Framework (2013)" issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission. Based on our evaluation, management concluded that our internal control over financial reporting was effective as of December 31, 2016.

Changes in Internal Control over Financial Reporting. During the fiscal quarter ended December 31, 2016, there have been no changes in our internal control over financial reporting that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

Item 9B. Other Information

None.

Part III

Item 14. Principal Accounting Fees and Services

(1),(2),(3) and (4) Disclosure of fees -

The following fees have been, or are anticipated to be billed by Deloitte & Touche LLP, the member firms of Deloitte & Touche Tohmatsu, and their respective affiliates, for professional services rendered to us for the fiscal years ending December 31, 2016 and 2015.

	<u>2016</u>	<u>2015 ^(c)</u>
Audit fees ^(a)	\$ 2,491,000	\$ 2,439,000
Audit related fees ^(b)	7,000	—
Total fees	<u>\$ 2,498,000</u>	<u>\$ 2,439,000</u>

(a) Fees for audits of annual financial statements, reviews of quarterly financial statements, statutory audits, attest services, comfort letters, consents, and review of documents filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission. The amount disclosed does not reflect reimbursed audit fees received from third-party reinsurers in the amounts of \$179,000 and \$165,000 for 2016 and 2015, respectively.

(b) Audit related fees relate primarily to professional services such as other attest services.

(c) Total fees for 2015 have been adjusted to reflect actual expenditures for the year.

(5)(i) and (ii) Audit Committee's pre-approval policies and procedures -

The Audit Committee of The Allstate Corporation has adopted a policy regarding its pre-approval of all audit and permissible non-audit services provided by the independent registered public accountant for itself and its consolidated subsidiaries, including Allstate Life. The policy identifies the basic principles that must be considered by the Audit Committee in approving services to ensure that the registered public accountant's independence is not impaired, describes the type of audit, audit-related, tax and other services that may be provided, and lists the non-audit services that may not be performed. The independent registered public accountant or management will submit to the Audit Committee detailed schedules with all of the proposed services within each category, together with the estimated fees. Each specific service will require approval before the service can begin. Prior to requesting approval from the Audit Committee, the registered public accountant and management consider and conclude that the services are permissible in that they: (1) do not place the registered public accountant in the position of auditing their own work, (2) do not result in the registered public accountant's personnel acting as management or an employee of Allstate, (3) do not place the registered public accountant in a position of being an advocate for Allstate, (4) do not create a mutual or conflicting interest between the registered public accountant and Allstate and (5) are not based on a contingent fee arrangement. The Audit Committee's policy delegates to the chair the authority to grant approvals, but the decisions of the chair must be reported to the Audit Committee at its next regularly scheduled meeting. All services provided by Deloitte & Touche LLP in 2016 and 2015 were approved in accordance with the pre-approval policy by The Allstate Corporation and Allstate Life Audit Committees.

Part IV

Item 15. (a) (1) Exhibits and Financial Statement Schedules.

The following consolidated financial statements, notes thereto and related information of Allstate Life Insurance Company (the “Company”) are included in Item 8.

- Consolidated Statements of Operations and Comprehensive Income
- Consolidated Statements of Financial Position
- Consolidated Statements of Shareholder’s Equity
- Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows
- Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements
- Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

Item 15. (a) (2)

The following additional financial statement schedules are furnished herewith pursuant to the requirements of Form 10-K.

Allstate Life Insurance Company

Page

Schedules required to be filed under the provisions of Regulation S-X Article 7:

Schedule I	Summary of Investments - Other than Investments in Related Parties	S-1
Schedule IV	Reinsurance	S-2
Schedule V	Valuation Allowances and Qualifying Accounts	S-3

All other schedules are omitted because they are not applicable, or not required, or because the required information is included in the Consolidated Financial Statements or in notes thereto.

Item 15. (a) (3)

The following is a list of the exhibits filed as part of this Form 10-K.

Exhibit Number	Exhibit Description	Incorporated by Reference				Filed or Furnished Herewith
		Form	File Number	Exhibit	Filing Date	
3(i)	Articles of Amendment to the Articles of Incorporation of Allstate Life Insurance Company dated December 29, 1999.	10	000-31248	3.1	April 24, 2002	
3(ii)	Amended and Restated By-Laws of Allstate Life Insurance Company effective March 15, 2007.	8-K	000-31248	3(ii)	March 20, 2007	
4	See Exhibits 3 (i) and 3 (ii).					
10.2	Credit Agreement dated April 27, 2012 among The Allstate Corporation, Allstate Insurance Company and Allstate Life Insurance Company, as Borrowers; the Lenders party thereto, Wells Fargo Bank, National Association, as Syndication Agent; Citibank, N.A. and Bank of America, N.A., as Documentation Agents; and JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A., as Administrative Agent.	10-Q	1-11840	10.6	May 2, 2012	
10.3	Amendment No. 1 to Credit Agreement dated as of April 27, 2014	8-K	1-11840	10.1	April 29, 2014	
10.4	Surplus Note Purchase Agreement between Allstate Life Insurance Company and Kennett Capital, Inc. effective, August 1, 2005.	10-Q	000-31248	10.2	November 7, 2005	
10.5	Pledge and Security Agreement between Allstate Life Insurance Company and Kennett Capital, Inc. effective August 1, 2005.	10-Q	000-31248	10.3	November 7, 2005	
10.6	Intercompany Loan Agreement among The Allstate Corporation, Allstate Life Insurance Company, and other certain subsidiaries of The Allstate Corporation effective February 1, 1996.	10-K	000-31248	10.24	March 13, 2007	
10.7	Amended and Restated Intercompany Liquidity Agreement between Allstate Insurance Company, Allstate Life Insurance Company and The Allstate Corporation effective as of May 8, 2008.	10-Q	000-31248	10.2	May 14, 2008	

10.8	Revolving Loan Credit Agreement, effective December 20, 2010 between American Heritage Life Insurance Company and Road Bay Investments, LLC.	8-K	000-31248	10.1	December 27, 2010	
10.9	Pledge and Security Agreement, dated as of December 20, 2010, between Road Bay Investments, LLC and American Heritage Life Insurance Company securing obligations under the Revolving Loan Credit Agreement.	8-K	000-31248	10.2	December 27, 2010	
10.10	Capital Support Agreement between Allstate Life Insurance Company and Allstate Insurance Company effective December 14, 2007.	8-K	000-31248	10.1	February 7, 2008	
10.11	Form of Amended and Restated Service and Expense Agreement among Allstate Insurance Company, The Allstate Corporation and certain affiliates effective January 1, 2004.	10-K	000-31248	10.1	March 17, 2008	
10.12	Form of Amendment No. 1 effective January 1, 2009 to Amended and Restated Service and Expense Agreement among Allstate Insurance Company, The Allstate Corporation and certain affiliates dated as of January 1, 2009.	8-K	000-31248	10.1	February 17, 2010	
10.13	Letter Agreement among Allstate Insurance Company, The Allstate Corporation and certain affiliates, including Allstate Life Insurance Company, effective December 1, 2007.	8-K	000-31248	10.1	May 23, 2008	
10.14	Addendum among Allstate Insurance Company and certain affiliates dated August 17, 2011 to Amended and Restated Service and Expense Agreement among Allstate Insurance Company, The Allstate Corporation and certain affiliates effective as of January 1, 2004, as amended by amendment No. 1 effective as of January 1, 2009.	10-K	000-31248	10.20	March 8, 2012	
10.15	New York Insurer Supplement to Amended and Restated Service and Expense Agreement among Allstate Insurance Company, The Allstate Corporation, Allstate Life Insurance Company of New York and Intramerica Life Insurance Company, effective March 5, 2005.	10-Q	000-31248	10.2	August 8, 2005	
10.16	Limited Servicing Agreement among Allstate Life Insurance Company, Allstate Distributors, L.L.C. and Allstate Financial Services, LLC effective October 1, 2002.	10-K	000-31248	10.40	March 17, 2008	
10.17	Form of Investment Management Agreement among Allstate Investment Management Company, The Allstate Corporation and certain affiliates effective February 1, 2012.	8-K	000-31248	10.1	February 7, 2012	
10.18	Form of Investment Management Agreement among Allstate Investments, LLC, Allstate Insurance Company, The Allstate Corporation and certain affiliates effective January 1, 2007.	10-K	000-31248	10.12	March 17, 2008	
10.19	Investment Advisory Agreement and Amendment to Service Agreement as of January 1, 2002 between Allstate Insurance Company, Allstate Investments, LLC and Allstate Life Insurance Company of New York.	10	000-31248	10.31	April 24, 2002	
10.20	Investment Management Agreement between Allstate Investments, LLC and ALIC Reinsurance Company, effective July 1, 2005.	10-Q	000-31248	10.1	November 7, 2005	
10.21	Investment Management Agreement between Allstate Investments, LLC and ALIC Reinsurance Company effective as of March 31, 2008.	8-K	000-31248	10.1	December 23, 2008	
10.22	Investment Advisory Agreement by and between Allstate Insurance Company and Intramerica Life Insurance Company effective July 1, 1999.	10	000-31248	10.29	April 24, 2002	
10.23	Assignment and Assumption Agreement dated as of January 1, 2002 among Allstate Insurance Company, Allstate Investments, LLC and Intramerica Life Insurance Company.	10	000-31248	10.30	April 24, 2002	

10.24	Assignment & Delegation of Administrative Services Agreements, Underwriting Agreements, and Selling Agreements entered into as of September 1, 2011 between ALFS, Inc., Allstate Life Insurance Company, Allstate Life Insurance Company of New York, Allstate Distributors, L.L.C., Intramerica Life Insurance Company, and Allstate Financial Services, LLC.	8-K	000-31248	10.1	September 1, 2011	
10.25	Selling Agreement by and among Allstate Life Insurance Company, Allstate Distributors, L.L.C. (ALFS, Inc., f/k/a Allstate Life Financial Services, Inc., merged with and into Allstate Distributors, L.L.C. effective September 1, 2011) and Allstate Financial Services, LLC (f/k/a LSA Securities, Inc.) effective July 26, 1999.	10-K	000-31248	10.6	March 26, 2004	
10.26	Amendment effective August 1, 1999 to Selling Agreement between Allstate Life Insurance Company, Allstate Distributors, L.L.C. (ALFS, Inc. merged with and into Allstate Distributors, L.L.C. effective September 1, 2011) and Allstate Financial Services, LLC effective July 26, 1999.	10-Q	000-31248	10.1	November 10, 2004	
10.27	Amendment effective September 28, 2001 to Selling Agreement between Allstate Life Insurance Company, Allstate Distributors, L.L.C. (ALFS, Inc. merged with and into Allstate Distributors, L.L.C. effective September 1, 2011) and Allstate Financial Services, LLC effective July 26, 1999.	10-Q	000-31248	10.2	November 10, 2004	
10.28	Amendment effective February 15, 2002 to Selling Agreement between Allstate Life Insurance Company, Allstate Distributors, L.L.C. (ALFS, Inc. merged with and into Allstate Distributors, L.L.C. effective September 1, 2011) and Allstate Financial Services, LLC effective July 26, 1999.	10-Q	000-31248	10.3	November 10, 2004	
10.29	Amendment effective April 21, 2003 to Selling Agreement between Allstate Life Insurance Company, Allstate Distributors, L.L.C. (ALFS, Inc. merged with and into Allstate Distributors, L.L.C. effective September 1, 2011) and Allstate Financial Services, LLC effective July 26, 1999.	10-Q	000-31248	10.4	November 10, 2004	
10.30	Selling Agreement and Addenda to Agreement between Allstate Life Insurance Company as successor in interest to Glenbrook Life and Annuity Company, Allstate Distributors, L.L.C. (ALFS, Inc. merged with and into Allstate Distributors, L.L.C. effective September 1, 2011) and Allstate Financial Services, LLC effective May 17, 2001, December 31, 2001 and November 18, 2002, respectively.	10-K	000-31248	10.39	March 17, 2008	
10.31	Selling Agreement by and among Allstate Life Insurance Company of New York, Allstate Distributors, L.L.C. (ALFS, Inc. merged with and into Allstate Distributors, L.L.C. effective September 1, 2011) and Allstate Financial Services, LLC effective May 1, 2005.	10-K	000-31248	10.7	March 26, 2004	
10.32	Selling Agreement by and between Lincoln Benefit Life Company, Allstate Distributors, L.L.C. (ALFS, Inc. merged with and into Allstate Distributors, L.L.C. effective September 1, 2011) and Allstate Financial Services, LLC effective August 2, 1999.	10-K	000-31248	10.8	March 26, 2004	
10.33	Marketing Coordination and Administrative Services Agreement among Allstate Insurance Company, Allstate Life Insurance Company and Allstate Financial Services, LLC effective January 1, 2003.	10-K	000-31248	10.9	March 26, 2004	
10.34	First Amendment to Marketing Coordination and Administrative Services Agreement by and among Allstate Life Insurance Company, Allstate Financial Services, LLC and Allstate Insurance Company effective January 1, 2006.	10-Q	000-31248	10.1	August 8, 2006	
10.35	Marketing Agreement by and among Allstate Life Insurance Company as successor in interest to Glenbrook Life and Annuity Company, Allstate Distributors, L.L.C. (ALFS, Inc. merged with and into Allstate Distributors, L.L.C. effective September 1, 2011) and Allstate Financial Services, LLC effective June 10, 2003.	10-K	000-31248	10.41	March 17, 2008	

10.36	Reinsurance and Administrative Services Agreement by and between American Heritage Life Insurance Company and Columbia Universal Life Insurance Company effective February 1, 1998.	8-K	000-31248	10.3	January 30, 2008	
10.37	Novation and Assignment Agreement by and among Allstate Life Insurance Company, American Heritage Life Insurance Company and Columbia Universal Life Insurance Company effective June 30, 2004.	8-K	000-31248	10.2	January 30, 2008	
10.38	Amendment to Reinsurance Agreement effective December 1, 2007, by and between American Heritage Life Insurance Company and Allstate Life Insurance Company.	8-K	000-31248	10.1	January 30, 2008	
10.39	Reinsurance Agreement between Allstate Life Insurance Company and American Heritage Life Insurance Company effective December 31, 2004.	8-K	000-31248	10.2	January 9, 2008	
10.40	Amendment No. 1 dated as of January 1, 2008 to Reinsurance Agreement between Allstate Life Insurance Company and American Heritage Life Insurance Company effective December 31, 2004.	8-K	000-31248	10.1	January 9, 2008	
10.41	Amendment No. 2 dated and effective as of April 1, 2011 to Reinsurance Agreement between Allstate Life Insurance Company and American Heritage Life Insurance Company effective December 31, 2004.	10-Q	000-31248	10.4	August 5, 2011	
10.42	Retrocessional Reinsurance Agreement between Allstate Life Insurance Company and American Heritage Life Insurance Company effective December 31, 2004.	10-K	000-31248	10.23	March 16, 2005	
10.43	Reinsurance Agreement effective October 1, 2008 between American Heritage Life Insurance Company and Allstate Life Insurance Company.	8-K	000-31248	10.1	October 28, 2008	
10.44	Reinsurance Agreement effective July 1, 2010 between Allstate Life Insurance Company and American Heritage Life Insurance Company.	8-K	000-31248	10.1	July 15, 2010	
10.45	Amendment No. 1 dated and effective as of July 18, 2011 to Reinsurance Agreement effective July 1, 2010 between Allstate Life Insurance Company and American Heritage Life Insurance Company.	10-Q	000-31248	10.3	August 5, 2011	
10.46	Reinsurance Agreement effective September 30, 2012 between Lincoln Benefit Life Company and Lincoln Benefit Reinsurance Company.	8-K	000-31248	10.1	October 3, 2012	
10.47	Form of Tax Sharing Agreement by and among The Allstate Corporation and certain affiliates dated as of November 12, 1996.	10-K	000-31248	10.24	March 17, 2008	
10.48	Agreement for the Settlement of State and Local Tax Credits among Allstate Insurance Company and certain of its affiliates, including Allstate Life Insurance Company effective January 1, 2007.	8-K	000-31248	10.1	February 21, 2008	
10.49	Stock Purchase Agreement, dated July 17, 2013, among the Registrant, Resolution Life Holdings, Inc., and Resolution Life L.P.	8-K	1-11840	10.1	July 22, 2013	
10.50	Amended and Restated Reinsurance Agreement, dated April 1, 2014, between the Registrant and Lincoln Benefit Life Company	8-K	1-11840	10.1	April 7, 2014	
10.51	Reinsurance Agreement between the Registrant and Allstate Assurance Company effective April 1, 2015	10-Q	000-31248	10.1	May 7, 2015	
10.52	Surplus Note between the Registrant and Allstate Assurance Company dated December 2, 2016	8-K	000-31248	10.1	December 7, 2016	
10.53	Reinsurance Agreement between the Registrant and Allstate Assurance Company dated January 19, 2017	8-K	000-31248	10.1	January 25, 2017	
23	Consent of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm					X
31(i)	Rule 13a-14(a) Certification of Principal Executive Officer					X
31(i)	Rule 13a-14(a) Certification of Principal Financial Officer					X
32	Section 1350 Certifications					X
99	The Allstate Corporation Policy Regarding Pre-Approval of Independent Registered Public Accountant's Services effective February 23, 2009.	10-K	000-31248	99	March 19, 2009	

101.INS	XBRL Instance Document					X
101.SCH	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Schema					X
101.CAL	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Calculation Linkbase					X
101.DEF	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Definition Linkbase					X
101.LAB	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Label Linkbase					X
101.PRE	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Presentation Linkbase					X

Item 15. (b)

The exhibits are listed in Item 15. (a)(3) above.

Item 15. (c)

The financial statement schedules are listed in Item 15. (a)(2) above.

Item 16.

None.

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

ALLSTATE LIFE INSURANCE COMPANY
(Registrant)

/s/ Samuel H. Pilch

By: Samuel H. Pilch

Senior Group Vice President and Controller
(Principal Accounting Officer)

February 24, 2017

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, this report has been signed below by the following persons on behalf of the registrant and in the capacities and on the dates indicated.

<u>Signature</u>	<u>Title</u>	<u>Date</u>
<u>/s/ Matthew E. Winter</u> Matthew E. Winter	Chief Executive Officer and a Director (Principal Executive Officer)	February 24, 2017
<u>/s/ Mario Imbarrato</u> Mario Imbarrato	Vice President, Chief Financial Officer and a Director (Principal Financial Officer)	February 24, 2017
<u>/s/ Thomas J. Wilson</u> Thomas J. Wilson	Chairman of the Board and a Director	February 24, 2017
<u>/s/ Don Civgin</u> Don Civgin	Director	February 24, 2017
<u>/s/ Angela K. Fontana</u> Angela K. Fontana	Director	February 24, 2017
<u>/s/ Mary Jane Fortin</u> Mary Jane Fortin	Director	February 24, 2017
<u>/s/ Katherine A. Mabe</u> Katherine A. Mabe	Director	February 24, 2017
<u>/s/ Harry R. Miller</u> Harry R. Miller	Director	February 24, 2017
<u>/s/ Samuel H. Pilch</u> Samuel H. Pilch	Director	February 24, 2017
<u>/s/ P. John Rugel</u> P. John Rugel	Director	February 24, 2017
<u>/s/ Steven E. Shebik</u> Steven E. Shebik	Director	February 24, 2017
<u>/s/ Steven P. Sorenson</u> Steven P. Sorenson	Director	February 24, 2017
<u>/s/ Thomas M. Troy</u> Thomas M. Troy	Director	February 24, 2017

ALLSTATE LIFE INSURANCE COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES
SCHEDULE I - SUMMARY OF INVESTMENTS
OTHER THAN INVESTMENTS IN RELATED PARTIES
DECEMBER 31, 2016

(\$ in millions)

<u>Type of investment</u>	<u>Cost/ amortized cost</u>	<u>Fair value</u>	<u>Amount at which shown in the Balance Sheet</u>
Fixed maturities:			
Bonds:			
United States government, government agencies and authorities	\$ 968	\$ 1,014	\$ 1,014
States, municipalities and political subdivisions	2,017	2,274	2,274
Foreign governments	304	332	332
Public utilities	3,339	3,611	3,611
All other corporate bonds	15,606	16,070	16,070
Asset-backed securities	337	331	331
Residential mortgage-backed securities	294	333	333
Commercial mortgage-backed securities	233	241	241
Redeemable preferred stocks	14	16	16
Total fixed maturities	23,112	\$ 24,222	24,222
Equity securities:			
Common stocks:			
Public utilities	31	\$ 32	32
Banks, trusts and insurance companies	203	218	218
Industrial, miscellaneous and all other	1,181	1,246	1,246
Nonredeemable preferred stocks	14	15	15
Total equity securities	1,429	\$ 1,511	1,511
Mortgage loans on real estate	3,938	\$ 3,963	3,938
Real estate (none acquired in satisfaction of debt)	129		129
Policy loans	563		563
Derivative instruments	91	\$ 96	96
Limited partnership interests	2,776		2,776
Other long-term investments	1,266		1,266
Short-term investments	566	\$ 566	566
Total investments	\$ 33,870	\$ 35,067	35,067

ALLSTATE LIFE INSURANCE COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES
SCHEDULE IV - REINSURANCE

(\$ in millions)

	Gross amount	Ceded to other companies ⁽¹⁾	Assumed from other companies	Net amount	Percentage of amount assumed to net
Year ended December 31, 2016					
Life insurance in force	\$ 115,034	\$ 94,041	\$ 291,256	\$ 312,249	93.3%
Premiums and contract charges:					
Life insurance	\$ 675	\$ 325	\$ 869	\$ 1,219	71.3%
Accident and health insurance	40	22	72	90	80.0%
Total premiums and contract charges	\$ 715	\$ 347	\$ 941	\$ 1,309	71.9%
Year ended December 31, 2015					
Life insurance in force	\$ 127,978	\$ 98,527	\$ 296,291	\$ 325,742	91.0%
Premiums and contract charges:					
Life insurance	\$ 689	\$ 334	\$ 898	\$ 1,253	71.7%
Accident and health insurance	39	22	68	85	80.0%
Total premiums and contract charges	\$ 728	\$ 356	\$ 966	\$ 1,338	72.2%
Year ended December 31, 2014					
Life insurance in force	\$ 119,024	\$ 97,574	\$ 305,313	\$ 326,763	93.4%
Premiums and contract charges:					
Life insurance	\$ 1,022	\$ 353	\$ 674	\$ 1,343	50.2%
Accident and health insurance	62	39	70	93	75.3%
Total premiums and contract charges	\$ 1,084	\$ 392	\$ 744	\$ 1,436	51.8%

⁽¹⁾ No reinsurance or coinsurance income was netted against premium ceded in 2016, 2015 or 2014.

ALLSTATE LIFE INSURANCE COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES
SCHEDULE V - VALUATION ALLOWANCES AND QUALIFYING ACCOUNTS

(\$ in millions)

<u>Description</u>	<u>Balance as of beginning of period</u>	<u>Additions</u>		<u>Deductions</u>	<u>Balance as of end of period</u>
		<u>Charged to costs and expenses</u>	<u>Other additions</u>		
<u>Year ended December 31, 2016</u>					
Allowance for estimated losses on mortgage loans	\$ 3	\$ —	\$ —	\$ —	\$ 3
<u>Year ended December 31, 2015</u>					
Allowance for estimated losses on mortgage loans	\$ 8	\$ (4)	\$ —	\$ 1	\$ 3
<u>Year ended December 31, 2014</u>					
Allowance for estimated losses on mortgage loans	\$ 21	\$ (5)	\$ —	\$ 8	\$ 8

CONSENT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

We consent to the incorporation by reference in the following registration statements of our report dated February 24, 2017, relating to the consolidated financial statements and financial statement schedules of Allstate Life Insurance Company, appearing in this Annual Report on Form 10-K of Allstate Life Insurance Company for the year ended December 31, 2016, and to the reference to us under the heading "Experts" in the Prospectus, which is part of the registration statements.

Form S-3 Registration Statement Nos.

333-199259
333-199260
333-199262
333-199264
333-199265
333-199266
333-199796
333-199797
333-200095
333-200098
333-200099
333-202202

Form N-4 Registration Statement Nos.

333-102934
333-114560
333-114561
333-114562
333-121687
333-121691
333-121692
333-121693
333-121695

/s/ DELOITTE & TOUCHE LLP

Chicago, Illinois
February 24, 2017

CERTIFICATIONS

EXHIBIT 31 (i)

I, Matthew E. Winter, certify that:

1. I have reviewed this report on Form 10-K of Allstate Life Insurance Company;
2. Based on my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this report;
3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this report;
4. The registrant's other certifying officer(s) and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) and internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f)) for the registrant and have:
 - a) Designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this report is being prepared;
 - b) Designed such internal control over financial reporting, or caused such internal control over financial reporting to be designed under our supervision, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles;
 - c) Evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this report based on such evaluation; and
 - d) Disclosed in this report any change in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the registrant's most recent fiscal quarter (the registrant's fourth fiscal quarter in the case of an annual report) that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the registrant's internal control over financial reporting; and
5. The registrant's other certifying officer(s) and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the registrant's auditors and the audit committee of the registrant's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent functions):
 - a) All significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and
 - b) Any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting.

Date: February 24, 2017

/s/ Matthew E. Winter

Matthew E. Winter

Chief Executive Officer

CERTIFICATIONS

EXHIBIT 31 (i)

I, Mario Imbarrato, certify that:

1. I have reviewed this report on Form 10-K of Allstate Life Insurance Company;
2. Based on my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this report;
3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this report;
4. The registrant's other certifying officer(s) and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) and internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f)) for the registrant and have:
 - a) Designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this report is being prepared;
 - b) Designed such internal control over financial reporting, or caused such internal control over financial reporting to be designed under our supervision, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles;
 - c) Evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this report based on such evaluation; and
 - d) Disclosed in this report any change in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the registrant's most recent fiscal quarter (the registrant's fourth fiscal quarter in the case of an annual report) that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the registrant's internal control over financial reporting; and
5. The registrant's other certifying officer(s) and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the registrant's auditors and the audit committee of the registrant's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent functions):
 - a) All significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and
 - b) Any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting.

Date: February 24, 2017

/s/ Mario Imbarrato

Mario Imbarrato

Vice President and Chief Financial Officer

SECTION 1350 CERTIFICATIONS

Each of the undersigned hereby certifies that to his knowledge the report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2016 of Allstate Life Insurance Company filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission fully complies with the requirements of Section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 and that the information contained in such report fairly presents, in all material respects, the financial condition and result of operations of Allstate Life Insurance Company.

Date: February 24, 2017

/s/ Matthew E. Winter

Matthew E. Winter

Chief Executive Officer

/s/ Mario Imbarrato

Mario Imbarrato

Vice President and Chief Financial Officer